
Rethinking Multimodal Fusion for Time Series: Auxiliary Modalities Need Constrained Fusion

Seunghan Lee, Jun Seo, Jaehoon Lee, Sungdong Yoo, Minjae Kim
Tae Yoon Lim, Dongwan Kang, Hwanil Choi, SoonYoung Lee, Wonbin Ahn
LG AI Research, Seoul, South Korea

Abstract

Recent advances in multimodal learning have motivated the integration of auxiliary modalities such as text or vision into time series (TS) forecasting. However, most existing methods provide limited gains, often improving performance only in specific datasets or relying on architecture-specific designs that limit generalization. In this paper, we show that multimodal models with naive fusion strategies (e.g., simple addition or concatenation) often underperform unimodal TS models, which we attribute to the *uncontrolled integration of auxiliary modalities* which may introduce irrelevant information. Motivated by this observation, we explore various **constrained fusion** methods designed to control such integration and find that they consistently outperform naive fusion methods. Furthermore, we propose **Controlled Fusion Adapter (CFA)**, a simple plug-in method that enables controlled cross-modal interactions without modifying the TS backbone, integrating *only relevant textual information aligned with TS dynamics*. CFA employs low-rank adapters to filter irrelevant textual information before fusing it into temporal representations. We conduct over 20K experiments across various datasets and TS/text models, demonstrating the effectiveness of the constrained fusion methods including CFA. Code is publicly available at: <https://github.com/seunghan96/cfa/>.

1 Introduction

Time series (TS) forecasting is widely used across domains such as finance [30], traffic [5], and climate [1]. With the emergence of large language models (LLMs), multimodal TS forecasting has gained increasing attention [11]. Recent studies attempt to enhance TS forecasting by incorporating auxiliary modalities, including text [27, 38, 44, 25], vision [42, 8], and tabular [2], under the assumption that such contextual information enhances the modeling of temporal dependencies.

Existing methods often adopt *naive fusion* strategies (e.g., simple addition or concatenation) [17, 13, 20] without carefully considering how modalities should interact, or rely on model-specific architectures [27, 38, 25] that are not easily integrated into unimodal TS models. Additionally, prior work shows that fusion does not consistently improve performance [13], attributing this to the difficulty of alignment among modalities. Moreover, even when improvements are reported, we find that they often depend on the dataset or the TS model, indicating limitations of naive fusion strategies.

In this paper, we conduct extensive experiments across diverse datasets and models (Table 3), evaluating fusion at the first, middle, and final layers with additive and concatenation operators. As shown in Figure 1, we find that *multimodal models with naive fusion often underperform unimodal models*, as both concatenation-based (red) and additive (blue) fusion frequently fall below the unimodal (gray) baseline. Note that each setting is tuned with 10 learning rates, selecting the best-performing configuration. This observation motivates the need for improved fusion mechanisms, suggesting that more careful and *controlled fusion between TS and text is required*.




Figure 1: Constrained vs. naive fusion.

We attribute this phenomenon to the intrinsic nature of multimodal TS forecasting, where TS serve as the *primary* modality, while other modalities serve as *auxiliary* modalities that provide contextual guidance [31, 42, 18], *which may introduce irrelevant or conflicting information* [19] that is misaligned with TS. Therefore, indiscriminate fusion can degrade forecasting performance, highlighting the necessity of **constrained fusion**, which we refer to as a fusion strategy that *incorporates auxiliary signals in a controlled manner* while preserving core temporal (TS) representations.

To this end, we explore various constrained fusion methods (Section 3.2), which consistently outperform naive methods, as shown in Figure 1 (green). Furthermore, we propose **Controlled Fusion Adapter (CFA)**, a plug-in method that injects textual information via a residual connection constrained to a low-rank subspace, filtering irrelevant textual information and enabling incorporation of auxiliary signals while preserving temporal dynamics. CFA outperforms other constrained fusion methods and remains effective even when naive fusion degrades performance. The main contributions are:

- We show that *naive* multimodal fusion often underperforms unimodal TS forecasting and demonstrate that *constrained* fusion consistently improves over naive strategies across diverse datasets and models, where we evaluate four constrained fusion methods, including our proposed method.
- We propose Controlled Fusion Adapter (CFA), a simple yet effective fusion method for multimodal TS forecasting, which injects auxiliary textual information into TS representations via a residual connection constrained to a low-rank subspace, enabling controlled integration without modifying the backbone. In contrast to prior multimodal TS forecasting works that rely on architecture-specific designs, our method is a *plug-in* module, making it generally applicable to *any unimodal TS model*.
- We conduct over 20K experiments across various settings (9 multimodal datasets, 4 forecasting horizons, 14 TS models, and 4 text models) with 10 fusion strategies, demonstrating the effectiveness of constrained fusion including CFA. Additionally, we provide detailed analyses explaining *why constrained fusion strategies yield superior performance* compared to naive fusion strategies.

2 Related Works

TS forecasting models. Recent TS forecasting methods employ Transformers [33] to capture temporal and channel dependencies. PatchTST [26] segments TS into patches and adopts channel-independent modeling. Crossformer [41] captures channel interactions via hierarchical attention. iTransformer [23] applies attention across features to model channel dependencies. Nonstationary Transformer [22] models non-stationarity within attention to address distribution shifts. Several works adopt lightweight architectures without attention, where DLinear [40] uses linear decomposition to capture trend and seasonal components. TSMixer [3] employs MLP-based mixing across temporal and feature dimensions. TiDE [6] employs an MLP-based encoder-decoder with temporal embeddings. FiLM [45] introduces a Frequency-improved Legendre Memory model with Legendre projection and Fourier denoising. Koopa [24] learns latent linear dynamics through Koopman operator.

Multimodal TS forecasting models. Recent work integrates external modalities with TS to enrich forecasting with contextual information [12, 37, 11]. UniCast [27] combines pretrained vision and text encoders with a frozen TS foundation model. CAPTime [38] aligns TS representations with LLM-derived textual context within a probabilistic forecasting framework. BALM-TSF [44] mitigates modality imbalance by aligning TS and textual embeddings before fusion. SpecTF [25] performs frequency-domain fusion by projecting textual embeddings into the spectral space and integrating them with TS components. Multi-Modal Forecaster [13] jointly models TS and text through shared embeddings. Time-VLM [42] leverages pretrained vision-language models to construct multimodal representations. TimeCMA [20] performs cross-modality alignment with dual encoding branches. GPT4MTS [10] employs LLMs to generate task-aware textual prompts to guide TS forecasting. T3Time [4] employs temporal, spectral, and prompt features with an adaptive gating mechanism.

As shown in Table 1, these works either adopt naive fusion strategies or focus on designing architecture-specific models for multimodal forecasting, which are not generally applicable to existing unimodal TS models. While TaTS [17] can be plugged into existing unimodal TS models, it adopts a naive first-layer additive fusion scheme included as a baseline in our experiments. Additionally, although ContextFormer [2] can be applied to arbitrary TS encoders, its module accounts for over 75% of the total parameters due to multiple cross-attention layers, limiting general applicability.

Table 1: Multimodal forecasting models.

Type	Fusion	Methods
Architecture-specific		[4, 20, 25, 38, 42, 44]
Plug-in	Naive	[10, 12, 13, 17, 21, 27]
	Constrained	CFA (Ours)




Figure 2: **Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies for TS.** (Left) *Naive fusion* applies simple additive or concatenation operators at first, middle, or last stages without considering modality relevance. (Right) *Constrained fusion* incorporates textual information in a controlled manner by considering its relevance to TS. *CFA* injects textual signals via a residual connection constrained to a low-rank subspace to filter irrelevant information while preserving TS representations.

3 Necessity of Constrained Fusion for Multimodal TS Forecasting

In multimodal forecasting, a model predicts future values $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{x}_{L+1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{L+H})$ given a lookback window $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_L)$ and a paired text sequence $\mathbf{t} = (\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_L)$. Each $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^C$ denotes observations at time step i , where L , H , and C represent the lookback length, forecast horizon, and number of channels, respectively. Each text \mathbf{t}_i is encoded by a language model as $\mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},i} = g_{\text{Text}}(\mathbf{t}_i)$, forming a text embedding $\mathbf{Z}_{\text{Text}} = (\mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},1}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},L})$, which is then fused with a TS embedding.

3.1 Naive Fusion

Naive fusion integrates textual information using a fusion operator (e.g., addition or concatenation), where the text embeddings produced by the text encoder are *directly* fused with TS embeddings *without any constraint*. Fusion can be applied before the encoder (first fusion), within intermediate encoder layers (middle fusion), or after temporal encoding (last fusion), as shown in Algorithm 1. Many multimodal TS forecasting methods follow this approach [10, 12, 13, 17, 21, 27], whereas methods that do not follow this paradigm typically adopt architecture-specific designs to fuse different modalities [4, 20, 25, 38, 42, 44], which are not generally applicable to existing TS models.

Algorithm 1 Multimodal TS forecasting

Input: $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_L]$, $\mathbf{T} = [\mathbf{T}_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}_L]$
Output: $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = [\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{L+1}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{L+H}]$

- 1: $\mathbf{Z}_{\text{TS}} \leftarrow g_{\text{TS}}(\mathbf{X})$ // Input projection (TS)
- 2: $\mathbf{Z}_{\text{Text}} \leftarrow g_{\text{Text}}(\mathbf{T})$ // Input projection (Text)
- 3: $\mathbf{Z}_{\text{TS}} \leftarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{Z}_{\text{TS}}, \mathbf{Z}_{\text{Text}})$ // First fusion
- 4: **for** m in encoder layers **do**
- 5: $\mathbf{Z}_{\text{TS}} \leftarrow f(\mathbf{Z}_{\text{TS}})$
- 6: $\mathbf{Z}_{\text{TS}} \leftarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{Z}_{\text{TS}}, \mathbf{Z}_{\text{Text}})$ // Middle fusion
- 7: **end for**
- 8: $\mathbf{Z}_{\text{TS}} \leftarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{Z}_{\text{TS}}, \mathbf{Z}_{\text{Text}})$ // Last fusion
- 9: $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} \leftarrow h(\mathbf{Z}_{\text{TS}})$ // Output projection (TS)

3.2 Constrained Fusion

In this paper, we argue that TS forecasting primarily relies on learning temporal representations, while auxiliary modalities provide contextual guidance *that may contain information irrelevant to TS*. However, naive fusion methods do not account for this misalignment, which may disrupt temporal representations and underperform a unimodal (TS-only) model. To investigate this effect, we explore various constrained fusion strategies¹, which incorporate auxiliary information from other modalities in a constrained manner while preserving temporal representations as follows:

- [1] **Gating mechanism** determines the relevance of text information at each time step using a learned gate, allowing the model to utilize only the necessary textual information.
- [2] **FiLM (Feature-wise Linear Modulation)**² [28] modulates the scale and bias of TS embeddings based on text embeddings, preserving temporal structure while adjusting feature representations.
- [3] **Orthogonal fusion** projects the text embedding into the TS embedding space and injects only the orthogonal component, explicitly preserving temporal information without overwriting it.

Naive fusion vs. Constrained fusion. As shown in Figure 1, these *constrained fusion strategies consistently outperform naive fusion strategies* across various settings. Nonetheless, they can *still underperform unimodal baselines under certain settings*, as shown in Table 4. This observation motivates the need to more effectively filter irrelevant textual signals while preserving informative ones. A comparison of naive and constrained fusion is provided in Figure 2.

¹Details of the constrained fusion strategies are discussed in Appendix F.

²Note that FiLM [28] is different from (TS model) FiLM [45], which is discussed in Section 3.2.

3.3 Controlled Fusion Adapter (CFA)

Building on these insights, we propose Controlled Fusion Adapter (**CFA**), a model-agnostic fusion method where text embeddings are projected through a low-dimensional bottleneck and added to the TS embedding as a small residual. It is important to note that our goal is *not to develop a novel adapter* but to design a *controlled fusion formulation* that constrains the textual signal effectively. To implement this, we adopt a LoRA-style parameterization [9], enabling lightweight integration into diverse TS backbones. The low-rank bottleneck limits textual capacity and encourages retention of information useful for TS forecasting while filtering irrelevant components. This design preserves the TS backbone and enables text-guided integration into any TS model as:

$$\mathbf{z}_{\text{Adapter},t} = \mathbf{W}_{\text{down}} \mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},t} \in \mathbb{R}^{D/r} \quad (1a)$$

$$\mathbf{z}'_{\text{Adapter},t} = \text{ReLU}(\text{LayerNorm}(\mathbf{z}_{\text{Adapter},t})) \quad (1b)$$

$$\mathbf{z}''_{\text{Adapter},t} = \mathbf{W}_{\text{up}} \mathbf{z}'_{\text{Adapter},t} \in \mathbb{R}^D \quad (1c)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{\text{TS},t} = \mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},t} + \mathbf{z}''_{\text{Adapter},t}. \quad (1d)$$

Table 2: Comparison of **constrained fusions**.

Methods	Gating	$\mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},t} + \mathbf{g}_t \odot \mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},t}$
	FiLM	$\gamma_t \odot \mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},t} + \beta_t$
	Orthogonal	$\mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},t} + \mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},t}^\perp$
	CFA	$\mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},t} + \mathbf{W}_{\text{up}} \phi(\mathbf{W}_{\text{down}} \mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},t})$

Here, $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{\text{TS},t}$ denotes the fused embedding at time step t , and the residual addition is applied at each encoder layer of any TS model. The bottleneck dimension D/r controls parameter efficiency, where we set $r = 8$. Note that initializing \mathbf{W}_{up} near zero ensures that textual influence is minimal at the early stages of training. A comparison of how the four constrained fusion strategies construct the fused embedding is shown in Table 2, and robustness to the choice of r is discussed in Appendix I.

Role of low-rank bottleneck. To understand the role of the bottleneck, we conduct a toy experiment examining how it affects fusion under 1) informative, 2) contradicting, and 3) irrelevant texts. The results show that *the low-rank projection suppresses misleading textual signals while preserving useful guidance*. Detailed analyses are provided in Appendix E, with three complementary analyses:

- **a) Performance comparison (E.1).** We compare forecasting performance w/ and w/o the low-rank bottleneck across matching, contradicting, and irrelevant text. The results show that the low-rank bottleneck consistently improves performance and provides the *largest gain when text is irrelevant*.
- **b) Representation analysis (E.2).** We measure the text-contribution ratio at the adapter to quantify how much textual signal survives the bottleneck. Matching text exhibits stronger contribution than contradicting text, indicating that the bottleneck *selectively preserves useful information*.
- **c) TS visualization (E.3).** Forecast trajectories show that the bottleneck *prevents misleading predictions under contradicting text while preserving accurate forecasts when text is helpful*.

A theoretical perspective showing how the low-rank bottleneck constrains the textual signals to a low-dimensional subspace during fusion is provided in Appendix G.

4 Experiments

Experimental settings. To evaluate the generality of the proposed method, we conduct experiments on 9 multimodal datasets [21], 14 TS backbones, and 4 language models across 4 H s defined by data frequency, as shown in Table 3. In all experiments, only the TS model is trained while the text model remains frozen. Each setting is evaluated over 10 learning rates, reporting the best result. Following Time-MMD [21], datasets are split into train, validation, and test sets with a ratio of 7:1:2, and the model with the lowest validation error is selected. Performance is evaluated using MSE and MAE.

Table 3: Experimental settings across 20K configurations (2K settings \times 10 fusion methods)

Experimental settings ([1] \times [2] \times [3] $>$ 2K)			
[1] Datasets (Time-MMD [21])		Agriculture, Climate, Economy, Energy, Environment, Public Health, Security, Social Good, Traffic	
[2] Models	TS	Transformer	Nonstationary Transformer [22], PatchTST [26], iTransformer [23], Crossformer [41], FEDformer [46], Autoformer [36], Reformer [15], Informer [43], Transformer [33]
		Linear/MLP	DLinear [40], TiDE [6], TSMixer [3]
		Others	Koopa [24], FiLM [45]
	Text	BERT [7], GPT2 [29], Llama3 [32], Doc2Vec [16]	
[3] Forecasting horizons (H)		[Daily]: {48, 96, 192, 336}. [Weekly]: {12, 24, 36, 48}. [Monthly]: {6, 8, 10, 12}.	
Fusion methods (10)			
Naive	Additive	First [17, 10], Middle, Last [21]	
	Concat	First [27, 13, 12], Middle, Last	
Constrained		Orthogonal, FiLM [28], Gating [42, 4], CFA (Ours)	

Table 4: **Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies for TS forecasting.** While naive fusion strategies often underperform the unimodal baseline, *constrained* fusion methods consistently perform better, with our *CFA* showing *robust improvements across diverse settings*. **Red** and **blue** denote **improvements** and **degradations** over the unimodal model, respectively, averaged across four *H*s.

TS Model	Dataset	Unimodal (w/o text)	Naive						Constrained			
			Additive			Concat			Orthogonal (—)	FiLM [28]	Gating [42, 4]	CFA (Ours)
			First [17, 10]	Middle (—)	Last [21]	First [27, 13, 12]	Middle (—)	Last (—)				
(1) Transformer-based methods												
Nonstationary Transformer [22]	Agriculture	0.084	0.089	0.082	0.079	0.090	0.084	0.892	0.084	0.085	0.085	0.082
	Climate	1.248	1.287	1.229	1.210	1.215	1.230	1.153	1.264	1.208	1.232	1.170
	Economy	0.019	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.019	4.562	0.019	0.019	0.020	0.019
	Energy	0.247	0.268	0.256	0.257	0.252	0.252	0.572	0.240	0.243	0.243	0.244
	Environment	0.437	0.478	0.447	0.437	0.447	0.453	0.461	0.441	0.436	0.447	0.442
	Public Health	1.210	1.224	1.141	1.176	1.271	1.240	1.465	1.235	1.285	1.153	1.086
	Security	106.4	106.4	107.8	107.4	106.1	107.0	121.5	105.7	105.3	106.1	105.8
	Social Good	0.942	0.940	0.918	0.893	1.000	0.910	2.362	0.993	0.932	0.925	0.910
	Traffic	0.199	0.201	0.201	0.210	0.201	0.194	0.419	0.202	0.201	0.198	0.197
	Win rate (vs. Unimodal) (%)			11.1	44.4	44.4	22.2	33.3	11.1	33.3	55.6	66.7
PatchTST [26]	Agriculture	0.092	0.092	0.093	0.088	0.092	0.093	0.547	0.093	0.092	0.093	0.091
	Climate	1.259	1.254	1.243	1.260	1.254	1.259	1.169	1.243	1.265	1.236	1.252
	Economy	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.019	0.017	0.017	<i>Div.*</i>	0.017	0.018	0.018	0.017
	Energy	0.264	0.265	0.263	0.252	0.265	0.258	0.723	0.262	0.257	0.260	0.263
	Environment	0.502	0.502	0.447	0.492	0.502	0.471	0.583	0.456	0.451	0.455	0.442
	Public Health	1.390	1.388	1.413	1.194	1.388	1.418	1.472	1.400	1.384	1.394	1.390
	Security	109.5	108.8	106.7	108.0	108.8	107.5	128.7	106.5	108.5	108.0	109.1
	Social Good	0.955	0.966	0.943	0.890	0.966	0.948	2.195	0.943	0.939	0.954	0.920
	Traffic	0.199	0.198	0.201	0.210	0.198	0.197	0.744	0.198	0.195	0.195	0.201
	Win rate (vs. Unimodal) (%)			44.4	66.7	66.7	44.4	55.6	11.1	66.7	66.7	66.7
(2) Linear/MLP-based methods												
DLinear [40]	Agriculture	0.205	0.183	0.188	0.191	0.651	0.746	0.609	0.169	0.259	0.188	0.124
	Climate	1.225	1.324	1.309	1.283	1.227	1.167	1.159	1.312	1.090	1.312	1.098
	Economy	0.129	0.116	0.124	0.126	<i>Div.*</i>	<i>Div.*</i>	<i>Div.*</i>	0.110	0.248	0.125	0.040
	Energy	0.263	0.326	0.314	0.291	0.670	0.382	0.368	0.298	0.313	0.299	0.243
	Environment	0.545	0.491	0.489	0.479	0.528	0.501	0.497	0.549	0.500	0.485	0.546
	Public Health	1.554	1.604	1.569	1.549	1.790	1.637	1.570	1.736	1.682	1.517	1.530
	Security	109.0	110.7	109.8	109.2	142.6	137.6	136.1	110.1	108.6	109.3	108.8
	Social Good	0.934	1.096	1.069	1.068	1.928	1.587	1.491	1.037	0.981	1.026	0.904
	Traffic	0.276	0.334	0.358	0.343	0.841	0.883	0.757	0.301	0.333	0.340	0.233
	Win rate (vs. Unimodal) (%)			33.3	33.3	44.4	11.1	22.2	22.2	22.2	33.3	44.4
TIDE [6]	Agriculture	0.108	<i>Div.*</i>	0.107	0.099	<i>Div.*</i>	0.107	0.824	0.096	0.096	0.095	0.095
	Climate	1.469	<i>Div.*</i>	1.487	1.506	2.954	1.505	1.231	1.261	1.267	1.255	1.264
	Economy	0.035	<i>Div.*</i>	0.034	0.042	<i>Div.*</i>	0.038	<i>Div.*</i>	0.017	0.017	0.018	0.017
	Energy	0.287	<i>Div.*</i>	0.290	0.291	<i>Div.*</i>	0.288	0.768	0.256	0.262	0.259	0.260
	Environment	0.534	<i>Div.*</i>	0.535	0.515	<i>Div.*</i>	0.534	0.555	0.534	0.533	0.533	0.533
	Public Health	1.568	<i>Div.*</i>	1.561	1.643	<i>Div.*</i>	1.588	1.752	1.459	1.476	1.476	1.463
	Security	138.3	143.9	135.4	134.5	140.1	132.2	146.1	109.7	109.7	111.7	110.1
	Social Good	1.185	<i>Div.*</i>	1.083	1.143	126.8	1.115	2.125	1.004	1.025	1.016	1.001
	Traffic	0.295	<i>Div.*</i>	0.279	0.325	6.016	0.302	0.472	0.245	0.247	0.245	0.244
	Win rate (vs. Unimodal) (%)			0.0	66.7	44.4	0.0	44.4	11.1	100.0	100.0	100.0
(3) Others												
Koopra [24]	Agriculture	0.091	0.084	0.084	0.084	<i>Div.*</i>	0.699	0.699	0.092	0.123	0.085	0.090
	Climate	1.249	5.219	1.257	1.257	2.602	1.147	1.147	1.284	1.088	1.252	1.248
	Economy	0.018	0.028	0.024	0.024	<i>Div.*</i>	<i>Div.*</i>	<i>Div.*</i>	0.028	0.051	0.021	0.018
	Energy	0.253	<i>Div.*</i>	0.252	0.252	<i>Div.*</i>	0.534	0.534	0.270	0.262	0.247	0.253
	Environment	0.526	<i>Div.*</i>	0.497	0.497	<i>Div.*</i>	0.470	0.470	0.530	0.483	0.496	0.527
	Public Health	1.442	<i>Div.*</i>	1.184	1.184	<i>Div.*</i>	1.381	1.381	1.637	1.367	1.176	1.439
	Security	108.5	108.2	107.6	107.6	130.1	131.6	131.6	110.1	111.8	106.6	107.0
	Social Good	0.964	0.996	0.976	0.976	2.180	2.097	2.097	0.993	0.841	0.918	0.959
	Traffic	0.233	0.256	0.244	0.244	0.431	0.366	0.366	0.249	0.239	0.242	0.219
	Win rate (vs. Unimodal) (%)			22.2	55.6	55.6	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	44.4	66.7
FiLM [45]	Agriculture	0.098	5.460	0.095	0.092	1.313	0.099	0.896	0.096	0.097	0.095	0.094
	Climate	1.286	8.799	1.286	1.274	6.623	1.291	1.168	1.280	1.282	1.274	1.264
	Economy	0.018	<i>Div.*</i>	0.022	0.029	<i>Div.*</i>	0.022	9.140	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018
	Energy	0.271	<i>Div.*</i>	0.285	0.294	0.807	0.307	0.737	0.260	0.268	0.275	0.259
	Environment	0.534	<i>Div.*</i>	0.504	0.512	<i>Div.*</i>	0.492	0.565	0.535	0.509	0.493	0.532
	Public Health	1.501	<i>Div.*</i>	1.531	1.615	2.589	1.646	1.726	1.505	1.529	1.493	1.490
	Security	118.5	122.3	106.7	114.7	<i>Div.*</i>	107.0	144.7	108.8	107.4	107.2	110.0
	Social Good	1.057	<i>Div.*</i>	0.993	1.032	<i>Div.*</i>	1.048	2.289	1.013	1.019	0.990	1.018
	Traffic	0.230	0.587	0.231	0.239	1.064	0.237	0.698	0.256	0.233	0.238	0.234
	Win rate (vs. Unimodal) (%)			0.0	55.6	55.6	0.0	33.3	11.1	55.6	77.8	66.7

* Divergence (*Div.**) indicates cases where the MSE exceeds that of the unimodal baseline by more than 10×.

4.1 Multimodal Time Series Forecasting

Table 4 presents the forecasting performance (MSE) of multimodal fusion strategies across TS models and datasets using BERT [7] as the language model. Due to space limitations, we report six TS models [22, 26, 40, 6, 24, 45], selecting the two representative methods from each model category with the highest performance. Overall, *naive fusion* methods, whether additive or concatenation-based, frequently fail to outperform the unimodal baseline and even lead to severe degradation or divergence. In contrast, *constrained fusion* methods consistently yield more stable and better performance across models and domains. Notably, CFA achieves the best performance, indicating that controlled integration of textual information is crucial for robust multimodal TS forecasting. Brief and full results for all TS/text models are presented in Section 4.2 and Appendix K, respectively. Additionally, comparison with other (architecture-specific) methods are shown in Appendix J.




Figure 3: **Performance across diverse settings.** (a), (b), and (c) show the performance (normalized MSE) by **Dataset**, **TS model**, and **Text model**, respectively. (d) shows the overall average performance across all settings. CFA (★) consistently achieves the lowest MSE among fusion strategies.

4.2 Performance with Various Settings

In this section, we evaluate the proposed method across diverse **1) datasets**, **2) TS backbones**, and **3) text encoders** to verify its general effectiveness. To account for scale differences across datasets, we report normalized MSE averaged over 10 fusion strategies (6 naive & 4 constrained fusion strategies).

[1] Various datasets (Figure 3a). We conduct experiments on 9 real-world multimodal datasets [21] for TS forecasting. Across datasets, constrained fusion strategies (green) generally outperform the additive (blue) and concatenation-based (red) fusion. While many fusion methods exhibit dataset-dependent behavior (i.e., improving on some datasets and underperforming on others), CFA consistently outperforms the unimodal model on all datasets and ranks first on 7 of the 9 datasets.


[2] Various TS models (Figure 3b). We evaluate the effectiveness of our method across diverse TS backbones (e.g., Transformer-based, linear/MLP-based). Similar to the dataset-level analysis, constrained fusion strategies (green) generally outperforms the additive (blue) and concatenation-based (red) approaches. Specifically, CFA improves over the unimodal baseline on 13 out of 14 backbones, with the exception of Transformer [33]. We attribute this to the fact that the standard Transformer exhibits substantially lower performance than other TS backbones, indicating a limitation of the backbone itself rather than the fusion strategy. For Transformer variants specifically designed for TS forecasting [22, 23, 41], CFA consistently yields improvements over unimodal models.

[3] Various text models (Figure 3c). To verify robustness to text encoders, we compare four text models, including three LLM-based encoders [7, 29, 32] and Doc2Vec [16] to account for scenarios where LLM deployment is restricted. Consistent with previous observations, constrained fusion strategies (green) outperform additive (blue) and concatenation-based (red) methods. Among them, CFA consistently achieves the best performance, demonstrating robustness to the text model.

[4] Overall comparison (Table 3d). Table 3d reports the average normalized MSE across more than 2K settings (9 datasets \times 14 TS models \times 4 text models \times 4 horizons) with 10 fusion methods. Among simple fusion strategies, only additive fusion at the middle or last layer improves over the unimodal baseline, whereas other naive approaches fail to do so. This highlights the necessity of constrained fusion for consistent performance gains. Furthermore, CFA achieves the best performance, confirming its superiority over various fusion strategies. Note that we tune each setting over 10 learning rates and compare the best performance to ensure that gains or losses are not due to optimization effects.

Text type	w/o bottleneck	w/ bottleneck	Improv. (%)
Matching	0.1683	0.1477	+12.19
Contradicting	0.1635	0.1560	+4.59
Irrelevant	0.1851	0.1480	+20.04

(a) Per-type MSE: w/ vs. w/o low-rank bottleneck.



(b) Text-contribution ratio by text type.

Figure 5: **Toy experiment on low-rank bottleneck.** (a) CFA with a bottleneck consistently outperforms the version without a bottleneck across all text types, with the largest gain observed for irrelevant text. (b) The text-contribution ratio at the adapter output shows that matching text is injected more strongly than contradicting text, indicating that it selectively suppresses conflicting signals.

5 Analysis

In this section, we analyze *how and why the proposed method operates effectively* from seven perspectives, using BERT [7] as the text model:

- **[1] Performance with irrelevant text.** We inject *mismatched textual inputs* from unrelated datasets and observe that CFA exhibits the smallest performance degradation relative to the unimodal baseline, demonstrating robustness to irrelevant information.
- **[2] Effect of low-rank bottleneck.** Using a synthetic dataset with matched, contradicting, and irrelevant text, we show that the bottleneck reduces MSE across text types. The largest gain occurs for irrelevant text, and matching text is injected more strongly than contradicting text.
- **[3] Representation similarity.** We compute *cosine similarity between TS-only and TS+Text representations* and observe that the best performance does not correspond to the largest representation shift, highlighting that effective fusion requires constrained modification.
- **[4] Visualization of TS forecasting.** We visualize the predicted values in TS forecasting and observe that CFA captures late-stage trends missed by the unimodal model.
- **[5] Temporal attribution analysis.** We analyze temporal attribution to quantify *how each input TS step contributes to the prediction* and find that CFA yields a distinct temporal importance distribution, indicating that it selectively references different input time steps.
- **[6] Efficiency analysis.** We compare the number of training parameters and FLOPs across fusion methods and observe that CFA introduces only marginal overhead relative to the unimodal baseline, while several strategies significantly increase computational overhead.
- **[7] Information distribution analysis.** We compute the rank correlation between MAE and effective rank across diverse settings and find an overall positive trend, suggesting that models with more distributed representations tend to forecast more accurately.

[1] Performance with irrelevant text (Figure 4). To assess the effect of multimodal fusion, we conduct an *irrelevant text* experiment, where we replace the text aligned with each TS by text sampled from entirely different datasets. For instance, when evaluating on the Agriculture dataset, we randomly sample text from the remaining eight datasets, including Economy and Climate. This design examines how each fusion strategy responds when irrelevant textual information is injected.

A robust fusion strategy is expected to ignore unrelated information and rely primarily on TS representations, such that its performance does not substantially degrade compared to the unimodal setting. As shown in Figure 4, CFA exhibits performance most similar to the unimodal model and shows the smallest degradation. In addition, constrained fusion strategies except Orthogonal effectively filter out unnecessary information and primarily utilize TS representations. These results confirm that CFA incorporates relevant textual signals while remaining robust to irrelevant inputs.




Figure 4: Irrelevant text experiments.

[2] Effect of low-rank bottleneck (Figure 5). To analyze the filtering behavior of CFA’s low-rank adapter, we conduct a controlled toy experiment on a synthetic dataset containing three text types: **matching** (reflecting the TS trend), **contradicting** (opposing the TS trend), and **irrelevant** (topically unrelated). We compare CFA w/ and w/o the bottleneck, with details in Appendix E.

Table 5a shows that the bottleneck consistently reduces MSE across all text types. The largest improvement occurs for irrelevant text, indicating that *the bottleneck suppresses uninformative texts*. Without the bottleneck, contradicting text yields *lower* MSE than matching text, suggesting that all text is treated as undifferentiated noise. With the bottleneck, the expected ordering appears, where matching text yields the lowest MSE, followed by irrelevant text and contradicting text.




Figure 6: **Cosine similarity between representations of TS-only and TS+Text models.** We apply multiple fusion strategies across diverse settings, where each dot represents a single fusion method. The best-performing method (red) does *not* consistently induce the largest representation shift from the unimodal baseline, highlighting the importance of controlled integration of textual information into temporal representations rather than simply increasing the magnitude of representation shift.




Figure 7: **[4] Visualization of multimodal TS forecasting.** Although the unimodal model captures the initial pattern, it fails to model the subsequent upward trend, whereas CFA accurately captures the rise in the later horizon. In addition, some fusion strategies even fail to converge (e.g., concat-first), indicating that naive fusion can hinder effective learning.

Figure 5b reports the text-contribution ratio (See Appendix E.2) measured at the adapter output. Matching text produces a higher mean ratio than contradicting text with strong statistical significance ($p < 0.001$, Cohen’s $d = 0.58$). This indicates that CFA *injects helpful text more strongly while suppressing conflicting signals*. Visualization of forecast trajectories are illustrated in Appendix E.3.

[3] Representation similarity (Figure 6). To quantify how text fusion reshapes temporal representations, we measure the cosine similarity between the layer-wise representations $\mathbf{Z}_\ell^{\text{TS}}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_\ell^{\text{TS+Text}}$ at layer ℓ , where $\ell \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ denotes the layer index. We compute the layer-wise cosine similarity between $\mathbf{Z}_\ell^{\text{TS}}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_\ell^{\text{TS+Text}}$, and obtain the final similarity score by averaging across layers:


$$S = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{\langle \mathbf{Z}_\ell^{\text{TS}}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell^{\text{TS+Text}} \rangle}{\|\mathbf{Z}_\ell^{\text{TS}}\|_2 \|\mathbf{Z}_\ell^{\text{TS+Text}}\|_2}. \quad (2)$$

We repeat this analysis across different settings and fusion strategies. As shown in Figure 6, best-performing fusion method (red) does not consistently correspond to the largest deviation from the unimodal baseline. This indicates that effective fusion requires *controlled modification* of temporal representations with textual information rather than simply increasing representation shift.

[4] Visualization of TS forecasting (Figure 7). To assess how textual fusion influences temporal prediction, we visualize forecasting results on the Agriculture dataset [21] using TiDE [6], with both input and output horizons set to 8. While the unimodal model captures the initial pattern yet fails to model the subsequent upward trend, CFA accurately captures the rise in the later horizon. Moreover, most constrained fusion methods (green) successfully capture the late-stage upward trend that the unimodal model fails to capture. In contrast, several naive fusion strategies (red, blue) even underperform the unimodal baseline, indicating that improper fusion can hinder effective learning.

Table 5: **Efficiency analysis of fusion strategies.** The table shows the average training parameters and FLOPs, where CFA achieves negligible overhead compared to the unimodal baseline.

Average	Unimodal (w/o text)	Naive						Constrained			
		Additive			Concat			Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
		First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last				
1) Train params. (1e+06)	9.382	9.384 + 0.02%	9.408 + 0.28%	9.384 + 0.02%	9.384 + 0.02%	10.539 + 12.33%	9.384 + 0.02%	9.403 + 0.23%	9.423 + 0.46%	12.067 + 28.62%	9.439 + 0.61%
2) FLOPs (1e+08)	1.436	1.436 + 0.00%	1.436 + 0.02%	1.436 + 0.00%	1.436 + 0.00%	1.616 + 12.53%	1.436 + 0.00%	1.436 + 0.02%	1.436 + 0.03%	1.885 + 31.26%	1.436 + 0.04%



(a) Distribution of rank correlation.

Method	MAE ↓	Effective Rank ↑
CFA (Ours)	0.0929 (1/11)	24.05 (1/11)
Orthogonal	0.0967 (4/11)	19.37 (3/11)
w/o fusion	0.0970 (5/11)	18.97 (4/11)
Additive (First)	0.0983 (7/11)	16.79 (9/11)
Concat (Middle)	0.0996 (9/11)	16.10 (10/11)
Rank corr. (ρ)	0.6727 (p-value: 0.023)	

(b) Example of rank correlation.

Figure 9: **Rank correlation of MAE and effective rank.** (a) Distribution of rank correlations across various settings, showing a *positive* relationship between MAE and effective rank. (b) Methods with higher effective rank generally achieve lower MAE, resulting in a positive correlation ($\rho = 0.6727$).

[5] **Temporal attribution analysis (Figure 8).** Under the same experimental setting as Analysis [4], we further analyze the importance scores that quantify *how much each input TS step contributes to the prediction*. Specifically, we compute a gradient \times input attribution with respect to the encoder input and aggregate over channels to obtain one importance value per time step. As shown in Figure 8, CFA assigns a different importance distribution over input TS steps compared to w/o fusion and other methods, indicating that textual information influences which temporal regions are referenced during prediction. See Appendix H for details on the computation of temporal attribution.




Figure 8: Various fusion methods.

[6] **Efficiency analysis (Table 5).** To demonstrate the efficiency of CFA, we compare the average number of training parameters and FLOPs across 10 fusion methods, aggregated over 14 TS backbones and 9 datasets under prediction lengths of 8, 36, and 96 for monthly, weekly, and daily frequencies. While certain strategies substantially increase computational overhead, most designs introduce marginal overhead. Notably, CFA increases parameters by only 0.61% and FLOPs by 0.04% relative to the unimodal model, indicating that it maintains *efficiency comparable to the unimodal setting*.

[7] **Information distribution analysis (Figure 9).** We analyze fusion behavior using the *effective rank* of layer-wise representations. Given a hidden representation \mathbf{H} , we compute its singular values $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^r$ and define $p_i = \frac{\sigma_i}{\sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j}$ and $\text{erank}(\mathbf{H}) = \exp(-\sum_{i=1}^r p_i \log p_i)$, where higher effective rank indicates more distributed representations. To assess its relevance, we compute the rank correlation between MAE and effective rank across fusion strategies under diverse settings, including four TS backbones [22, 26, 23, 45], nine datasets, and four forecast horizons. Figure 9a shows a positive relationship between two metrics, as illustrated by the representative example in Table 9b.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we show that naive fusion often underperforms unimodal baselines, suggesting that indiscriminate cross-modal fusion fails to preserve temporal representations. We demonstrate that constrained fusion consistently improves performance by filtering irrelevant auxiliary signals while preserving temporal representations effectively. Furthermore, we propose CFA, a constrained fusion method that suppresses irrelevant textual information in the low-rank subspace. Extensive experiments across various datasets and backbones validate the effectiveness and generality of our approach. **Limitations and Future Work.** As our study focuses on textual modalities, extending constrained fusion to other modalities (e.g. vision and tabular) remains a promising direction for future research. In addition, although CFA shows consistent improvements across diverse settings, our analysis remains largely empirical, and deeper theoretical understanding would strengthen the framework.

References

- [1] Rafal A Angryk, Petrus C Martens, Berkay Aydin, Dustin Kempton, Sushant S Mahajan, Sunitha Basodi, Azim Ahmadzadeh, Xumin Cai, Soukaina Filali Boubrahimi, Shah Muhammad Hamdi, et al. Multivariate time series dataset for space weather data analytics. *Scientific data*, 7(1):227, 2020.
- [2] Sameep Chattopadhyay, Pulkit Paliwal, Sai Shankar Narasimhan, Shubhankar Agarwal, and Sandeep P Chinchali. Context matters: Leveraging contextual features for time series forecasting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.12672*, 2024.
- [3] Si-An Chen, Chun-Liang Li, Nate Yoder, Sercan O Arik, and Tomas Pfister. Tsmixer: An all-mlp architecture for time series forecasting. *TMLR*, 2023.
- [4] Abdul Monaf Chowdhury, Rabeya Akter, and Safaeid Hossain Arib. T3time: Tri-modal time series forecasting via adaptive multi-head alignment and residual fusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2508.04251*, 2025.
- [5] Razvan-Gabriel Cirstea, Bin Yang, Chenjuan Guo, Tung Kieu, and Shirui Pan. Towards spatio-temporal aware traffic time series forecasting. In *2022 IEEE 38th International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE)*, pages 2900–2913. IEEE, 2022.
- [6] A. Das, W. Kong, A. B. Leach, S. Mathur, R. Sen, and R. Yu. Long-term forecasting with tide: Time-series dense encoder. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2304.08424*, 2023.
- [7] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *NAACL*, 2018.
- [8] Vijay Ekambaram, Kushagra Manglik, Sumanta Mukherjee, Surya Shraavan Kumar Sajja, Satyam Dwivedi, and Vikas Raykar. Attention based multi-modal new product sales time-series forecasting. In *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery & data mining*, pages 3110–3118, 2020.
- [9] Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Liang Wang, Weizhu Chen, et al. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. *ICLR*, 1(2):3, 2022.
- [10] Furong Jia, Kevin Wang, Yixiang Zheng, Defu Cao, and Yan Liu. Gpt4mts: Prompt-based large language model for multimodal time-series forecasting. In *AAAI*, 2024.
- [11] Yushan Jiang, Kanghui Ning, Zijie Pan, Xuyang Shen, Jingchao Ni, Wenchao Yu, Anderson Schneider, Haifeng Chen, Yuriy Nevmyvaka, and Dongjin Song. Multi-modal time series analysis: A tutorial and survey. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining V. 2*, pages 6043–6053, 2025.
- [12] Ming Jin, Shiyu Wang, Lintao Ma, Zhixuan Chu, James Y Zhang, Xiaoming Shi, Pin-Yu Chen, Yuxuan Liang, Yuan-Fang Li, Shirui Pan, et al. Time-llm: Time series forecasting by reprogramming large language models. In *ICLR*, 2024.
- [13] Kai Kim, Howard Tsai, Rajat Sen, Abhimanyu Das, Zihao Zhou, Abhishek Tanpure, Mathew Luo, and Rose Yu. Multi-modal forecaster: Jointly predicting time series and textual data. *arXiv:2411.06735*, 2024. Preprint.
- [14] Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*, 2014.
- [15] Nikita Kitaev, Łukasz Kaiser, and Anselm Levskaya. Reformer: The efficient transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.04451*, 2020.
- [16] Quoc V. Le and Tomas Mikolov. Distributed representations of sentences and documents. In *ICML*, 2014.

- [17] Zihao Li, Xiao Lin, Zhining Liu, Jiaru Zou, Ziwei Wu, Lecheng Zheng, Dongqi Fu, Yada Zhu, Hendrik Hamann, Hanghang Tong, et al. Language in the flow of time: Time-series-paired texts weaved into a unified temporal narrative. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.08942*, 2025.
- [18] Jiafeng Lin, Yuxuan Wang, Huakun Luo, Zhongyi Pei, and Jianmin Wang. Timi: Empower time series transformers with multimodal mixture of experts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2602.21693*, 2026.
- [19] Chanjuan Liu, Shengzhi Wang, and Enqiang Zhu. Pa-rnet: Perturbation-aware reasoning network for multimodal time series forecasting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2508.04750*, 2025.
- [20] Chenxi Liu, Qianxiong Xu, Hao Miao, Sun Yang, Lingzheng Zhang, Cheng Long, Ziyue Li, and Rui Zhao. Timecma: Towards llm-empowered time series forecasting via cross-modality alignment. *AAAI*, pages arXiv–2406, 2025.
- [21] Haoxin Liu, Shangqing Xu, Zhiyuan Zhao, Ling kai Kong, Harshavardhan Prabhakar Kamarthi, Aditya Sasanur, Megha Sharma, Jiaming Cui, Qingsong Wen, Chao Zhang, et al. Time-mmd: Multi-domain multimodal dataset for time series analysis. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 37:77888–77933, 2024.
- [22] Y. Liu et al. Non-stationary transformers for time series forecasting. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2022.
- [23] Yong Liu, Tengge Hu, Haoran Zhang, Haixu Wu, Shiyu Wang, Lintao Ma, and Mingsheng Long. itransformer: Inverted transformers are effective for time series forecasting. In *ICLR*, 2024.
- [24] Yong Liu, Chenyu Li, Jianmin Wang, and Mingsheng Long. Koopa: Learning non-stationary time series dynamics with koopman predictors. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 36:12271–12290, 2023.
- [25] Huu Hiep Nguyen, Minh Hoang Nguyen, Dung Nguyen, and Hung Le. Spectral text fusion: A frequency-aware approach to multimodal time-series forecasting. *arXiv:2602.01588*, 2026. Preprint.
- [26] Y. Nie, N. H. Nguyen, P. Sinthong, and J. Kalagnanam. Patchtst: A patch-based time series transformer with channel independence. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2211.14730*, 2023.
- [27] Sehyuk Park, Soyeon Caren Han, and Eduard Hovy. Unicast: A unified multimodal prompting framework for time series forecasting. *arXiv:2508.11954*, 2025. Preprint.
- [28] Ethan Perez, Florian Strub, Harm De Vries, Vincent Dumoulin, and Aaron Courville. Film: Visual reasoning with a general conditioning layer. In *AAAI*, 2018.
- [29] Alec Radford, Jeff Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, and Ilya Sutskever. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI*, 2019. Technical report.
- [30] Hadi Rezaei, Hamidreza Faaljoui, and Gholamreza Mansourfar. Stock price prediction using deep learning and frequency decomposition. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 169:114332, 2021.
- [31] Chen Su, Yuanhe Tian, and Yan Song. Multimodal conditioned diffusive time series forecasting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.19669*, 2025.
- [32] Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023.
- [33] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. In *NeurIPS*, 2017.
- [34] Chengsen Wang, Qi Qi, Jingyu Wang, Haifeng Sun, Zirui Zhuang, Jinming Wu, Lei Zhang, and Jianxin Liao. Chattime: A unified multimodal time series foundation model bridging numerical and textual data. In *AAAI*, 2025.

- [35] Yuxuan Wang, Haixu Wu, Jiayang Dong, Guo Qin, Haoran Zhang, Yong Liu, Yunzhong Qiu, Jianmin Wang, and Mingsheng Long. Timexer: Empowering transformers for time series forecasting with exogenous variables. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 37:469–498, 2024.
- [36] Haixu Wu, Jiehui Xu, Jianmin Wang, and Mingsheng Long. Autoformer: Decomposition transformers with auto-correlation for long-term series forecasting. In *NeurIPS*, 2021.
- [37] Hao Xue and Flora D Salim. Promptcast: A new prompt-based learning paradigm for time series forecasting. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 36(11):6851–6864, 2023.
- [38] Yueyang Yao, Jiajun Li, Xingyuan Dai, MengMeng Zhang, Xiaoyan Gong, Fei-Yue Wang, and Yisheng Lv. Context-aware probabilistic modeling with llm for multimodal time series forecasting. arXiv:2505.10774, 2025. Preprint.
- [39] Kun Yi, Qi Zhang, Wei Fan, Shoujin Wang, Pengyang Wang, Hui He, Ning An, Defu Lian, Longbing Cao, and Zhendong Niu. Frequency-domain mlps are more effective learners in time series forecasting. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36:76656–76679, 2023.
- [40] A. Zeng, S. Chen, L. Zhang, and Q. Xu. DLinear: Efficient linear models for time series forecasting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.13504*, 2022.
- [41] Yunhao Zhang and Junchi Yan. Crossformer: Transformer utilizing cross-dimension dependency for multivariate time series forecasting. In *ICLR*, 2023.
- [42] Siru Zhong, Weilin Ruan, Ming Jin, Huan Li, Qingsong Wen, and Yuxuan Liang. Time-vm: Exploring multimodal vision-language models for augmented time series forecasting. In *Proceedings of the 42nd International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, volume 267 of *PMLR*, pages 78478–78497, 2025.
- [43] Haoyi Zhou, Shanghang Zhang, Jieqi Peng, Shuai Zhang, Jianxin Li, Hui Xiong, and Wancai Zhang. Informer: Beyond efficient transformer for long sequence time-series forecasting. In *AAAI*, 2021.
- [44] Shiqiao Zhou, Holger Schöner, Huanbo Lyu, Edouard Fouché, and Shuo Wang. Balm-tsf: Balanced multimodal alignment for llm-based time series forecasting. arXiv:2509.00622, 2025. Preprint.
- [45] T. Zhou, Z. Ma, Q. Wen, X. Wang, L. Sun, and R. Jin. Film: Frequency improved legendre memory model for long-term time series forecasting. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022.
- [46] Tian Zhou, Ziqing Ma, Qingsong Wen, Xue Wang, Liang Sun, and Rong Jin. Fedformer: Frequency enhanced decomposed transformer for long-term series forecasting. In *ICML*, 2022.

A Details of Models

We use the `mmtslib` package provided by Time-MMD [21]. We adopt 14 TS models and 4 text models implemented in the library. **TS Models.** We use 14 TS models from three categories as follows:

- **Transformer-based Models**

- **Transformer** [33]: A standard sequence-to-sequence model based on multi-head self-attention.
- **Informer** [43]: A sparse-attention Transformer designed for efficient long-term TS forecasting.
- **Reformer** [15]: An efficient Transformer variant using locality-sensitive hashing and reversible layers.
- **Autoformer** [36]: A decomposition-based Transformer that replaces attention with an auto-correlation mechanism.
- **FEDformer** [46]: A frequency-enhanced Transformer leveraging Fourier decomposition for long-horizon prediction.
- **Crossformer** [41]: A multivariate Transformer that models cross-dimension dependencies.
- **iTransformer** [23]: An inverted Transformer that treats variates as tokens to model inter-variable relationships.
- **Nonstationary Transformer** [22]: A Transformer tailored to capture non-stationary temporal patterns.
- **PatchTST** [26]: A patch-based Transformer that segments TS into subseries tokens for scalable forecasting.

- **Linear / MLP-based Models**

- **DLinear** [40]: A linear model that performs forecasting via direct regression on historical inputs.
- **TiDE** [6]: An MLP-based encoder-decoder model designed for long-term TS forecasting.
- **TSMixer** [3]: A fully-MLP architecture that mixes temporal and feature information for prediction.

- **Other Architectures**

- **Koopa** [24]: A Koopman-operator-inspired model that decomposes TS into stable and dynamic components.
- **FILM** [45]: A frequency-based method that applies Fourier and Legendre projections for denoising and trend modeling.

Text Models. We use 4 text models, including three LLMs as follows:

- **BERT** [7]: A bidirectional Transformer pre-trained with masked language modeling.
- **GPT-2** [29]: An autoregressive Transformer trained to predict next-token distributions.
- **Llama-3** [32]: A recent large language model with improved text generation and comprehension capabilities.
- **Doc2Vec** [16]: A document-level embedding model that learns fixed-length vector representations of text.

B Details of Datasets

We use the nine multimodal datasets from various domains proposed in Time-MMD [21]. The input length (L) and forecasting horizons (H) are determined according to the frequency of each dataset (daily, weekly, or monthly). Detailed information about the datasets is provided in Table 6.

Table 6: **Meta information of the nine Time-MMD [21] datasets.** We report the domain, prediction target, dimensionality, data frequency, number of samples, timespan, input length (L), and forecasting horizons (H) for all nine multimodal datasets.

Domain	Target	Dim.	Freq.	#Samples	Timespan	L	H
Agriculture	Retail Broiler Composite	1	M	496	1983–Present	24	{6, 8, 10, 12}
Climate	Drought Level	5	M	496	1983–Present	24	{6, 8, 10, 12}
Economy	International Trade Balance	3	M	423	1989–Present	24	{6, 8, 10, 12}
Energy	Gasoline Prices	9	W	1479	1996–Present	48	{12, 24, 36, 48}
Environment	Air Quality Index	4	D	11102	1982–2023	336	{48, 96, 192, 336}
Health	Influenza Patients Proportion	11	W	1389	1997–Present	48	{12, 24, 36, 48}
Security	Disaster & Emergency Grants	1	M	297	1999–Present	24	{6, 8, 10, 12}
Social Good	Unemployment Rate	1	M	900	1950–Present	24	{6, 8, 10, 12}
Traffic	Travel Volume	1	M	531	1980–Present	24	{6, 8, 10, 12}

The qualitative descriptions of each dataset are as follows:

- **Agriculture:** Tracks U.S. retail broiler (chicken) composite prices, reflecting supply–demand dynamics and seasonal patterns in the agricultural market.
- **Climate:** Measures drought severity levels across regions, capturing long-term climate variability and extreme weather trends.
- **Economy:** Represents the U.S. international trade balance, indicating macroeconomic conditions and global trade fluctuations.
- **Energy:** Records U.S. gasoline prices, a key indicator of energy market volatility and consumer economic burden.
- **Environment:** Monitors daily air quality index (AQI), reflecting pollution dynamics and environmental risk levels.
- **Health:** Tracks weekly influenza-like illness (ILI) proportions, serving as a proxy for epidemic spread and public health trends.
- **Security:** Captures disaster and emergency grant allocations, reflecting the temporal impact of large-scale natural and societal crises.
- **Social Good:** Measures unemployment rates, highlighting labor market disparities and socioeconomic stability.
- **Traffic:** Represents travel volume statistics, indicating mobility trends and transportation demand dynamics.

C Experimental Setup

(1) Evaluation metrics. We evaluate forecasting performance using Mean Squared Error (MSE) and Mean Absolute Error (MAE), which are standard metrics in TS forecasting.

(2) Input and output horizons. We consider different forecasting horizon settings depending on the reporting frequency of each dataset, as shown in Table 7.

Table 7: Input and output horizon settings.

Frequency	Lookback window (L)	Forecast horizons (H)
Daily	96	[48, 96, 192, 336]
Weekly	36	[12, 24, 36, 48]
Monthly	8	[6, 8, 10, 12]

(3) Optimizer. We use the Adam optimizer [14] for all trainable modules with a batch size of 32.

(4) Learning rate. We assign separate optimizers and learning rates to different components. The default learning rates are defined as follows:

Table 8: Learning rate configuration.

Optimizer	Target module	Learning rate	Default value
Model Optimizer	Time Series Model	η_{TS}	1×10^{-4}
MLP Optimizer	Text Embedding MLP	η_{MLP}	1×10^{-2}
Projection Optimizer	Projection Layer	η_{Proj}	1×10^{-3}

To identify the best configuration, we multiply each default learning rate by the following scaling factors: {0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, 10.0, 20.0, 50.0, 100.0}, resulting in 10 different learning rate configurations per component.

(5) Epochs. We train the model for a maximum of 10 epochs with patience 5.

(6) Data split. All datasets are divided chronologically into train:validation:test = 7:1:2.

D Model Hyperparameters

Table 9 presents the hyperparameter settings of the 14 TS models used in our experiments. We follow the default configurations adopted in Time-MMD [21]. Here, d_{model} denotes the model (hidden) dimension, n_{heads} the number of attention heads, $L_{\text{enc}}/L_{\text{dec}}$ the number of encoder/decoder layers, d_{ff} the feed-forward dimension, and p_{drop} the dropout rate.

Note that since DLinear [40] has no hidden representation, all three injection positions (first, middle, and last) operate directly on the channel dimension C_{in} rather than a latent dimension d_{model} . Specifically, first fusion adds or concatenates the projected text embedding $\mathbf{W}_{\text{proj}}\mathbf{e}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{\text{in}}}$ to the raw input $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times C_{\text{in}}}$ before decomposition. Middle fusion injects the text signal into the seasonal and trend components $\in \mathbb{R}^{C_{\text{in}} \times H}$ independently after the `seq_len` \rightarrow `pred_len` linear projection but before their summation. Last fusion modifies the final output $\in \mathbb{R}^{H \times C_{\text{in}}}$ after the linear mapping. For CFA, the adapter bottleneck dimension is computed as $\lfloor C_{\text{in}}/r \rfloor$ rather than $\lfloor d_{\text{model}}/r \rfloor$. Thus, the low-rank text residual is injected into the variate space instead of a token- or hidden-level space.

Table 9: Default hyperparameters of the 14 TS models.

Model	d_{model}	n_{heads}	L_{enc}	L_{dec}	d_{ff}	p_{drop}
Transformer-based methods						
Transformer [33]	512	8	2	1	2048	0.1
Informer [43]	512	8	2	1	2048	0.1
Autoformer [36]	512	8	2	1	2048	0.1
FEDformer [46]	512	8	2	1	2048	0.1
Nonstationary Transformer [22]	512	8	2	1	2048	0.1
Reformer [15]	512	8	2	—	2048	0.1
iTransformer [23]	512	8	2	—	2048	0.1
PatchTST [26]	512	8	2	—	2048	0.1
Crossformer [41]	512	8	2	—	2048	0.1
Linear/MLP-based methods						
DLinear [40]	—	—	—	—	—	0.1
TSMixer [3]	512	—	2	—	—	0.1
TiDE [6]	512	—	2	1	2048	0.1
Others						
FiLM [45]	512	—	2	—	—	0.1
Koopa [24]	—	—	—	—	—	0.1

E Toy Experiment with Multimodal Fusion

We conduct a controlled toy experiment to analyze *the effect of the low-rank bottleneck (low-rank adapter)* in CFA. The low-rank adapter constrains the projection of text embeddings through a low-rank bottleneck before they are injected into the TS backbone, which is expected to *suppress irrelevant or contradicting textual signals while preserving useful guidance*.

To examine this effect, we compare CFA with and without the low-rank constraint. Throughout this section, **w/ bottleneck** denotes CFA with the low-rank bottleneck, while **w/o bottleneck** denotes the middle-additive baseline that directly injects text embeddings without the low-rank constraint.

A. Toy dataset construction. We synthesize a univariate TS and pair each time step with a short natural-language description. Each description belongs to one of three categories:

- 1) **Matching:** The description correctly reflects the current trend of the TS (*e.g.*, “The value is rising steadily”). This text provides useful information and should be incorporated by the model.
- 2) **Contradicting:** The description contradicts the actual trend (*e.g.*, “The value is declining” when the series is rising). Injecting such text without filtering is expected to degrade forecast quality.
- 3) **Irrelevant:** The description is unrelated to the TS (*e.g.*, a sentence about an unrelated domain). This text contains no useful signal and should ideally be ignored.

We construct a dataset ($N = 1,000$) in which all three text types coexist, with 70% used for training, 10% for validation, and 20% for testing. To illustrate the dataset, Figure 10 shows example TS segments paired with each type of textual description.




Figure 10: **Illustration of the toy dataset.** Each time step of the TS is paired with a text description, categorized as 1) matching, 2) contradicting, or 3) irrelevant. Matching descriptions align with the TS trend, contradicting ones oppose it, and irrelevant ones provide no useful signal.

B. Model and horizon. We use the Nonstationary Transformer [22] as the TS backbone with an input length of $L = 8$ and a prediction horizon of $T = 8$.

C. Analyses. We examine the effect of the low-rank bottleneck from three perspectives:

- **Performance comparison** (Section E.1): MSE per text type.
- **Representation analysis** (Section E.2): Bottleneck activation norms and text-contribution ratios.
- **TS visualization** (Section E.3): Predictions for matched vs. contradicting text.

E.1 Analysis 1: Performance Comparison

Table 10 reports the MSE on the test split for case w/ bottleneck (CFA) and w/o bottleneck (middle-additive) across three categories of text descriptions.

Table 10: **Performance on the synthetic dataset.** Results are reported for CFA w/ and w/o bottleneck across three categories of text descriptions.

Text type	w/o bottleneck	w/ bottleneck	Improv. (%)
Matching	0.1683	0.1477	+ 12.19
Contradicting	0.1635	0.1560	+ 4.59
Irrelevant	0.1851	0.1480	+ 20.04

From Table 10, three observations follow as below:

(i) CFA improves performance across all text types. CFA (w/ bottleneck) achieves lower MSE than the middle-additive baseline (w/o bottleneck) for **matching**, **contradicting**, and **irrelevant** descriptions, *indicating that the low-rank bottleneck consistently improves multimodal fusion.*

(ii) CFA effectively suppresses irrelevant textual signals. For **irrelevant** descriptions, which contain no useful forecasting information, the middle-additive baseline still injects the text into the TS backbone, introducing noise into the representations. In contrast, the *low-rank bottleneck largely filters out such inputs*, yielding the largest MSE reduction (+20.04%).

(iii) CFA better distinguishes helpful and harmful text. Without the low-rank adapter, **contradicting** text surprisingly yields lower MSE than **matching** text, suggesting that *the baseline fails to properly utilize the semantic content of the descriptions.* In contrast, With the low-rank adapter, the expected ordering emerges, where **matching** descriptions are most beneficial, while **contradicting** descriptions remain the most challenging ($MSE_{\text{Matching}} < MSE_{\text{Irrelevant}} < MSE_{\text{Contradicting}}$).

E.2 Analysis 2: Representation Analysis

To investigate how CFA selectively filters text, we measure the **text-contribution ratio** at the output of the low-rank adapter. For each test sample, we compute $r = \frac{\|\mathbf{o}\|_2}{\|\bar{\mathbf{e}}\|_2}$, where $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{model}}}$ is the adapter output added to the TS representation, and $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ is the pooled text embedding before the adapter. Intuitively, r quantifies *how much of the original text signal survives the bottleneck*: higher r indicates stronger injection, while lower r indicates suppression. In this analysis, we focus on the first encoder layer of the Nonstationary Transformer and report r by text category (*Matching* vs. *Contradicting*).

Table 11: **Text-contribution ratio.** The table shows how much of the text signal survives the low-rank bottleneck for each text type. Matching text is injected more strongly than contradicting text, indicating that the adapter selectively suppresses conflicting information.

	Matching	Contradicting
Mean	33.50	31.56
Std	3.56	3.07
t, p	$t = 4.03, p < 0.001$	
Cohen’s d	0.58	




Figure 11: Text-contribution ratio.

Table 11 and Figure 11 summarize the distribution of r for **matching** and **contradicting** text, where values are $(r - 0.25745) \times 10^6$ for readability. Matching text yields a higher mean value (33.50) than contradicting text (31.56), and the difference is statistically significant ($t = 4.03, p < 0.001$, Cohen’s $d = 0.58$). This suggests that the low-rank bottleneck *suppresses contradictory text while preserving matching information*, providing controlled guidance to the TS backbone.

E.3 Analysis 3: TS Visualization

Figure 12 provides a qualitative comparison of the forecast trajectories for a representative matching (left) and contradicting (right) test sample from the AB dataset.




Figure 12: Forecast comparison for a matched (left) and a contradicting (right) text sample. **Green solid:** w/ bottleneck. **Red dashed:** w/o bottleneck. **Black solid:** ground truth. The vertical dotted line separates the input window from the prediction horizon.

Matching text. When the injected text correctly describes the trend, both w/ bottleneck and w/o bottleneck produce reasonable forecasts that follow the ground truth. The CFA prediction (w/ bottleneck) tracks the ground truth slightly more closely, suggesting that *the low-rank bottleneck preserves useful information while absorbing the helpful signal*.

Contradicting text. The contrast is clearer for the contradicting-text sample, where the ground truth descends during the forecast horizon, while the injected text suggests an upward movement. The baseline (w/o bottleneck) is misled by this conflicting signal and its prediction rises in the early forecast steps, deviating from the ground truth. CFA (w/ bottleneck), in contrast, disregards the misleading text and predicts a downward trajectory that closely follows the ground truth. This qualitative contrast visualizes the filtering capability of the low-rank bottleneck, as the low-rank constraint *prevents harmful text from corrupting the forecast even when the text signal is strongly contradictory*.

F Details of Constrained Fusion Strategies

In this section, we provide formal descriptions and mathematical formulations for the three constrained fusion strategies introduced in Section 3.2. We adopt the notation defined in Section 3: TS embeddings $\mathbf{Z}_{\text{TS}} = [\mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},1}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},L}]$, text embeddings $\mathbf{Z}_{\text{Text}} = [\mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},1}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},L}]$.

F.1 Gating Mechanism

The gating mechanism determines the relevance of textual information at each time step using a learned gate. Formally, let $\mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},t} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ denote the TS embedding at time step t , and $\mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},t} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ the text embedding. A gate $\mathbf{g}_t \in [0, 1]^D$ is computed as:

$$\mathbf{g}_t = \sigma(\mathbf{W}_g[\mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},t}; \mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},t}] + \mathbf{b}_g), \quad (3)$$

where σ is the sigmoid function, $[\cdot; \cdot]$ denotes concatenation, and $\mathbf{W}_g, \mathbf{b}_g$ are learnable parameters. The fused embedding is then:

$$\mathbf{z}_{\text{fused},t} = \mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},t} + \mathbf{g}_t \odot \mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},t}. \quad (4)$$

F.2 FiLM (Feature-wise Linear Modulation)

FiLM modulates TS embeddings based on text embeddings by applying feature-wise scaling and shifting as:

$$\mathbf{z}_{\text{fused},t} = \gamma(\mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},t}) \odot \mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},t} + \beta(\mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},t}), \quad (5)$$

where $\gamma(\cdot), \beta(\cdot)$ are learned functions (e.g., MLPs) that generate scaling and shifting parameters from the text embedding. This preserves the temporal structure of TS embeddings while modulating each feature dimension according to textual context.

F.3 Orthogonal Fusion

Orthogonal fusion projects the text embedding onto the orthogonal complement of the TS embedding to avoid overwriting temporal information:

$$\mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},t}^\perp = \mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},t} - \frac{\mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},t}^\top \mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},t}}{\|\mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},t}\|^2} \mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},t}, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{z}_{\text{fused},t} = \mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},t} + \mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},t}^\perp. \quad (7)$$

This ensures that only components of the text embedding orthogonal to the TS embedding are integrated, preserving the original temporal information.

E.4 Controlled Fusion Adapter (CFA)

Controlled Fusion Adapter (CFA) integrates textual information into TS embeddings through a lightweight residual adapter with a low-dimensional bottleneck. Unlike direct additive or multiplicative fusion, CFA first transforms the text embedding into a compact latent space and then projects it back to the original dimension before residual addition. This design preserves the TS backbone representation while allowing text to provide directional guidance with minimal parameter overhead.

Formally, for each time step t , the text embedding $\mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},t} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is first projected to a reduced bottleneck space:

$$\mathbf{z}_{\text{Adapter},t} = \mathbf{W}_{\text{down}} \mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},t} \in \mathbb{R}^{D/r}, \quad (8)$$

where r is the reduction ratio controlling parameter efficiency. The intermediate representation is then normalized and activated:

$$\mathbf{z}'_{\text{Adapter},t} = \phi(\text{LayerNorm}(\mathbf{z}_{\text{Adapter},t})), \quad (9)$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ denotes a non-linear activation function (e.g., ReLU). Finally, the representation is projected back to the original dimension and added as a residual to the TS embedding:

$$\mathbf{z}_{\text{fused},t} = \mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},t} + \mathbf{W}_{\text{up}} \mathbf{z}'_{\text{Adapter},t}. \quad (10)$$

Here, $\mathbf{W}_{\text{down}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{D}{r} \times D}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{\text{up}} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times \frac{D}{r}}$ are learnable parameters. The residual structure ensures that the original TS embedding is preserved, while the bottleneck controls the magnitude and complexity of textual influence. In practice, initializing \mathbf{W}_{up} near zero helps stabilize early training by preventing excessive perturbation of the TS backbone.

G Theoretical Perspective on Low-Rank Text Fusion

CFA injects textual signals into TS embeddings through a low-rank adapter. Following the formulation in Section 3.3, the injected signal is given by

$$\mathbf{z}''_{\text{Adapter},t} = \mathbf{W}_{\text{up}} \phi(\mathbf{W}_{\text{down}} \mathbf{z}_{\text{Text},t}), \quad (11)$$

which is added to the TS embedding as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{\text{TS},t} = \mathbf{z}_{\text{TS},t} + \mathbf{z}''_{\text{Adapter},t}. \quad (12)$$

Since $\mathbf{W}_{\text{down}} \in \mathbb{R}^{D/r \times D}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{\text{up}} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D/r}$, we have $\text{rank}(\mathbf{W}_{\text{down}}) \leq D/r$ and $\text{rank}(\mathbf{W}_{\text{up}}) \leq D/r$. From $\text{rank}(AB) \leq \min(\text{rank}(A), \text{rank}(B))$, the transformation $\mathbf{W}_{\text{up}} \mathbf{W}_{\text{down}}$ has rank at most D/r . Therefore the injected textual signal lies in a subspace of \mathbb{R}^D whose dimension is at most D/r .

This low-rank constraint *restricts the directions in which textual signals influence TS embeddings*. As a result, the textual information modifies TS representations only *within a low-dimensional subspace*. This reduces the capacity of textual signals and suppresses irrelevant information during fusion.

H Temporal Attribution for Multimodal TS Forecasting

To analyze which past time steps the model relies on when generating forecasts, we assign an importance score to each of the L input time steps. This enables direct comparison of temporal focus across different fusion strategies.

Problem setup. Let the encoder input be $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times L \times D}$, where B denotes the batch size, L the input horizon, and D the number of channels. The forecasting model predicts $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ over the output horizon, and we define the loss as mean squared error

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{MSE}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{y}).$$

Our goal is to quantify the contribution of each input time step $t \in \{0, \dots, L - 1\}$ to this loss.

Gradient-based temporal importance. We adopt a Gradient \times Input attribution scheme. For a single sample (omitting the batch index), let $x_t^{(d)}$ denote the input value at time step t and channel d . The gradient of the loss with respect to the input is

$$g_t^{(d)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_t^{(d)}}.$$

The element-wise attribution is defined as

$$a_t^{(d)} = g_t^{(d)} \cdot x_t^{(d)}.$$

We aggregate over channels to obtain one importance value per time step:

$$I_t = \sum_{d=1}^D |a_t^{(d)}| = \sum_{d=1}^D \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_t^{(d)}} \cdot x_t^{(d)} \right|.$$

This yields an importance vector $\mathbf{I} = (I_0, \dots, I_{L-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^L$. When the input horizon is $L = 8$, we therefore obtain exactly 8 importance values, one for each input time step.

For visualization and comparison across samples, we optionally normalize the scores:

$$\tilde{I}_t = \frac{I_t}{\sum_{t'=0}^{L-1} I_{t'} + \epsilon},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ ensures numerical stability.

Implementation details We compute gradients with respect to the encoder input by cloning the input tensor and enabling gradient tracking on the clone. After a forward pass and backpropagation of the MSE loss, we extract $\partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \mathbf{x}$ and compute the element-wise product with the original input values. All attribution scores are computed under the same forecasting setting used for evaluation.

For models that do not employ attention mechanisms, such as TiDE, the temporal attribution is derived solely from the gradient-based formulation above. Thus, the number of importance values always equals the input horizon L , ensuring consistent interpretation across backbones.

I Sensitivity Analysis

We examine the robustness of the CFA to the reduction ratio r across datasets and TS models. The results in Table 12 show that performance remains stable for different values of r . Although $r = 4$ achieves the best average performance in several cases, we adopt $r = 8$ in our experiments considering both performance and computational efficiency.

Table 12: Average performance (MAE, MSE) by CFA reduction rank r .

Metric	$r = 2$	$r = 4$	$r = 8$	$r = 16$	$r = 32$
MAE	1.031	1.025	1.029	1.035	1.030
SE	13.326	13.290	13.334	13.374	13.339

I.1 Performance by TS Model

Table 13: Average MAE per model by CFA reduction ratio r .

Model	$r = 2$	$r = 4$	$r = 8$	$r = 16$	$r = 32$
Autoformer [36]	1.026	1.021	1.031	1.039	1.018
Crossformer [41]	1.125	1.134	1.127	1.137	1.118
DLinear [40]	0.969	0.969	0.969	0.969	0.970
FEDformer [46]	0.967	0.963	0.970	0.978	0.981
FiLM [45]	0.963	0.963	0.963	0.963	0.963
Informer [43]	1.217	1.190	1.211	1.217	1.208
Koopa [24]	0.944	0.944	0.944	0.944	0.945
Nonstationary Transformer [22]	0.904	0.909	0.899	0.916	0.910
PatchTST [26]	0.948	0.943	0.948	0.948	0.951
Reformer [15]	1.151	1.136	1.167	1.179	1.162
TSMixer [3]	1.123	1.123	1.123	1.123	1.123
TiDE [6]	0.988	0.979	0.974	0.981	0.986
Transformer [33]	1.149	1.134	1.128	1.162	1.145
iTransformer [23]	0.953	0.943	0.950	0.940	0.944

Table 14: Average MSE per model by CFA reduction ratio r .

Model	$r = 2$	$r = 4$	$r = 8$	$r = 16$	$r = 32$
Autoformer [36]	12.947	12.744	13.107	13.203	12.805
Crossformer [41]	14.302	14.345	14.135	14.291	14.088
DLinear [40]	12.613	12.613	12.613	12.613	12.613
FEDformer [46]	12.597	12.732	12.748	12.955	12.887
FiLM [45]	12.766	12.766	12.766	12.766	12.766
Informer [43]	14.829	14.851	14.861	14.897	14.813
Koopa [24]	12.420	12.420	12.420	12.420	12.421
Nonstationary Transformer [22]	12.247	12.208	12.185	12.276	12.274
PatchTST [26]	12.615	12.580	12.632	12.613	12.584
Reformer [15]	14.038	14.165	14.387	14.447	14.394
TSMixer [3]	14.693	14.693	14.693	14.693	14.693
TiDE [6]	12.974	12.756	12.779	12.797	13.051
Transformer [33]	14.797	14.714	14.733	14.691	14.698
iTransformer [23]	12.727	12.471	12.621	12.568	12.654

I.2 Performance by Dataset

Table 15: Average MAE per dataset by CFA reduction ratio r .

Dataset	$r = 2$	$r = 4$	$r = 8$	$r = 16$	$r = 32$
Algriculture	0.2534	0.2452	0.2508	0.2515	0.2560
Climate	0.8680	0.8655	0.8648	0.8669	0.8666
Economy	0.2679	0.2364	0.2610	0.2825	0.2518
Energy	0.3927	0.3894	0.3908	0.3896	0.3939
Environment	0.5156	0.5192	0.5190	0.5188	0.5190
Public	0.7976	0.7941	0.7931	0.8001	0.7946
Security	5.4168	5.4129	5.4218	5.4441	5.4309
SocialGood	0.4584	0.4577	0.4532	0.4642	0.4545
Traffic	0.3046	0.3054	0.3041	0.3019	0.3054

Table 16: Average MSE per dataset by CFA reduction ratio r .

Dataset	$r = 2$	$r = 4$	$r = 8$	$r = 16$	$r = 32$
Algriculture	0.1483	0.1446	0.1473	0.1501	0.1526
Climate	1.1596	1.1520	1.1537	1.1576	1.1540
Economy	0.1602	0.1278	0.1540	0.1790	0.1390
Energy	0.2813	0.2781	0.2800	0.2794	0.2838
Environment	0.4836	0.4877	0.4875	0.4856	0.4848
Public	1.4135	1.4161	1.4055	1.4173	1.4062
Security	115.1568	114.8712	115.2570	115.5611	115.3047
SocialGood	0.9195	0.9183	0.9121	0.9215	0.9115
Traffic	0.2114	0.2125	0.2112	0.2108	0.2113

J Comparison with Other Methods

Table 17 presents a comparison of our method against other TS models, covering both unimodal TS models and architecture-specific multimodal TS models. We use eight Time-MMD multimodal datasets [21], excluding the Environment dataset due to reproducibility issues with the baseline methods.³ The results are based on SpecTF [25], which is concurrent with our work (2026.02). We apply our approach to three representative TS backbones: a Transformer-based model (Nonstationary Transformer [22]), a Linear/MLP-based model (TiDE [6]), and other architectures (Koopas [24]).

Table 17: **Comparison with other methods, including architecture-specific multimodal TS forecasting models. Red bold:** best, **blue underline:** second best.

Dataset	H	SpecTF [25]	TaTS [17]	MM-TSF [21]	TimeXer [35]	FreTS [39]	Time-LLM [12]	ChatTime [34]	CFA (Ours)		
									Transformer [22]	+ Linear/MLP [6]	Others [24]
Agriculture	6	0.064	0.075	0.084	0.088	0.082	0.092	0.193	0.053	0.060	<u>0.056</u>
	8	0.084	0.097	0.112	0.109	0.101	0.151	0.264	0.069	0.082	<u>0.076</u>
	10	0.110	0.127	0.133	0.144	0.125	0.176	0.345	0.091	0.103	<u>0.100</u>
	12	0.152	0.167	0.165	0.187	0.172	0.210	0.418	0.116	0.135	<u>0.128</u>
	Avg	0.103	0.112	0.123	0.132	0.120	0.157	0.305	0.082	0.095	<u>0.090</u>
Climate	6	<u>0.904</u>	0.990	1.074	1.027	0.286	1.126	1.490	1.073	1.258	1.253
	8	<u>0.927</u>	0.998	1.067	1.059	0.283	1.165	1.530	1.250	1.267	1.258
	10	<u>0.965</u>	1.010	1.100	1.067	0.294	1.200	1.519	1.176	1.259	1.247
	12	<u>0.960</u>	1.012	1.101	1.058	0.293	1.210	1.570	1.163	1.274	1.232
	Avg	0.938	<u>1.002</u>	1.053	1.053	1.289	1.175	1.528	1.166	1.265	1.248
Economy	6	<u>0.0084</u>	0.0080	0.0116	0.0133	0.0150	0.0307	0.478	0.017	0.017	0.017
	8	<u>0.0086</u>	0.0083	0.0117	0.0140	0.0149	0.0310	0.056	0.020	0.018	0.018
	10	<u>0.0085</u>	0.0083	0.0126	0.0143	0.0155	0.0323	0.056	0.019	0.017	0.017
	12	<u>0.0086</u>	0.0084	0.0125	0.0148	0.0154	0.0336	0.059	0.020	0.017	0.018
	Avg	<u>0.0085</u>	0.0083	0.0096	0.0096	0.0152	0.0319	0.0547	0.019	0.017	0.018
Energy	12	0.096	0.103	0.117	0.137	0.127	0.105	0.135	<u>0.101</u>	0.112	0.107
	24	<u>0.199</u>	0.206	0.210	0.242	0.245	0.216	0.238	0.186	0.220	0.205
	36	0.282	0.313	0.307	0.324	0.337	0.307	0.328	0.306	0.300	0.299
	48	0.406	0.433	0.447	0.447	0.487	0.427	0.435	<u>0.404</u>	0.408	0.402
	Avg	0.246	0.264	0.270	0.288	0.299	0.264	0.284	<u>0.249</u>	0.260	0.253
Health	12	0.985	<u>0.979</u>	1.196	1.181	1.141	1.308	1.382	0.724	1.106	1.008
	24	<u>1.256</u>	1.297	1.440	1.402	1.546	1.724	1.758	1.032	1.425	1.410
	36	<u>1.395</u>	1.442	1.669	1.455	1.691	1.869	1.904	1.371	1.602	1.625
	48	<u>1.470</u>	1.519	1.755	1.538	1.790	1.895	1.930	1.269	1.740	1.715
	Avg	<u>1.276</u>	1.340	1.508	1.394	1.542	1.699	1.730	1.099	1.468	1.440
Security	6	106.364	108.460	113.759	106.859	126.132	106.535	113.701	99.239	107.5	<u>104.5</u>
	8	106.947	110.180	115.563	109.134	129.167	108.205	117.083	105.3	109.4	<u>105.5</u>
	10	109.767	111.352	116.793	110.484	129.848	109.566	123.805	107.8	111.9	<u>108.7</u>
	12	110.567	112.405	117.897	111.411	130.346	110.865	190.503	<u>109.7</u>	111.7	109.4
	Avg	108.4	110.6	116.0	109.5	128.9	108.8	136.273	105.5	110.1	<u>107.0</u>
Social Good	6	0.907	0.943	1.088	0.924	1.008	0.888	1.193	0.793	<u>0.843</u>	0.849
	8	0.939	1.012	1.183	0.978	1.017	0.916	1.117	0.897	0.947	<u>0.914</u>
	10	<u>0.962</u>	0.996	1.198	1.018	1.145	1.039	1.241	0.928	1.068	0.994
	12	<u>1.040</u>	1.058	1.264	1.089	1.174	1.492	1.189	1.020	1.147	<u>1.079</u>
	Avg	0.962	1.012	1.183	1.002	1.086	1.084	1.185	0.910	1.001	<u>0.959</u>
Traffic	6	<u>0.169</u>	0.182	0.186	0.166	0.200	0.271	0.255	0.172	0.233	0.196
	8	<u>0.169</u>	0.184	0.190	0.167	0.199	0.276	0.257	0.180	0.224	0.207
	10	0.173	0.190	0.195	<u>0.176</u>	0.205	0.279	0.259	0.187	0.229	0.209
	12	0.175	0.213	0.217	<u>0.197</u>	0.215	0.333	0.261	0.243	0.289	0.269
	Avg	0.171	0.192	0.197	<u>0.176</u>	0.205	0.289	0.258	0.196	0.244	0.220

³The reproducibility issue with Environment arises in MM-TSF [21], which corresponds to the last-additive setting in our experiments; the reported results differ from those obtained in our runs.

K Full Results of Main Experiments

K.1 Text Model: BERT

Table 18: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Nonstationary Transformer (+ BERT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
Nonstationary Transformer	Agriculture	6	0.051	0.053	0.052	0.052	0.060	0.055	3.611	0.051	0.054	0.056	0.053
		8	0.071	0.069	0.071	0.067	0.072	0.071	1.243	0.072	0.071	0.073	0.069
		10	0.092	0.100	0.089	0.088	0.098	0.090	0.398	0.091	0.090	0.091	0.091
		12	0.116	0.121	0.119	0.109	0.121	0.120	0.353	0.118	0.128	0.119	0.116
	Climate	6	1.205	1.305	1.310	1.172	1.124	1.235	1.233	1.289	1.185	1.272	1.073
		8	1.240	1.225	1.124	1.219	1.231	1.300	1.064	1.289	1.238	1.202	1.250
		10	1.283	1.296	1.275	1.240	1.217	1.172	1.059	1.245	1.224	1.296	1.176
		12	1.262	1.233	1.199	1.205	1.257	1.239	1.069	1.219	1.202	1.203	1.163
	Economy	6	0.016	0.021	0.018	0.017	0.016	0.016	8.469	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017
		8	0.018	0.020	0.019	0.020	0.017	0.018	8.968	0.018	0.019	0.019	0.020
		10	0.020	0.020	0.019	0.023	0.020	0.019	1.101	0.021	0.019	0.023	0.019
		12	0.020	0.022	0.019	0.022	0.022	0.020	1.087	0.021	0.021	0.019	0.020
	Energy	12	0.106	0.106	0.102	0.099	0.086	0.100	0.181	0.102	0.094	0.099	0.101
		24	0.159	0.205	0.210	0.206	0.207	0.188	0.555	0.208	0.166	0.179	0.186
		36	0.333	0.312	0.315	0.324	0.304	0.282	0.496	0.311	0.304	0.287	0.306
		48	0.391	0.461	0.379	0.408	0.413	0.412	0.499	0.365	0.354	0.338	0.404
	Environment	48	0.430	0.428	0.433	0.433	0.432	0.436	0.451	0.427	0.425	0.428	0.439
		96	0.433	0.482	0.428	0.434	0.419	0.455	0.452	0.457	0.434	0.457	0.439
		192	0.443	0.499	0.438	0.442	0.420	0.473	0.455	0.430	0.432	0.475	0.436
		336	0.438	0.472	0.434	0.431	0.435	0.450	0.477	0.454	0.458	0.430	0.408
	Public Health	12	0.841	0.816	0.828	0.999	0.817	0.911	1.209	0.858	0.796	0.716	0.724
		24	1.160	1.248	0.948	1.247	1.102	1.236	1.866	1.186	1.351	1.222	1.032
		36	1.247	1.442	1.205	1.298	1.432	1.442	1.619	1.361	1.201	1.340	1.371
		48	1.593	1.622	1.408	1.301	1.614	1.241	1.749	1.541	1.531	1.343	1.269
	Security	6	103.4	102.3	102.0	100.3	101.3	101.3	123.2	100.6	100.8	102.3	99.239
		8	104.9	106.7	105.3	105.6	106.1	105.0	123.6	104.5	103.5	104.3	105.3
		10	107.8	107.9	108.5	108.0	107.5	107.9	122.1	107.8	108.3	107.9	107.8
		12	109.3	109.7	110.0	110.2	109.5	109.4	119.5	109.2	109.0	109.4	109.7
	Social Good	6	0.790	0.811	0.811	0.763	0.817	0.771	4.397	0.810	0.828	0.799	0.793
		8	0.909	0.951	0.880	0.912	0.944	0.883	2.817	0.951	0.911	0.899	0.897
		10	1.018	0.963	0.979	0.947	1.045	0.983	1.095	1.071	0.933	0.987	0.928
		12	1.073	1.165	1.038	0.997	1.075	1.150	1.071	1.169	1.102	1.098	1.020
	Traffic	6	0.182	0.183	0.171	0.180	0.178	0.171	0.876	0.186	0.187	0.174	0.172
		8	0.185	0.184	0.188	0.194	0.202	0.191	0.622	0.190	0.185	0.185	0.180
		10	0.187	0.191	0.187	0.191	0.198	0.189	0.223	0.189	0.189	0.191	0.187
		12	0.243	0.254	0.253	0.251	0.240	0.240	0.269	0.251	0.243	0.242	0.243

Table 19: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Koopa (+ BERT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Koopa	Agriculture	6	0.059	0.056	0.058	0.058	5.693	3.044	3.044	0.060	0.067	0.055	0.056
		8	0.077	0.078	0.072	0.072	0.173	0.108	0.108	0.076	0.092	0.073	0.076
		10	0.099	0.091	0.090	0.090	0.426	0.624	0.624	0.100	0.127	0.091	0.100
		12	0.129	0.119	0.117	0.117	1.032	1.233	1.233	0.131	0.155	0.117	0.128
	Climate	6	1.251	6.593	1.258	1.258	4.140	1.219	1.219	1.277	1.069	1.253	1.253
		8	1.264	1.499	1.261	1.261	1.192	1.122	1.122	1.291	1.057	1.262	1.258
		10	1.247	3.355	1.254	1.254	1.404	1.045	1.045	1.296	1.112	1.243	1.247
		12	1.232	1.254	1.252	1.252	1.187	1.191	1.191	1.260	1.070	1.242	1.232
	Economy	6	0.021	0.033	0.027	0.027	13.556	16.346	16.346	0.028	0.044	0.026	0.017
		8	0.017	0.018	0.020	0.020	0.628	0.540	0.540	0.024	0.072	0.018	0.018
		10	0.017	0.022	0.027	0.027	3.752	3.152	3.152	0.027	0.064	0.018	0.017
		12	0.018	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.304	15.139	15.139	0.027	0.085	0.022	0.018
	Energy	12	0.107	2140.3	0.108	0.108	56.945	0.156	0.156	0.117	0.109	0.110	0.107
		24	0.216	0.256	0.209	0.209	283.8	0.384	0.384	0.234	0.216	0.199	0.205
		36	0.300	0.284	0.296	0.296	1.199	0.553	0.553	0.310	0.296	0.296	0.299
		48	0.388	4008.7	0.397	0.397	2.901	1.672	1.672	0.428	0.410	0.392	0.402
	Environment	48	0.482	271860512.0	0.455	0.455	53946020.0	0.451	0.451	0.488	0.449	0.476	0.481
		96	0.526	488845344.0	0.492	0.492	3337.4	0.484	0.484	0.538	0.454	0.490	0.536
		192	0.567	1306404992.0	0.524	0.524	284732.7	0.490	0.490	0.565	0.483	0.510	0.564
		336	0.525	82270.4	0.535	0.535	80511.4	0.496	0.496	0.530	0.490	0.523	0.528
	Public Health	12	1.029	1297.5	0.995	0.995	36.918	1.015	1.015	1.269	1.233	0.915	1.008
		24	1.402	1.145	1.152	1.152	1.983	1.242	1.242	1.546	1.379	1.188	1.410
		36	1.614	1.287	1.259	1.259	2.083	1.532	1.532	1.762	1.311	1.283	1.625
		48	1.728	1.478	1.378	1.378	1.793	1.950	1.950	1.871	1.455	1.362	1.715
	Security	6	105.1	97.736	103.4	103.4	136.4	140.4	140.4	104.9	109.3	103.5	104.5
		8	109.1	106.4	111.6	111.6	110.4	110.4	110.4	113.7	113.0	107.2	105.5
		10	108.8	100.8	108.8	108.8	117.7	118.3	118.3	110.6	111.6	108.8	108.7
		12	111.3	109.4	110.3	110.3	158.4	149.1	149.1	113.0	116.9	110.2	109.4
	Social Good	6	0.849	0.854	0.869	0.869	3.863	3.757	3.757	0.847	0.739	0.793	0.849
		8	0.931	1.195	0.911	0.911	0.929	0.962	0.962	0.939	0.849	0.877	0.914
		10	0.985	1.145	1.005	1.005	1.291	1.245	1.245	0.998	0.859	0.895	0.994
		12	1.078	1.045	1.059	1.059	3.136	2.738	2.738	1.060	0.889	1.020	1.079
	Traffic	6	0.226	0.280	0.221	0.221	1.695	1.863	1.863	0.245	0.238	0.232	0.196
		8	0.214	0.213	0.225	0.225	0.204	0.213	0.213	0.227	0.219	0.211	0.207
		10	0.216	0.223	0.224	0.224	0.653	0.498	0.498	0.224	0.218	0.221	0.209
		12	0.274	0.337	0.285	0.285	2.742	1.386	1.386	0.280	0.277	0.283	0.269

Table 20: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **DLinear** (+ **BERT**).

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
DLinear	Agriculture	6	0.114	0.127	0.134	0.132	0.575	0.320	0.454	0.135	0.233	0.133	0.085
		8	0.324	0.182	0.185	0.181	0.973	2.019	1.390	0.206	0.217	0.223	0.099
		10	0.163	0.203	0.204	0.204	0.481	0.419	0.199	0.178	0.208	0.205	0.127
	12	0.217	0.239	0.240	0.242	0.428	0.350	0.326	0.162	0.410	0.180	0.183	
	Climate	6	1.198	1.277	1.229	1.203	1.308	1.252	1.219	1.306	1.084	1.241	1.090
		8	1.364	1.318	1.267	1.247	1.250	1.064	1.162	1.328	1.071	1.241	1.090
		10	1.166	1.312	1.333	1.285	1.181	1.101	1.105	1.289	1.105	1.305	1.116
		12	1.174	1.343	1.321	1.289	1.156	1.117	1.122	1.290	1.096	1.257	1.098
	Economy	6	0.090	0.092	0.098	0.097	18.033	13.749	13.121	0.107	0.345	0.109	0.024
		8	0.233	0.129	0.143	0.143	12.672	12.211	12.812	0.131	0.274	0.153	0.025
		10	0.086	0.123	0.130	0.131	2.683	2.407	2.495	0.102	0.260	0.151	0.051
		12	0.109	0.128	0.130	0.129	1.884	1.555	2.258	0.064	0.576	0.091	0.060
	Energy	12	0.115	0.193	0.155	0.140	0.224	0.170	0.154	0.132	0.113	0.131	0.096
		24	0.219	0.283	0.259	0.259	0.793	0.287	0.280	0.262	0.299	0.225	0.201
		36	0.303	0.347	0.322	0.322	0.585	0.346	0.341	0.322	0.365	0.369	0.284
		48	0.415	0.474	0.438	0.458	0.674	0.693	0.679	0.439	0.460	0.458	0.391
	Environment	48	0.490	0.433	0.438	0.439	0.466	0.447	0.444	0.495	0.442	0.443	0.489
		96	0.568	0.506	0.492	0.473	0.539	0.493	0.494	0.570	0.461	0.478	0.572
		192	0.583	0.516	0.501	0.495	0.571	0.589	0.614	0.585	0.528	0.502	0.587
		336	0.538	0.511	0.488	0.484	0.518	0.483	0.487	0.536	0.490	0.481	0.538
	Public Health	12	1.330	1.360	1.403	1.340	1.527	1.552	1.482	1.545	1.334	1.430	1.228
		24	1.578	1.505	1.654	1.571	2.005	1.709	1.663	1.677	1.690	1.527	1.589
		36	1.619	1.637	1.676	1.657	2.112	1.689	1.644	1.740	1.691	1.662	1.622
		48	1.691	1.714	1.749	1.734	1.963	1.822	1.779	1.765	1.727	1.612	1.674
	Security	6	104.4	105.1	104.9	104.3	189.4	169.6	167.0	105.7	105.9	104.6	104.8
		8	109.2	109.7	107.8	107.8	153.0	151.1	147.8	110.6	107.9	108.1	109.0
		10	110.5	113.3	111.8	111.3	113.2	112.8	113.0	110.6	111.2	110.4	109.7
		12	112.0	114.9	113.3	112.5	113.3	113.3	113.2	113.0	114.6	112.8	111.7
	Social Good	6	0.817	0.895	0.907	0.874	2.990	1.969	1.903	0.893	0.928	0.853	0.779
		8	0.885	1.146	1.009	1.142	2.403	2.138	2.032	0.942	0.946	0.913	0.870
		10	1.026	1.050	1.090	1.012	1.074	0.954	0.959	1.141	0.983	1.121	0.966
		12	1.010	1.136	1.133	1.071	1.144	1.003	1.031	1.068	1.040	1.082	1.003
	Traffic	6	0.254	0.289	0.313	0.294	2.952	2.158	2.659	0.285	0.367	0.290	0.227
		8	0.322	0.322	0.349	0.327	2.278	2.012	2.147	0.270	0.324	0.339	0.224
		10	0.243	0.323	0.345	0.331	0.646	0.316	0.568	0.264	0.315	0.341	0.219
	12	0.287	0.342	0.381	0.368	0.549	0.545	0.364	0.307	0.365	0.319	0.261	

Table 21: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **iTransformer (+ BERT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
iTransformer	Agriculture	6	0.059	0.060	0.061	0.058	0.062	0.058	1.273	0.059	0.060	0.059	0.059
		8	0.076	0.078	0.081	0.072	0.076	0.077	1.097	0.081	0.080	0.079	0.076
		10	0.097	0.103	0.101	0.090	0.097	0.099	0.347	0.101	0.103	0.098	0.098
		12	0.128	0.129	0.129	0.118	0.128	0.131	0.390	0.128	0.131	0.129	0.127
	Climate	6	1.100	1.189	1.140	1.128	1.130	1.141	1.326	1.141	1.130	1.150	1.115
		8	1.178	1.204	1.203	1.193	1.162	1.193	1.158	1.202	1.231	1.197	1.227
		10	1.186	1.200	1.201	1.188	1.174	1.248	1.038	1.200	1.204	1.226	1.206
		12	1.176	1.174	1.163	1.181	1.197	1.182	1.035	1.154	1.191	1.198	1.183
	Economy	6	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.020	0.015	0.015	15.774	0.015	0.016	0.015	0.014
		8	0.015	0.016	0.015	0.021	0.015	0.016	10.479	0.015	0.016	0.016	0.015
		10	0.015	0.016	0.015	0.017	0.015	0.016	1.191	0.015	0.015	0.016	0.015
		12	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.018	0.015	0.016	1.192	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016
	Energy	12	0.111	0.108	0.102	0.111	0.107	0.111	0.214	0.102	0.107	0.107	0.111
		24	0.228	0.227	0.230	0.221	0.227	0.221	0.672	0.205	0.216	0.228	0.231
		36	0.302	0.283	0.318	0.278	0.274	0.311	1.301	0.294	0.317	0.295	0.307
		48	0.408	0.402	0.405	0.403	0.374	0.414	0.709	0.403	0.395	0.388	0.380
	Environment	48	0.411	0.401	0.408	0.425	0.404	0.408	0.451	0.410	0.407	0.405	0.410
		96	0.418	0.406	0.429	0.446	0.418	0.422	0.510	0.432	0.425	0.413	0.420
		192	0.435	0.432	0.422	0.463	0.421	0.437	0.536	0.438	0.436	0.430	0.430
		336	0.418	0.416	0.427	0.441	0.413	0.422	0.415	0.427	0.425	0.419	0.424
	Public Health	12	1.023	0.966	1.044	0.965	1.071	0.981	0.991	1.048	0.987	0.940	1.043
		24	1.438	1.465	1.455	1.226	1.445	1.433	1.599	1.453	1.464	1.448	1.437
		36	1.637	1.647	1.625	1.291	1.676	1.620	1.883	1.623	1.635	1.627	1.628
		48	1.738	1.749	1.737	1.457	1.790	1.711	1.906	1.735	1.749	1.751	1.747
	Security	6	104.9	104.2	102.5	112.7	102.7	103.2	134.4	100.8	104.0	102.8	105.1
		8	108.7	106.8	106.3	113.1	105.8	106.1	134.6	107.4	106.5	106.4	108.9
		10	111.4	112.4	107.8	109.6	109.3	109.0	122.4	108.2	109.1	108.4	110.8
		12	114.0	111.1	110.0	119.3	111.1	110.6	118.6	111.2	112.6	111.7	111.1
	Social Good	6	0.830	0.828	0.832	0.782	0.832	0.859	4.210	0.832	0.827	0.829	0.811
		8	0.961	0.951	0.970	0.893	0.924	0.960	2.405	0.970	0.939	0.967	0.945
		10	1.061	1.066	1.062	0.987	1.063	1.056	1.128	1.062	0.946	1.053	1.060
		12	1.144	1.162	1.168	1.076	1.130	1.171	1.123	1.169	1.139	1.139	1.125
	Traffic	6	0.179	0.187	0.180	0.187	0.202	0.188	1.668	0.182	0.176	0.179	0.176
		8	0.185	0.188	0.187	0.197	0.189	0.202	1.946	0.187	0.184	0.178	0.189
		10	0.195	0.195	0.194	0.199	0.194	0.196	0.411	0.188	0.191	0.187	0.197
		12	0.249	0.248	0.251	0.255	0.250	0.251	0.265	0.252	0.252	0.232	0.238

Table 22: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **PatchTST (+ BERT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
PatchTST	Agriculture	6	0.058	0.059	0.060	0.056	0.059	0.060	1.357	0.059	0.060	0.060	0.058
		8	0.078	0.078	0.080	0.074	0.078	0.079	0.816	0.078	0.079	0.079	0.077
		10	0.100	0.098	0.101	0.093	0.098	0.101	0.382	0.101	0.101	0.101	0.100
	12	0.132	0.129	0.129	0.122	0.129	0.128	0.371	0.129	0.131	0.127	0.129	
	Climate	6	1.257	1.234	1.238	1.235	1.234	1.253	1.332	1.238	1.248	1.215	1.230
		8	1.265	1.255	1.224	1.257	1.255	1.249	1.183	1.224	1.252	1.233	1.236
		10	1.274	1.276	1.256	1.264	1.276	1.267	1.092	1.256	1.262	1.248	1.276
		12	1.239	1.248	1.242	1.254	1.248	1.255	1.090	1.242	1.264	1.240	1.251
	Economy	6	0.016	0.016	0.017	0.020	0.016	0.017	17.735	0.016	0.017	0.017	0.016
		8	0.017	0.017	0.019	0.019	0.017	0.017	10.180	0.019	0.017	0.017	0.017
		10	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.021	0.017	0.017	1.351	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017
		12	0.017	0.018	0.018	0.019	0.018	0.017	1.250	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018
	Energy	12	0.105	0.102	0.110	0.109	0.102	0.108	0.193	0.110	0.112	0.108	0.113
		24	0.226	0.227	0.222	0.219	0.227	0.223	0.606	0.222	0.224	0.215	0.213
		36	0.310	0.302	0.306	0.299	0.302	0.317	1.074	0.305	0.319	0.316	0.305
		48	0.414	0.430	0.424	0.409	0.430	0.415	0.557	0.424	0.417	0.419	0.415
	Environment	48	0.459	0.461	0.457	0.451	0.461	0.459	0.469	0.462	0.456	0.454	0.462
		96	0.504	0.496	0.503	0.486	0.496	0.508	0.563	0.459	0.493	0.471	0.475
		192	0.542	0.541	0.531	0.494	0.541	0.540	0.604	0.539	0.539	0.519	0.507
		336	0.509	0.500	0.511	0.507	0.500	0.512	0.476	0.507	0.513	0.503	0.479
	Public Health	12	0.913	0.891	0.914	0.849	0.891	0.919	1.089	0.914	0.908	0.881	0.901
		24	1.391	1.316	1.289	1.158	1.316	1.349	1.720	1.379	1.400	1.330	1.364
		36	1.623	1.620	1.606	1.362	1.620	1.624	1.463	1.605	1.611	1.616	1.607
		48	1.724	1.727	1.730	1.473	1.727	1.718	1.799	1.730	1.724	1.727	1.743
	Security	6	104.5	104.3	102.9	105.5	104.3	103.3	142.8	103.2	104.6	104.7	104.5
		8	110.1	109.6	105.8	104.7	109.6	106.3	137.9	106.8	108.1	107.3	107.2
		10	113.3	109.9	108.8	110.5	109.9	108.8	119.7	108.1	109.8	108.9	110.8
		12	109.8	111.4	110.1	111.0	111.4	111.7	119.3	110.1	110.6	112.1	113.7
	Social Good	6	0.800	0.802	0.787	0.764	0.802	0.785	4.051	0.788	0.802	0.792	0.770
		8	0.894	0.891	0.886	0.840	0.891	0.881	2.800	0.885	0.909	0.899	0.880
		10	1.030	1.031	1.051	0.960	1.031	1.051	1.090	1.051	1.014	1.046	1.010
		12	1.126	1.118	1.087	1.014	1.118	1.077	1.089	1.085	1.105	1.076	1.059
	Traffic	6	0.184	0.181	0.184	0.179	0.181	0.188	1.819	0.183	0.188	0.172	0.171
		8	0.181	0.178	0.185	0.193	0.178	0.190	1.937	0.178	0.185	0.181	0.177
		10	0.189	0.188	0.184	0.201	0.188	0.201	0.443	0.191	0.188	0.183	0.182
		12	0.238	0.244	0.253	0.253	0.244	0.245	0.434	0.235	0.241	0.242	0.257

Table 23: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **FEDformer (+ BERT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
FEDformer	Agriculture	6	0.060	0.063	0.064	0.058	0.062	0.058	1.314	0.063	0.057	0.061	0.054
		8	0.072	0.075	0.074	0.076	0.079	0.068	1.026	0.072	0.073	0.071	0.069
		10	0.099	0.096	0.090	0.091	0.104	0.096	0.278	0.089	0.089	0.089	0.090
		12	0.117	0.113	0.120	0.118	0.124	0.119	0.435	0.118	0.120	0.123	0.117
	Climate	6	1.116	1.155	1.093	1.141	1.071	1.085	1.242	1.093	1.066	1.055	1.058
		8	1.082	1.071	1.057	1.078	1.077	1.050	1.052	1.057	1.049	1.076	1.044
		10	1.105	1.109	1.124	1.102	1.054	1.112	0.898	1.124	1.113	1.094	1.068
		12	1.084	1.116	1.104	1.106	1.134	1.061	0.924	1.106	1.114	1.094	1.092
	Economy	6	0.039	0.060	0.047	0.027	0.041	0.045	7.623	0.026	0.030	0.033	0.046
		8	0.047	0.053	0.051	0.055	0.029	0.049	7.812	0.045	0.045	0.046	0.040
		10	0.037	0.051	0.047	0.039	0.052	0.034	1.619	0.047	0.040	0.053	0.044
		12	0.044	0.046	0.040	0.054	0.045	0.038	1.455	0.040	0.042	0.034	0.041
	Energy	12	0.097	0.103	0.091	0.097	0.111	0.095	0.131	0.091	0.097	0.092	0.093
		24	0.177	0.184	0.181	0.177	0.183	0.179	1.130	0.181	0.175	0.175	0.179
		36	0.254	0.296	0.263	0.251	0.346	0.254	0.726	0.256	0.253	0.254	0.254
		48	0.367	0.384	0.404	0.363	0.416	0.357	1.225	0.451	0.366	0.365	0.356
	Environment	48	0.484	0.471	0.472	0.463	0.481	0.430	0.473	0.483	0.475	0.450	0.459
		96	0.488	0.514	0.517	0.501	0.498	0.482	0.419	0.516	0.536	0.518	0.493
		192	0.482	0.513	0.528	0.497	0.528	0.549	0.476	0.528	0.505	0.505	0.502
		336	0.466	0.470	0.466	0.481	0.463	0.464	0.438	0.469	0.477	0.477	0.445
	Public Health	12	1.050	1.068	1.084	1.089	1.168	1.024	1.071	1.087	1.089	1.063	1.063
		24	1.488	1.449	1.311	1.348	1.529	1.367	1.449	1.379	1.383	1.409	1.385
		36	1.419	1.568	1.554	1.518	1.492	1.492	1.927	1.435	1.421	1.493	1.466
		48	1.499	1.599	1.582	1.602	1.736	1.521	1.564	1.543	1.617	1.512	1.481
	Security	6	105.9	110.0	106.1	102.3	106.1	107.9	170.2	104.4	103.8	110.1	107.3
		8	109.1	108.0	109.6	108.7	110.3	109.8	142.9	109.7	110.0	107.4	106.8
		10	113.8	112.3	111.6	110.9	112.4	116.2	113.7	111.6	113.3	108.2	113.3
		12	117.2	116.9	114.8	114.8	114.7	117.4	116.7	114.8	115.6	111.2	114.3
	Social Good	6	0.874	0.886	0.807	0.822	0.861	0.862	1.783	0.806	0.773	0.793	0.839
		8	0.919	0.857	0.884	0.857	0.900	0.855	1.962	0.883	0.873	0.883	0.853
		10	0.914	0.931	0.895	0.919	1.044	0.934	0.961	0.895	0.903	0.919	0.927
		12	1.015	0.977	0.994	0.960	1.108	1.000	1.117	0.993	0.973	0.986	0.977
	Traffic	6	0.149	0.156	0.151	0.158	0.146	0.153	2.007	0.161	0.155	0.150	0.153
		8	0.152	0.158	0.151	0.160	0.144	0.158	1.351	0.151	0.154	0.154	0.159
		10	0.166	0.173	0.163	0.166	0.146	0.160	0.292	0.164	0.162	0.159	0.157
		12	0.224	0.229	0.229	0.240	0.213	0.213	0.428	0.232	0.223	0.221	0.230

Table 24: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **FiLM (+ BERT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFR
FiLM	Agriculture	6	0.061	0.103	0.062	0.064	2.776	0.062	4.712	0.064	0.064	0.061	0.063
		8	0.082	0.200	0.081	0.080	2.550	0.087	1.179	0.080	0.080	0.080	0.078
		10	0.105	0.182	0.105	0.100	0.149	0.109	0.337	0.105	0.107	0.103	0.103
		12	0.143	0.142	0.133	0.123	1.022	0.136	0.465	0.133	0.136	0.136	0.132
	Climate	6	1.305	1.274	1.282	1.268	1.427	1.266	1.320	1.270	1.287	1.233	1.254
		8	1.282	1.273	1.268	1.268	1.069	1.292	1.099	1.313	1.263	1.255	1.264
		10	1.278	9.623	1.274	1.280	7.707	1.290	1.097	1.286	1.285	1.272	1.273
		12	1.280	10.115	1.280	1.289	1.882	1.291	1.110	1.301	1.281	1.311	1.264
	Economy	6	0.017	0.065	0.026	0.035	23.248	0.021	20.595	0.019	0.018	0.019	0.017
		8	0.018	0.048	0.020	0.020	14.450	0.020	13.853	0.017	0.017	0.018	0.018
		10	0.019	0.039	0.020	0.019	0.039	0.020	2.648	0.019	0.018	0.018	0.018
		12	0.018	7.453	0.023	0.045	1.776	0.021	2.441	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018
	Energy	12	0.119	2575.9	0.117	0.121	0.402	0.133	0.178	0.104	0.103	0.108	0.102
		24	0.229	0.340	0.250	0.259	0.747	0.252	0.791	0.211	0.216	0.228	0.206
		36	0.302	0.382	0.333	0.328	1.555	0.339	1.447	0.303	0.318	0.315	0.300
		48	0.435	0.483	0.431	0.453	0.673	0.481	0.645	0.419	0.430	0.437	0.429
	Environment	48	0.488	177523232.0	0.479	0.471	0.498	0.477	0.515	0.490	0.444	0.448	0.490
		96	0.546	36514.0	0.547	0.490	0.582	0.537	0.608	0.546	0.542	0.480	0.540
		192	0.574	985353600.0	0.576	0.542	0.587	0.561	0.615	0.573	0.569	0.573	0.570
		336	0.529	0.599	0.514	0.519	0.539	0.531	0.481	0.526	0.500	0.528	0.527
	Public Health	12	1.155	2890.2	1.191	1.142	2.007	1.271	1.219	1.117	1.130	1.122	1.106
		24	1.492	1.435	1.496	1.339	2.784	1.643	1.841	1.453	1.494	1.474	1.432
		36	1.635	1.779	1.632	1.838	2.019	1.681	2.346	1.873	1.658	1.660	1.685
		48	1.722	2.053	1.796	2.035	1.998	1.780	1.824	1.722	1.746	1.761	1.739
	Security	6	124.2	105.9	102.1	100.9	208.1	103.5	191.0	102.8	101.6	102.3	107.1
		8	113.8	116.7	106.5	116.5	151.0	105.7	163.2	109.3	105.1	106.3	105.1
		10	117.0	135.0	109.3	133.5	97.995	108.1	111.9	109.4	108.3	109.1	114.0
		12	119.0	134.6	110.0	108.1	96.776	110.3	113.2	132.4	113.1	110.9	113.8
	Social Good	6	0.900	49.855	0.831	0.822	3.632	0.840	3.854	0.820	0.798	0.835	0.818
		8	0.994	1.101	0.998	1.049	2.161	0.971	2.346	1.000	0.953	0.945	0.967
		10	1.119	114.0	1.031	1.191	123.0	1.107	1.115	1.014	1.137	1.059	1.123
		12	1.216	280.3	1.087	1.090	90.555	1.185	1.080	1.248	1.237	1.159	1.165
	Traffic	6	0.229	0.285	0.234	0.252	4.318	0.235	3.520	0.256	0.233	0.234	0.236
		8	0.223	0.226	0.220	0.221	0.682	0.230	2.414	0.230	0.228	0.219	0.233
		10	0.216	0.224	0.217	0.215	0.396	0.219	0.557	0.241	0.228	0.217	0.215
		12	0.253	0.515	0.261	0.270	0.269	0.267	0.526	0.283	0.262	0.271	0.251

Table 25: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with TiDE (+ BERT).

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
TiDE	Agriculture	6	0.071	0.069	0.082	0.069	1.152	0.065	1.159	0.063	0.063	0.062	0.060
		8	0.091	0.090	0.092	0.091	1.226	0.093	1.179	0.082	0.082	0.080	0.082
		10	0.112	7.192	0.110	0.112	11.723	0.120	0.435	0.103	0.104	0.104	0.103
		12	0.160	8.039	0.144	0.135	14.564	0.150	0.405	0.135	0.134	0.134	0.135
	Climate	6	1.456	1.577	1.606	1.576	1.491	1.418	1.363	1.252	1.244	1.239	1.258
		8	1.469	1.555	1.481	1.446	1.048	1.532	1.172	1.264	1.274	1.258	1.267
		10	1.419	11.502	1.467	1.477	2.938	1.561	1.184	1.274	1.272	1.259	1.259
		12	1.467	3.924	1.395	1.449	2.043	1.508	1.154	1.255	1.278	1.264	1.274
	Economy	6	0.034	0.051	0.039	0.049	20.604	0.044	20.206	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017
		8	0.049	0.040	0.035	0.041	13.386	0.031	13.664	0.017	0.018	0.017	0.018
		10	0.029	5.048	0.031	0.040	10.335	0.040	2.467	0.018	0.017	0.017	0.017
		12	0.033	5.311	0.031	0.035	4.536	0.038	2.391	0.018	0.018	0.019	0.017
	Energy	12	0.135	2110.5	0.139	0.133	740.5	0.134	0.199	0.115	0.115	0.108	0.112
		24	0.240	0.353	0.244	0.262	0.725	0.243	0.752	0.212	0.210	0.217	0.220
		36	0.323	5843.1	0.333	0.321	2234.7	0.332	1.525	0.294	0.307	0.305	0.300
		48	0.442	0.468	0.443	0.430	0.624	0.442	0.631	0.403	0.414	0.407	0.408
	Environment	48	0.483	0.955	0.485	0.442	0.502	0.485	0.519	0.485	0.483	0.485	0.484
		96	0.543	0.513	0.542	0.512	0.576	0.539	0.636	0.541	0.539	0.539	0.540
		192	0.576	13991.8	0.578	0.586	13866.6	0.577	0.689	0.577	0.577	0.577	0.576
		336	0.533	22627.6	0.534	0.507	4743.0	0.533	0.482	0.534	0.533	0.533	0.534
	Public Health	12	1.300	182.9	1.320	1.295	240.6	1.329	1.396	1.076	1.128	1.113	1.106
		24	1.520	2.213	1.499	1.605	1.908	1.567	1.901	1.432	1.434	1.448	1.425
		36	1.670	2374.3	1.668	1.731	1646.7	1.669	2.276	1.605	1.619	1.617	1.602
		48	1.787	1.970	1.756	1.822	2.018	1.788	1.910	1.724	1.723	1.726	1.740
	Security	6	146.1	123.9	134.9	124.6	201.4	122.2	191.0	105.2	107.2	107.6	107.5
		8	129.7	137.7	132.5	137.5	154.3	128.9	156.5	108.3	108.9	112.4	109.4
		10	132.3	147.6	135.2	133.5	96.384	135.4	117.7	112.7	110.6	114.6	111.9
		12	145.3	144.8	139.2	138.9	107.5	142.4	120.8	112.7	112.3	112.3	111.7
	Social Good	6	0.955	0.928	1.036	0.943	3.995	0.970	3.955	0.835	0.836	0.823	0.843
		8	1.037	1.100	1.013	1.098	2.476	1.044	2.711	0.950	0.940	0.950	0.947
		10	1.210	134.7	1.097	1.168	70.622	1.243	0.982	1.071	1.070	1.109	1.068
		12	1.415	156.6	1.186	1.298	57.431	1.205	1.075	1.162	1.252	1.183	1.147
	Traffic	6	0.293	0.317	0.285	0.317	3.595	0.301	3.130	0.236	0.235	0.236	0.233
		8	0.309	0.306	0.277	0.301	0.962	0.272	2.299	0.236	0.236	0.234	0.224
		10	0.251	11.396	0.245	0.320	6.953	0.302	0.641	0.224	0.231	0.225	0.229
		12	0.319	13.832	0.308	0.328	8.802	0.334	0.585	0.288	0.290	0.284	0.289

Table 26: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Autoformer (+ BERT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
Autoformer	Agriculture	6	0.072	0.072	0.065	0.063	0.071	0.062	1.291	0.065	0.068	0.066	0.061
		8	0.083	0.082	0.094	0.080	0.089	0.070	1.244	0.082	0.094	0.079	0.089
		10	0.112	0.106	0.105	0.097	0.109	0.096	0.393	0.104	0.110	0.100	0.097
	12	0.136	0.140	0.139	0.129	0.141	0.134	0.505	0.125	0.132	0.128	0.130	
	Climate	6	1.240	1.278	1.248	1.222	1.168	1.192	1.266	1.244	1.248	1.206	1.225
		8	1.200	1.234	1.265	1.199	1.180	1.168	1.122	1.241	1.162	1.132	1.179
		10	1.247	1.250	1.218	1.249	1.291	1.169	1.025	1.210	1.208	1.211	1.211
		12	1.177	1.208	1.199	1.265	1.134	1.188	0.965	1.249	1.201	1.195	1.178
	Economy	6	0.060	0.069	0.061	0.058	0.080	0.055	14.606	0.066	0.053	0.071	0.066
		8	0.070	0.066	0.059	0.055	0.067	0.063	10.478	0.059	0.064	0.051	0.040
		10	0.060	0.064	0.056	0.033	0.075	0.047	1.743	0.043	0.058	0.062	0.062
		12	0.061	0.067	0.053	0.058	0.072	0.060	1.573	0.061	0.058	0.052	0.045
	Energy	12	0.165	0.145	0.142	0.148	0.181	0.125	0.370	0.153	0.163	0.170	0.159
		24	0.292	0.299	0.308	0.302	0.301	0.317	1.273	0.309	0.316	0.309	0.323
		36	0.356	0.390	0.389	0.379	0.399	0.337	1.634	0.364	0.394	0.358	0.349
		48	0.485	0.478	0.434	0.495	0.495	0.479	1.258	0.483	0.462	0.467	0.490
	Environment	48	0.524	0.534	0.522	0.538	0.528	0.510	0.482	0.521	0.498	0.524	0.472
		96	0.549	0.554	0.511	0.564	0.560	0.540	0.515	0.500	0.538	0.531	0.509
		192	0.588	0.618	0.535	0.570	0.562	0.568	0.574	0.551	0.535	0.593	0.523
		336	0.572	0.514	0.531	0.525	0.474	0.498	0.457	0.555	0.554	0.479	0.516
	Public Health	12	1.668	1.661	1.471	1.740	1.363	1.477	1.505	1.571	1.666	1.473	1.668
		24	1.987	1.953	1.795	1.990	1.945	1.854	1.693	1.852	1.921	1.850	1.787
		36	1.920	1.977	2.004	1.836	2.123	1.975	1.747	1.900	2.041	1.920	1.961
		48	1.856	1.987	2.054	1.925	1.875	1.775	1.930	1.966	1.983	1.938	1.955
	Security	6	106.1	107.9	117.2	106.8	105.7	111.6	148.2	117.1	107.7	108.3	111.6
		8	110.7	112.1	101.7	112.5	110.9	112.5	137.2	110.1	113.4	109.3	106.7
		10	115.7	115.2	116.1	115.2	111.7	111.3	116.2	116.1	112.2	111.7	113.0
		12	117.8	117.2	112.3	115.3	115.5	114.0	118.3	112.3	113.9	116.4	112.5
	Social Good	6	0.838	0.888	0.835	0.853	0.838	0.863	4.334	0.835	0.874	0.905	0.842
		8	0.953	0.960	1.004	0.949	0.990	0.982	2.646	0.957	0.938	0.947	0.909
		10	1.058	1.039	1.058	0.933	1.097	1.043	1.123	1.047	1.062	1.024	0.972
		12	1.052	1.089	1.130	1.058	1.184	1.060	1.174	1.101	1.086	1.129	1.104
	Traffic	6	0.172	0.193	0.170	0.183	0.194	0.168	1.256	0.174	0.174	0.180	0.183
		8	0.206	0.200	0.198	0.197	0.219	0.179	1.718	0.211	0.175	0.186	0.190
		10	0.191	0.214	0.201	0.202	0.184	0.176	0.448	0.187	0.189	0.203	0.201
		12	0.239	0.266	0.236	0.251	0.267	0.226	0.414	0.238	0.246	0.226	0.230

Table 27: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Crossformer (+ BERT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Crossformer	Agriculture	6	0.088	0.132	0.146	0.115	0.088	0.152	0.210	0.159	0.184	0.213	0.166
		8	0.207	0.194	0.227	0.235	1.212	0.265	0.318	0.171	0.238	0.167	0.247
		10	0.252	0.301	0.215	0.376	0.186	0.300	0.470	0.229	0.186	0.255	0.260
		12	0.311	0.325	0.225	0.366	0.404	0.411	0.365	0.387	0.301	0.318	0.393
	Climate	6	1.039	1.054	1.083	1.065	1.057	1.042	1.051	1.062	1.063	1.012	1.119
		8	1.080	1.070	1.047	1.066	1.112	1.072	1.102	1.095	1.074	1.080	1.090
		10	1.088	1.117	1.090	1.083	1.084	1.072	1.099	1.062	1.080	1.099	1.113
		12	1.090	1.118	1.082	1.108	1.134	1.076	1.086	1.083	1.085	1.086	1.105
	Economy	6	0.468	0.315	0.320	0.166	0.282	0.508	0.499	0.248	0.340	0.289	0.157
		8	0.123	0.602	0.380	0.642	6.145	0.492	0.761	0.638	0.349	0.285	0.588
		10	0.122	0.700	0.382	0.673	0.554	0.866	0.686	0.693	0.424	0.445	0.365
		12	0.323	0.968	0.299	0.876	0.603	1.041	1.269	0.746	0.448	0.472	0.406
	Energy	12	0.145	0.133	0.136	0.135	0.169	0.165	0.144	0.122	0.130	0.140	0.155
		24	0.252	0.256	0.256	0.239	0.281	0.225	0.257	0.234	0.243	0.253	0.261
		36	0.345	0.357	0.340	0.337	0.338	0.354	0.322	0.326	0.345	0.334	0.338
		48	0.445	0.453	0.429	0.446	0.419	0.430	0.383	0.460	0.452	0.448	0.427
	Environment	48	0.492	0.499	0.473	0.500	0.476	0.474	0.471	0.500	0.485	0.483	0.448
		96	0.589	0.536	0.529	0.529	0.584	0.492	0.557	0.561	0.521	0.535	0.513
		192	0.551	0.585	0.571	0.574	0.532	0.587	0.600	0.497	0.572	0.578	0.508
		336	0.527	0.539	0.556	0.494	0.499	0.539	0.538	0.522	0.533	0.527	0.511
	Public Health	12	1.089	1.102	1.080	1.138	1.174	1.053	1.103	1.108	1.082	1.131	1.044
		24	1.305	1.320	1.352	1.375	1.394	1.415	1.440	1.424	1.328	1.286	1.333
		36	1.321	1.366	1.383	1.336	1.374	1.361	1.393	1.364	1.374	1.330	1.378
		48	1.440	1.479	1.439	1.447	1.456	1.452	1.476	1.528	1.473	1.470	1.497
	Security	6	118.2	122.5	120.1	120.2	120.9	118.4	124.9	119.6	121.7	119.7	116.4
		8	121.3	126.7	123.8	123.5	133.2	122.5	127.1	123.5	123.3	123.5	122.2
		10	125.3	126.2	125.2	125.5	123.9	128.6	125.6	125.1	126.2	125.6	125.0
		12	123.3	127.3	127.2	127.6	130.2	129.3	129.1	128.0	127.0	126.8	125.6
	Social Good	6	0.755	0.743	0.743	0.745	0.732	0.745	0.727	0.744	0.734	0.770	0.744
		8	0.813	0.827	0.797	0.813	1.868	0.808	0.817	0.814	0.809	0.843	0.842
		10	0.885	0.890	0.914	0.890	0.927	0.898	0.874	0.871	0.863	0.878	0.872
		12	0.941	0.942	0.894	0.957	0.970	0.905	0.914	0.961	0.911	0.910	0.899
	Traffic	6	0.215	0.211	0.219	0.210	0.229	0.213	0.225	0.213	0.223	0.203	0.217
		8	0.208	0.215	0.205	0.208	1.090	0.220	0.202	0.215	0.213	0.237	0.234
		10	0.211	0.227	0.225	0.228	0.226	0.224	0.228	0.220	0.220	0.219	0.209
		12	0.254	0.247	0.250	0.244	0.280	0.244	0.252	0.251	0.242	0.248	0.246

Table 28: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Reformer (+ BERT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Reformer	Agriculture	6	0.194	0.222	0.319	0.203	0.231	0.182	0.308	0.132	0.142	0.198	0.183
		8	0.254	0.383	0.323	0.244	0.234	0.375	0.406	0.325	0.218	0.391	0.231
		10	0.215	0.519	0.323	0.339	0.529	0.299	0.701	0.322	0.363	0.379	0.295
		12	0.467	0.490	0.518	0.435	0.644	0.588	0.384	0.551	0.437	0.479	0.242
	Climate	6	1.025	1.036	1.012	1.092	1.056	1.060	0.967	1.010	1.047	1.028	1.074
		8	1.066	1.070	1.042	1.051	1.037	1.101	1.053	1.041	1.070	1.088	1.079
		10	1.057	1.098	1.127	1.066	1.122	1.097	0.913	1.134	1.085	1.120	1.073
		12	1.075	1.109	1.061	1.033	1.103	1.103	1.048	1.063	1.095	1.071	1.108
	Economy	6	0.199	0.443	0.507	0.271	0.737	0.151	0.786	0.343	0.341	0.632	0.477
		8	0.337	0.720	0.342	0.307	0.802	0.814	0.993	0.337	0.409	0.372	0.146
		10	0.176	1.155	0.721	0.596	0.844	1.078	1.696	0.680	0.292	1.083	0.558
		12	0.144	1.249	1.342	0.748	1.324	1.318	0.854	1.341	0.972	0.728	0.483
	Energy	12	0.205	0.221	0.250	0.236	0.253	0.281	0.203	0.135	0.138	0.177	0.190
		24	0.423	0.387	0.383	0.385	0.346	0.458	0.336	0.366	0.356	0.438	0.351
		36	0.509	0.475	0.493	0.484	0.437	0.490	0.443	0.387	0.522	0.461	0.419
		48	0.574	0.533	0.570	0.568	0.596	0.529	0.508	0.556	0.530	0.572	0.532
	Environment	48	0.407	0.404	0.445	0.420	0.435	0.430	0.429	0.433	0.401	0.418	0.421
		96	0.447	0.409	0.461	0.433	0.408	0.458	0.450	0.436	0.410	0.386	0.436
		192	0.419	0.411	0.458	0.443	0.411	0.483	0.432	0.423	0.390	0.438	0.431
		336	0.473	0.411	0.435	0.437	0.399	0.433	0.472	0.411	0.418	0.383	0.413
	Public Health	12	1.157	1.111	1.142	1.148	1.137	1.096	1.085	1.131	1.048	1.106	1.071
		24	1.231	1.417	1.320	1.293	1.445	1.395	1.500	1.335	1.339	1.350	1.288
		36	1.307	1.331	1.288	1.492	1.407	1.292	1.409	1.289	1.285	1.366	1.365
		48	1.356	1.431	1.416	1.430	1.609	1.404	1.475	1.267	1.331	1.406	1.330
	Security	6	118.3	120.0	123.7	124.1	118.5	118.6	122.4	123.7	125.2	125.4	123.6
		8	121.6	121.1	121.8	124.5	122.7	123.8	125.0	121.8	123.1	124.0	123.4
		10	126.2	125.7	124.5	125.4	129.0	122.6	126.7	124.5	125.0	125.5	125.3
		12	128.0	122.1	125.6	125.9	126.7	124.7	126.0	125.6	126.1	125.1	125.9
	Social Good	6	0.802	0.819	0.836	0.775	0.874	0.823	0.771	0.836	0.861	0.800	0.787
		8	0.846	0.918	0.911	0.852	0.909	0.893	0.849	0.900	0.862	0.887	0.865
		10	0.948	0.929	0.968	0.914	1.021	1.017	0.903	0.967	0.968	0.905	0.989
		12	1.023	1.097	1.052	0.963	1.016	1.023	1.029	0.921	0.966	1.013	0.973
	Traffic	6	0.208	0.268	0.229	0.255	0.250	0.303	0.259	0.229	0.233	0.257	0.242
		8	0.224	0.237	0.225	0.225	0.226	0.279	0.230	0.225	0.229	0.237	0.253
		10	0.261	0.273	0.309	0.269	0.242	0.297	0.225	0.298	0.235	0.278	0.246
		12	0.245	0.253	0.256	0.275	0.252	0.280	0.249	0.259	0.266	0.274	0.252

Table 29: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Transformer (+ BERT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Transformer	Agriculture	6	0.133	0.119	0.177	0.137	0.159	0.119	0.217	0.188	0.165	0.140	0.153
		8	0.147	0.334	0.143	0.243	0.210	0.174	0.433	0.163	0.161	0.211	0.182
		10	0.177	0.524	0.282	0.273	0.133	0.210	0.666	0.203	0.172	0.178	0.158
		12	0.247	0.312	0.254	0.325	0.174	0.286	0.485	0.264	0.240	0.254	0.244
	Climate	6	0.985	1.032	0.989	1.022	0.875	0.990	0.983	0.989	1.024	1.055	0.984
		8	1.058	1.055	0.989	1.055	1.086	1.091	0.990	0.989	1.032	1.054	1.053
		10	1.068	1.091	1.056	1.072	1.066	1.003	1.016	1.056	1.021	1.083	1.085
		12	1.102	0.991	1.029	1.094	1.122	1.078	1.073	1.029	1.056	1.063	1.018
	Economy	6	0.267	0.245	0.059	0.287	0.239	0.267	0.610	0.143	0.061	0.092	0.275
		8	0.287	0.566	0.283	0.154	0.282	0.320	0.571	0.390	0.506	0.515	0.327
		10	0.258	1.063	0.315	0.676	0.069	0.110	1.294	0.417	0.262	0.250	0.247
		12	0.362	1.067	0.420	0.113	0.050	0.544	0.706	0.052	0.237	0.279	0.044
	Energy	12	0.122	0.111	0.091	0.130	0.109	0.137	0.101	0.100	0.105	0.081	0.121
		24	0.289	0.295	0.179	0.247	0.225	0.149	0.318	0.237	0.240	0.228	0.209
		36	0.261	0.414	0.326	0.350	0.380	0.272	0.310	0.319	0.318	0.293	0.373
		48	0.450	0.445	0.348	0.525	0.390	0.409	0.390	0.352	0.480	0.367	0.402
	Environment	48	0.429	0.461	0.428	0.426	0.412	0.405	0.436	0.405	0.420	0.413	0.419
		96	0.462	0.482	0.450	0.449	0.445	0.449	0.467	0.447	0.432	0.423	0.439
		192	0.450	0.535	0.474	0.446	0.454	0.437	0.511	0.474	0.445	0.427	0.466
		336	0.451	0.485	0.459	0.439	0.465	0.445	0.452	0.424	0.436	0.421	0.469
	Public Health	12	1.124	1.137	1.201	1.121	1.026	1.037	1.054	1.102	0.859	1.104	1.021
		24	1.370	1.278	1.263	1.388	1.121	1.220	1.331	1.263	1.256	1.340	1.286
		36	1.317	1.330	1.295	1.308	1.438	1.284	1.351	1.295	1.293	1.258	1.330
		48	1.389	1.450	1.316	1.479	1.420	1.378	1.443	1.415	1.438	1.309	1.482
	Security	6	124.0	127.2	126.3	123.0	122.4	127.0	123.1	126.3	125.1	124.9	125.9
		8	124.8	130.8	127.0	128.7	125.9	129.5	127.9	127.1	128.8	128.6	128.1
		10	129.7	131.4	130.6	131.5	125.0	128.5	129.5	128.6	132.8	129.7	129.0
		12	130.3	117.9	131.2	128.6	127.3	132.0	131.5	131.2	130.4	130.6	127.1
	Social Good	6	0.731	0.784	0.774	0.763	0.787	0.718	0.769	0.758	0.792	0.744	0.740
		8	0.763	0.792	0.894	0.828	0.884	0.896	0.807	0.889	0.836	0.826	0.791
		10	0.828	0.788	0.925	0.845	0.965	0.918	0.806	0.952	0.913	0.884	0.864
		12	0.832	0.854	0.903	0.828	0.946	0.915	0.926	0.903	0.934	0.907	0.921
	Traffic	6	0.167	0.172	0.157	0.162	0.175	0.170	0.153	0.157	0.156	0.172	0.153
		8	0.159	0.156	0.175	0.174	0.192	0.175	0.175	0.175	0.158	0.164	0.187
		10	0.181	0.217	0.182	0.171	0.202	0.206	0.182	0.181	0.183	0.178	0.194
		12	0.214	0.243	0.207	0.212	0.246	0.239	0.206	0.207	0.211	0.199	0.221

Table 30: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with TSMixer (+ BERT).

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
TSMixer	Agriculture	6	0.083	0.105	0.142	0.117	0.154	0.142	0.111	0.103	0.158	0.113	0.092
		8	0.125	0.220	0.217	0.180	0.278	0.182	0.165	0.185	0.217	0.174	0.136
		10	0.428	0.515	1.135	0.390	0.380	1.077	0.240	0.323	1.309	0.619	0.204
	12	0.834	1.352	1.080	1.080	1.496	0.458	0.386	0.397	1.398	1.012	0.281	
	Climate	6	1.054	1.061	1.080	1.085	1.083	1.101	1.065	1.054	1.069	1.070	
		8	1.088	1.073	1.097	1.084	1.100	1.085	1.096	1.111	1.108	1.091	1.095
		10	1.105	1.116	1.177	1.143	1.106	1.106	1.097	1.115	1.123	1.124	1.113
		12	1.106	1.168	1.132	1.153	1.148	1.106	1.112	1.141	1.136	1.132	1.106
	Economy	6	0.074	0.112	0.099	0.108	0.065	0.091	0.082	0.096	0.067	0.075	0.050
		8	0.063	0.411	0.405	0.158	0.622	0.245	0.154	0.201	0.219	0.117	0.080
		10	0.109	1.445	1.748	0.709	1.107	1.900	0.136	0.373	2.045	1.239	0.155
		12	0.874	2.445	2.292	2.204	2.545	0.603	0.523	1.225	2.047	2.154	0.208
	Energy	12	0.142	0.161	0.164	0.140	0.157	0.149	0.146	0.162	0.152	0.163	0.115
		24	0.286	0.274	0.278	0.303	0.255	0.237	0.282	0.238	0.272	0.261	0.264
		36	0.360	0.347	0.362	0.360	0.369	0.359	0.341	0.315	0.356	0.347	0.360
		48	0.456	0.436	0.504	0.470	0.449	0.470	0.418	0.416	0.488	0.446	0.445
	Environment	48	0.542	0.505	0.509	0.487	0.461	0.479	0.493	0.525	0.438	0.488	0.502
		96	0.606	0.495	0.518	0.489	0.515	0.449	0.549	0.592	0.478	0.474	0.556
		192	0.660	0.645	0.537	0.500	0.607	0.583	0.531	0.641	0.504	0.498	0.619
		336	0.581	0.576	0.580	0.555	0.561	0.559	0.530	0.587	0.534	0.560	0.558
	Public Health	12	1.150	0.991	1.099	1.137	1.160	1.102	1.065	1.090	1.195	1.095	1.086
		24	1.393	1.551	1.407	1.415	1.372	1.413	1.462	1.308	1.480	1.452	1.444
		36	1.500	1.721	1.587	1.472	1.536	1.562	1.503	1.362	1.505	1.525	1.466
		48	1.687	1.608	1.738	1.627	1.618	1.742	1.599	1.586	1.700	1.635	1.625
	Security	6	128.0	127.8	128.0	128.9	125.4	127.4	129.6	126.7	128.6	127.6	124.4
		8	127.4	124.2	128.3	128.8	125.6	119.5	133.6	128.0	126.0	127.5	126.4
		10	128.2	131.5	130.5	132.6	128.6	128.5	128.7	134.2	128.8	129.7	128.2
		12	130.7	133.2	133.3	133.5	132.4	127.2	130.7	133.0	132.3	132.5	131.3
	Social Good	6	0.778	0.812	0.764	0.827	0.783	0.756	0.751	0.805	0.826	0.774	0.742
		8	0.862	0.939	1.034	0.940	0.791	0.929	0.884	0.883	0.900	0.876	0.819
		10	0.867	0.945	1.103	0.935	0.881	0.924	0.955	0.993	1.112	1.045	0.832
		12	0.902	0.977	1.134	0.969	0.973	0.939	0.890	1.025	1.064	1.100	0.873
	Traffic	6	0.203	0.226	0.230	0.205	0.221	0.222	0.233	0.221	0.237	0.231	0.190
		8	0.216	0.248	0.228	0.234	0.276	0.251	0.255	0.287	0.249	0.228	0.185
		10	0.229	0.326	0.424	0.320	0.238	0.450	0.238	0.269	0.388	0.255	0.207
		12	0.303	0.527	0.544	0.396	0.512	0.283	0.280	0.362	0.477	0.506	0.266

Table 31: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Informer (+ BERT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Informer	Agriculture	6	0.212	0.373	0.283	0.319	0.308	0.194	0.228	0.189	0.395	0.293	0.198
		8	0.315	0.415	0.251	0.346	0.204	0.280	0.322	0.193	0.392	0.352	0.348
		10	0.417	0.236	0.386	0.440	0.578	0.353	0.381	0.321	0.352	0.311	0.403
		12	0.565	0.405	0.621	0.593	0.320	0.648	0.597	0.581	0.436	0.365	0.453
	Climate	6	1.070	1.066	1.111	1.058	1.019	1.034	1.022	1.046	1.031	1.061	1.040
		8	1.081	1.065	1.083	1.073	0.916	1.087	1.073	1.047	1.073	1.049	1.108
		10	1.060	1.074	1.081	1.067	0.988	1.072	1.060	1.074	1.106	1.075	1.104
		12	1.050	1.086	1.097	1.073	0.916	1.036	1.067	1.095	1.085	1.095	1.096
	Economy	6	0.497	0.765	0.272	0.724	0.597	0.372	0.737	0.406	0.532	0.368	0.691
		8	0.529	1.003	0.596	0.848	0.337	1.153	1.026	0.541	0.461	0.432	0.467
		10	0.761	1.011	0.709	1.001	1.135	0.231	0.804	0.374	0.833	0.624	1.208
		12	1.113	1.216	0.595	1.036	0.803	1.501	1.141	0.062	0.777	0.914	0.768
	Energy	12	0.137	0.154	0.212	0.169	0.185	0.172	0.171	0.217	0.155	0.189	0.155
		24	0.316	0.296	0.302	0.316	0.291	0.340	0.338	0.249	0.299	0.308	0.300
		36	0.411	0.372	0.444	0.375	0.430	0.374	0.355	0.430	0.317	0.387	0.367
		48	0.483	0.464	0.488	0.546	0.466	0.495	0.442	0.498	0.478	0.491	0.528
	Environment	48	0.424	0.422	0.428	0.438	0.440	0.415	0.442	0.447	0.437	0.440	0.409
		96	0.437	0.437	0.448	0.446	0.446	0.437	0.443	0.408	0.412	0.405	0.416
		192	0.449	0.508	0.399	0.463	0.427	0.468	0.419	0.398	0.428	0.414	0.410
		336	0.464	0.464	0.473	0.469	0.435	0.466	0.485	0.443	0.410	0.401	0.468
	Public Health	12	1.236	1.054	1.075	1.066	1.011	0.997	1.056	1.016	1.084	1.002	1.131
		24	1.373	1.175	1.383	1.376	1.267	1.321	1.286	1.339	1.255	1.426	1.252
		36	1.540	1.349	1.449	1.442	1.200	1.431	1.473	1.434	1.441	1.544	1.406
		48	1.643	1.551	1.659	1.637	1.310	1.596	1.569	1.524	1.625	1.670	1.633
	Security	6	124.8	127.2	126.3	128.0	124.0	127.6	126.3	124.3	126.4	127.4	127.6
		8	126.7	130.4	128.9	129.9	126.4	127.2	126.7	125.5	125.4	126.3	125.6
		10	127.8	126.6	126.7	126.1	130.8	130.0	128.3	129.2	130.8	131.2	129.1
		12	131.1	132.6	131.2	131.8	128.0	131.9	130.5	132.1	130.2	128.0	131.3
	Social Good	6	0.726	0.706	0.729	0.723	0.779	0.750	0.733	0.747	0.730	0.726	0.753
		8	0.787	0.803	0.781	0.808	0.867	0.816	0.825	0.817	0.792	0.795	0.778
		10	0.825	0.847	0.833	0.866	0.820	0.878	0.835	0.909	0.849	0.815	0.873
		12	0.833	0.879	0.830	0.858	0.929	0.877	0.858	0.939	0.868	0.918	0.872
	Traffic	6	0.164	0.172	0.173	0.149	0.158	0.163	0.150	0.178	0.152	0.150	0.170
		8	0.161	0.191	0.158	0.169	0.187	0.163	0.163	0.181	0.169	0.162	0.167
		10	0.198	0.187	0.195	0.182	0.205	0.181	0.179	0.177	0.174	0.173	0.182
		12	0.225	0.228	0.207	0.220	0.203	0.215	0.219	0.211	0.205	0.203	0.222

K.2 Text Model: GPT2

Table 32: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Nonstationary Transformer (+ GPT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Nonstationary Transformer	Agriculture	6	0.055	0.061	0.050	0.052	0.062	0.054	1.746	0.051	0.053	0.058	0.053
		8	0.071	0.073	0.070	0.068	0.073	0.070	1.026	0.070	0.070	0.074	0.067
		10	0.092	0.102	0.090	0.088	0.100	0.091	0.367	0.092	0.092	0.090	0.092
		12	0.116	0.121	0.119	0.110	0.127	0.120	0.428	0.121	0.126	0.118	0.116
	Climate	6	1.206	1.316	1.302	1.192	1.106	1.237	1.296	1.304	1.179	1.226	1.084
		8	1.240	1.301	1.128	1.232	1.248	1.302	1.154	1.286	1.238	1.203	1.246
		10	1.283	1.296	1.281	1.224	1.248	1.150	1.078	1.246	1.211	1.297	1.192
		12	1.262	1.237	1.205	1.193	1.257	1.231	1.083	1.221	1.203	1.203	1.156
	Economy	6	0.016	0.018	0.018	0.017	0.017	0.016	7.850	0.018	0.017	0.018	0.017
		8	0.018	0.019	0.019	0.020	0.020	0.019	8.342	0.018	0.019	0.019	0.019
		10	0.020	0.020	0.019	0.023	0.021	0.021	1.054	0.021	0.020	0.023	0.019
		12	0.020	0.022	0.022	0.020	0.023	0.020	1.001	0.020	0.020	0.021	0.020
	Energy	12	0.106	0.115	0.104	0.093	0.085	0.094	0.178	0.102	0.104	0.098	0.105
		24	0.159	0.202	0.177	0.209	0.207	0.220	0.595	0.195	0.209	0.206	0.231
		36	0.333	0.297	0.303	0.313	0.297	0.292	0.927	0.298	0.295	0.273	0.299
		48	0.391	0.459	0.441	0.413	0.420	0.402	0.586	0.366	0.362	0.398	0.342
	Environment	48	0.430	0.436	0.446	0.437	0.448	0.432	0.448	0.436	0.426	0.431	0.430
		96	0.433	0.477	0.457	0.441	0.422	0.461	0.451	0.455	0.446	0.441	0.438
		192	0.445	0.500	0.454	0.444	0.462	0.488	0.461	0.434	0.431	0.479	0.423
		336	0.438	0.499	0.430	0.427	0.455	0.431	0.484	0.436	0.440	0.437	0.476
	Public Health	12	0.841	0.793	0.819	0.940	0.821	0.891	1.143	0.830	0.800	0.714	0.714
		24	1.160	1.174	0.954	1.214	1.108	1.294	1.662	1.181	1.374	1.212	1.030
		36	1.247	1.208	1.241	1.292	1.456	1.461	1.473	1.380	1.515	1.339	1.276
		48	1.593	1.720	1.552	1.258	1.697	1.312	1.584	1.549	1.449	1.347	1.323
	Security	6	103.4	102.4	105.9	105.6	101.3	106.0	121.3	101.4	100.7	102.6	100.3
		8	104.9	105.7	107.5	106.9	106.0	104.7	124.7	104.5	103.5	104.1	104.8
		10	107.8	108.0	107.9	108.2	107.5	108.0	122.5	107.7	108.4	108.3	108.5
		12	109.3	109.5	109.8	109.0	109.5	109.5	117.3	109.2	108.7	109.6	109.6
	Social Good	6	0.790	0.806	0.791	0.750	0.832	0.770	4.429	0.801	0.829	0.793	0.798
		8	0.908	0.945	0.884	0.911	1.021	0.871	2.870	0.953	0.905	0.884	0.893
		10	0.996	0.966	0.969	0.925	1.060	0.973	1.092	1.072	0.928	0.952	0.926
		12	1.073	1.045	1.027	0.988	1.089	1.025	1.056	1.147	1.068	1.072	1.022
	Traffic	6	0.182	0.173	0.182	0.191	0.186	0.172	0.616	0.185	0.188	0.175	0.173
		8	0.185	0.190	0.188	0.201	0.195	0.186	0.546	0.191	0.186	0.184	0.185
		10	0.187	0.186	0.182	0.192	0.190	0.177	0.252	0.190	0.182	0.192	0.188
		12	0.243	0.255	0.251	0.257	0.235	0.240	0.262	0.244	0.248	0.242	0.242

Table 33: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Koopa (+ GPT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Koopa	Agriculture	6	0.059	0.057	0.058	0.058	3.581	1.539	1.539	0.061	0.072	0.057	0.056
		8	0.077	0.070	0.071	0.071	0.188	0.187	0.187	0.077	0.129	0.074	0.076
		10	0.099	0.092	0.090	0.090	1.280	0.406	0.406	0.100	0.118	0.091	0.100
		12	0.129	0.118	0.118	0.118	0.799	0.662	0.662	0.130	0.174	0.117	0.129
	Climate	6	1.251	14.469	1.255	1.255	6.592	1.219	1.219	1.268	1.079	1.246	1.253
		8	1.264	1.541	1.267	1.267	1.195	1.114	1.114	1.320	1.072	1.268	1.258
		10	1.247	3.619	1.254	1.254	1.426	1.053	1.053	1.299	1.115	1.246	1.247
		12	1.232	1.248	1.251	1.251	1.195	1.202	1.202	1.249	1.084	1.249	1.232
	Economy	6	0.021	0.037	0.026	0.026	11.634	11.957	11.957	0.030	0.054	0.023	0.017
		8	0.017	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.739	0.796	0.796	0.023	0.034	0.018	0.018
		10	0.017	0.025	0.021	0.021	4.070	3.392	3.392	0.028	0.053	0.017	0.017
		12	0.018	0.030	0.029	0.029	13.558	14.694	14.694	0.029	0.063	0.025	0.018
	Energy	12	0.107	7203.5	0.107	0.107	195.3	0.162	0.162	0.115	0.111	0.110	0.107
		24	0.216	0.296	0.205	0.205	988.7	0.394	0.394	0.218	0.213	0.196	0.205
		36	0.300	0.299	0.293	0.293	0.681	0.504	0.504	0.314	0.309	0.297	0.299
		48	0.388	22512.6	0.404	0.404	31.815	1.075	1.075	0.434	0.414	0.385	0.402
	Environment	48	0.482	1124061696.0	0.457	0.457	237790768.0	0.442	0.442	0.487	0.462	0.464	0.481
		96	0.526	1906434688.0	0.473	0.473	0.954	0.444	0.444	0.539	0.456	0.484	0.533
		192	0.567	4849368576.0	0.526	0.526	39476484.0	0.498	0.498	0.567	0.498	0.526	0.564
		336	0.528	1709358592.0	0.531	0.531	593.9	0.495	0.495	0.529	0.515	0.510	0.528
	Public Health	12	1.029	1956.1	0.940	0.940	113.8	0.941	0.941	1.343	1.301	0.908	1.008
		24	1.395	1.190	1.139	1.139	1.827	1.221	1.221	1.582	1.380	1.164	1.410
		36	1.614	1.243	1.285	1.285	2.072	1.626	1.626	1.738	1.302	1.272	1.625
		48	1.729	1.530	1.374	1.374	2.099	1.736	1.736	1.885	1.487	1.362	1.715
	Security	6	104.9	97.303	103.8	103.8	136.5	138.2	138.2	103.0	108.8	103.6	104.5
		8	109.1	120.2	108.8	108.8	108.2	110.5	110.5	112.9	114.3	104.6	105.5
		10	108.8	105.3	107.6	107.6	117.7	116.7	116.7	109.8	111.0	108.7	108.7
		12	111.3	109.8	110.1	110.1	158.0	160.8	160.8	114.6	113.1	109.7	109.4
	Social Good	6	0.847	0.848	0.871	0.871	3.168	3.427	3.427	0.881	0.753	0.830	0.851
		8	0.931	1.019	0.939	0.939	0.975	0.962	0.962	1.011	0.859	0.876	0.912
		10	0.998	1.058	1.015	1.015	1.368	1.243	1.243	0.996	0.862	0.938	0.994
		12	1.081	1.057	1.077	1.077	3.208	2.754	2.754	1.085	0.889	1.027	1.079
	Traffic	6	0.226	0.278	0.246	0.246	0.570	0.486	0.486	0.251	0.239	0.242	0.196
		8	0.214	0.225	0.223	0.223	0.200	0.204	0.204	0.224	0.218	0.221	0.207
		10	0.216	0.229	0.227	0.227	0.275	0.241	0.241	0.229	0.226	0.221	0.209
		12	0.274	0.293	0.283	0.283	0.679	0.534	0.534	0.293	0.271	0.286	0.264

Table 34: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **iTransformer (+ GPT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
iTransformer	Agriculture	6	0.059	0.060	0.062	0.057	0.060	0.058	1.036	0.060	0.060	0.060	0.059
		8	0.077	0.079	0.082	0.072	0.077	0.080	1.057	0.081	0.079	0.081	0.076
		10	0.096	0.104	0.103	0.090	0.101	0.102	0.301	0.103	0.101	0.103	0.099
	12	0.128	0.131	0.134	0.117	0.131	0.133	0.303	0.127	0.132	0.129	0.125	
	Climate	6	1.096	1.185	1.174	1.131	1.122	1.212	1.326	1.123	1.168	1.151	1.121
		8	1.178	1.207	1.232	1.202	1.169	1.215	1.187	1.231	1.258	1.203	1.236
		10	1.186	1.211	1.223	1.188	1.182	1.249	1.050	1.225	1.223	1.231	1.212
		12	1.176	1.175	1.155	1.179	1.155	1.180	1.037	1.149	1.211	1.177	1.187
	Economy	6	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.018	0.015	0.015	15.914	0.015	0.016	0.015	0.014
		8	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.019	0.014	0.016	10.060	0.015	0.016	0.016	0.016
		10	0.015	0.016	0.015	0.016	0.015	0.016	0.884	0.015	0.016	0.016	0.015
		12	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.019	0.015	0.016	1.016	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016
	Energy	12	0.111	0.104	0.103	0.115	0.102	0.110	0.224	0.103	0.108	0.108	0.112
		24	0.228	0.217	0.226	0.207	0.194	0.217	0.732	0.225	0.227	0.203	0.222
		36	0.302	0.282	0.311	0.306	0.257	0.305	1.241	0.312	0.295	0.301	0.295
		48	0.408	0.398	0.369	0.400	0.401	0.384	0.663	0.394	0.405	0.393	0.393
	Environment	48	0.411	0.427	0.411	0.422	0.412	0.430	0.510	0.411	0.411	0.405	0.416
		96	0.418	0.426	0.426	0.445	0.444	0.431	0.647	0.433	0.425	0.421	0.438
		192	0.435	0.434	0.420	0.460	0.437	0.451	0.570	0.433	0.443	0.432	0.439
		336	0.418	0.420	0.422	0.442	0.417	0.422	0.414	0.425	0.422	0.420	0.428
	Public Health	12	1.023	0.969	1.036	0.942	1.058	0.976	1.007	1.039	0.972	0.953	0.975
		24	1.438	1.465	1.452	1.209	1.446	1.435	1.550	1.449	1.462	1.440	1.460
		36	1.637	1.667	1.619	1.290	1.677	1.617	1.877	1.617	1.631	1.626	1.628
		48	1.738	1.747	1.735	1.468	1.795	1.721	1.733	1.733	1.749	1.748	1.752
	Security	6	104.9	104.2	102.5	102.1	103.0	103.6	133.0	102.7	104.7	102.9	104.0
		8	108.7	106.8	106.3	114.0	106.4	105.7	134.6	107.0	106.1	106.3	108.9
		10	111.4	111.4	107.9	109.5	109.3	108.4	120.8	108.1	109.5	108.3	110.6
		12	114.0	111.4	109.8	119.7	110.9	110.7	118.2	111.1	111.9	111.1	111.8
	Social Good	6	0.825	0.826	0.823	0.782	0.841	0.820	4.269	0.823	0.817	0.823	0.804
		8	0.961	0.958	0.966	0.895	0.923	0.948	2.482	0.968	0.941	0.947	0.921
		10	1.061	1.065	1.058	0.986	1.077	1.042	1.128	1.057	1.051	1.039	1.047
		12	1.144	1.152	1.166	1.103	1.127	1.166	1.124	1.046	1.134	1.139	1.048
	Traffic	6	0.179	0.191	0.170	0.190	0.186	0.163	0.574	0.177	0.173	0.163	0.173
		8	0.185	0.182	0.192	0.198	0.195	0.181	1.358	0.186	0.180	0.175	0.189
		10	0.195	0.196	0.183	0.203	0.198	0.191	0.252	0.185	0.181	0.185	0.186
		12	0.249	0.238	0.240	0.255	0.251	0.254	0.251	0.242	0.236	0.241	0.235

Table 35: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **DLinear (+ GPT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
DLinear	Agriculture	6	0.114	0.120	0.132	0.132	0.455	0.802	0.392	0.112	0.235	0.133	0.085
		8	0.324	0.173	0.175	0.183	1.168	1.372	1.182	0.206	0.232	0.221	0.099
		10	0.163	0.198	0.203	0.209	0.532	0.445	0.436	0.197	0.221	0.216	0.127
	12	0.217	0.241	0.243	0.242	0.446	0.364	0.426	0.162	0.348	0.180	0.183	
	Climate	6	1.198	1.292	1.255	1.226	1.312	1.241	1.226	1.312	1.080	1.285	1.090
		8	1.364	1.329	1.288	1.264	1.240	1.172	1.160	1.318	1.084	1.368	1.090
		10	1.166	1.326	1.336	1.306	1.193	1.125	1.122	1.281	1.109	1.314	1.116
		12	1.174	1.350	1.356	1.337	1.164	1.131	1.129	1.336	1.087	1.281	1.098
	Economy	6	0.090	0.092	0.097	0.098	18.050	15.149	13.841	0.107	0.431	0.109	0.024
		8	0.233	0.133	0.141	0.143	11.973	10.792	11.650	0.131	0.184	0.154	0.025
		10	0.086	0.120	0.130	0.130	2.488	2.169	2.265	0.139	0.148	0.145	0.051
	12	0.109	0.119	0.130	0.131	1.543	1.613	1.759	0.065	0.230	0.092	0.060	
	Energy	12	0.115	0.211	0.165	0.150	0.228	0.191	0.166	0.140	0.160	0.138	0.096
		24	0.219	0.297	0.277	0.258	0.710	0.284	0.286	0.270	0.290	0.240	0.201
		36	0.303	0.357	0.344	0.328	1.065	0.349	0.345	0.338	0.355	0.344	0.284
		48	0.415	0.440	0.471	0.429	0.679	0.705	0.673	0.443	0.445	0.473	0.391
	Environment	48	0.490	0.462	0.460	0.452	0.499	0.472	0.462	0.507	0.435	0.461	0.489
		96	0.568	0.467	0.473	0.459	0.537	0.491	0.476	0.565	0.474	0.466	0.572
		192	0.583	0.526	0.519	0.510	0.574	0.567	0.563	0.587	0.582	0.518	0.587
		336	0.538	0.507	0.505	0.497	0.502	0.475	0.486	0.539	0.508	0.495	0.538
	Public Health	12	1.330	1.457	1.465	1.381	1.286	1.309	1.186	1.664	1.414	1.364	1.228
		24	1.578	1.539	1.397	1.445	1.784	1.653	1.605	1.688	1.695	1.452	1.589
		36	1.619	1.671	1.688	1.648	2.247	1.750	1.679	1.787	1.843	1.635	1.629
		48	1.691	1.749	1.725	1.724	1.842	1.837	1.809	1.804	1.775	1.616	1.674
	Security	6	104.4	105.8	105.0	104.5	192.5	176.2	170.7	105.8	110.3	104.6	104.8
		8	109.2	110.0	108.8	108.3	151.3	149.4	147.6	110.2	107.0	108.6	109.0
		10	110.5	112.5	110.9	110.8	113.0	112.2	112.9	111.0	106.1	110.8	109.7
		12	112.0	114.5	114.4	113.3	113.4	112.8	113.4	113.3	111.2	113.2	111.7
	Social Good	6	0.817	0.941	0.949	0.918	3.033	2.072	1.869	0.922	0.931	0.878	0.779
		8	0.885	1.055	1.029	1.004	2.522	2.304	2.139	0.958	0.960	0.966	0.870
		10	1.026	1.158	1.142	1.108	1.103	0.962	0.951	1.180	0.987	1.131	0.966
		12	1.010	1.232	1.155	1.240	1.054	1.011	1.004	1.089	1.045	1.128	1.003
	Traffic	6	0.254	0.302	0.328	0.309	0.673	0.677	0.618	0.313	0.371	0.313	0.227
		8	0.322	0.337	0.359	0.341	1.617	2.183	1.528	0.269	0.332	0.359	0.224
		10	0.243	0.334	0.354	0.341	0.511	0.297	0.358	0.294	0.314	0.357	0.219
		12	0.287	0.363	0.390	0.379	0.562	0.375	0.525	0.327	0.316	0.332	0.261

Table 36: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **PatchTST (+ GPT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
PatchTST	Agriculture	6	0.059	0.060	0.062	0.057	0.060	0.061	0.639	0.062	0.059	0.060	0.059
		8	0.078	0.079	0.081	0.076	0.079	0.080	0.814	0.081	0.079	0.079	0.077
		10	0.100	0.099	0.101	0.097	0.099	0.102	0.342	0.101	0.102	0.101	0.100
	12	0.129	0.129	0.129	0.120	0.129	0.129	0.394	0.128	0.129	0.130	0.129	
	Climate	6	1.257	1.234	1.240	1.237	1.234	1.259	1.352	1.240	1.263	1.224	1.225
		8	1.265	1.255	1.226	1.263	1.255	1.250	1.135	1.226	1.257	1.230	1.253
		10	1.274	1.276	1.261	1.281	1.276	1.272	1.093	1.261	1.271	1.250	1.276
		12	1.239	1.248	1.246	1.260	1.248	1.257	1.095	1.246	1.269	1.242	1.253
	Economy	6	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.017	0.016	0.018	16.300	0.017	0.018	0.017	0.016
		8	0.017	0.017	0.018	0.019	0.017	0.017	9.731	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.017
		10	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.019	0.017	0.017	1.014	0.017	0.018	0.017	0.017
		12	0.017	0.018	0.017	0.022	0.018	0.018	1.012	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018
	Energy	12	0.105	0.102	0.111	0.112	0.102	0.107	0.226	0.111	0.106	0.111	0.113
		24	0.226	0.227	0.213	0.213	0.227	0.216	0.639	0.213	0.208	0.213	0.215
		36	0.310	0.302	0.310	0.290	0.302	0.290	1.382	0.310	0.309	0.301	0.306
		48	0.414	0.430	0.417	0.392	0.430	0.419	0.644	0.416	0.405	0.416	0.418
	Environment	48	0.459	0.461	0.458	0.455	0.461	0.451	0.514	0.458	0.452	0.462	0.447
		96	0.504	0.511	0.450	0.476	0.511	0.486	0.690	0.465	0.439	0.457	0.449
		192	0.548	0.536	0.443	0.519	0.536	0.494	0.657	0.445	0.456	0.452	0.438
		336	0.497	0.500	0.437	0.519	0.500	0.452	0.471	0.457	0.458	0.447	0.433
	Public Health	12	0.913	0.891	0.926	0.774	0.891	0.948	1.011	0.932	0.869	0.912	0.907
		24	1.299	1.316	1.389	1.163	1.316	1.381	1.689	1.331	1.334	1.326	1.301
		36	1.623	1.620	1.607	1.366	1.620	1.618	1.480	1.607	1.605	1.613	1.596
		48	1.724	1.727	1.731	1.474	1.727	1.724	1.709	1.731	1.727	1.724	1.754
	Security	6	104.5	104.3	102.5	104.2	104.3	103.3	140.4	102.4	105.0	102.9	104.5
		8	110.1	109.6	105.3	107.9	109.6	106.3	138.0	105.1	108.1	107.3	107.4
		10	112.5	109.9	108.9	109.7	109.9	108.3	118.2	107.6	110.2	109.3	110.7
		12	110.8	111.4	110.2	110.3	111.4	112.2	118.4	110.7	110.7	112.7	113.7
	Social Good	6	0.794	0.802	0.782	0.764	0.802	0.774	3.867	0.782	0.786	0.793	0.772
		8	0.903	0.896	0.881	0.845	0.896	0.900	2.718	0.881	0.898	0.901	0.881
		10	0.991	1.051	1.046	0.964	1.051	1.049	1.102	1.046	1.017	1.032	0.980
		12	1.133	1.113	1.063	0.988	1.113	1.070	1.091	1.062	1.057	1.088	1.047
	Traffic	6	0.183	0.181	0.182	0.185	0.181	0.179	0.363	0.179	0.177	0.180	0.173
		8	0.185	0.178	0.194	0.197	0.178	0.180	1.920	0.188	0.182	0.184	0.192
		10	0.189	0.188	0.183	0.203	0.188	0.194	0.306	0.186	0.185	0.180	0.183
		12	0.240	0.244	0.246	0.255	0.244	0.236	0.385	0.241	0.236	0.235	0.257

Table 37: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with FiLM (+ GPT).

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
FiLM	Agriculture	6	0.061	0.083	0.063	0.066	2.706	0.065	1.955	0.063	0.064	0.061	0.063
		8	0.082	0.087	0.081	0.082	1.423	0.088	0.816	0.080	0.083	0.081	0.078
		10	0.105	21.529	0.104	0.099	0.149	0.106	0.362	0.107	0.106	0.102	0.103
		12	0.143	0.139	0.133	0.122	0.973	0.136	0.449	0.133	0.137	0.137	0.132
	Climate	6	1.305	1.263	1.280	1.260	1.559	1.264	1.299	1.219	1.293	1.237	1.254
		8	1.282	1.273	1.277	1.269	1.034	1.317	1.155	1.310	1.269	1.270	1.264
		10	1.278	10.976	1.305	1.280	14.518	1.297	1.106	1.284	1.287	1.272	1.273
		12	1.280	21.682	1.280	1.288	9.379	1.285	1.112	1.308	1.281	1.318	1.264
	Economy	6	0.017	0.037	0.027	0.033	21.352	0.021	18.802	0.019	0.018	0.018	0.017
		8	0.018	0.047	0.021	0.021	11.280	0.023	13.157	0.018	0.017	0.018	0.018
		10	0.019	0.040	0.019	0.018	0.553	0.022	2.364	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018
		12	0.018	36.534	0.020	0.043	1.685	0.021	2.238	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018
	Energy	12	0.119	9874.3	0.120	0.132	0.321	0.148	0.199	0.105	0.107	0.109	0.102
		24	0.229	0.358	0.256	0.266	0.725	0.252	0.725	0.215	0.219	0.233	0.206
		36	0.302	34795.4	0.320	0.335	1.481	0.323	1.351	0.300	0.315	0.309	0.300
		48	0.435	0.486	0.443	0.443	0.699	0.506	0.673	0.419	0.430	0.448	0.429
	Environment	48	0.488	218219.0	0.482	0.486	0.499	0.488	0.500	0.491	0.477	0.466	0.490
		96	0.546	35537.8	0.512	0.494	0.579	0.483	0.604	0.546	0.531	0.494	0.540
		192	0.574	3251248128.0	0.542	0.546	0.604	0.498	0.671	0.575	0.549	0.514	0.570
		336	0.529	2551701760.0	0.478	0.522	58696568.0	0.499	0.486	0.527	0.481	0.500	0.527
	Public Health	12	1.155	3605.6	1.195	1.184	2.073	1.481	1.249	1.121	1.138	1.129	1.106
		24	1.492	1.417	1.496	1.371	3.481	1.646	1.450	1.450	1.482	1.425	1.432
		36	1.635	1.686	1.658	1.853	2.602	1.668	2.357	1.719	1.692	1.642	1.685
		48	1.722	2.066	1.775	2.052	2.202	1.790	1.850	1.728	1.806	1.776	1.739
	Security	6	124.2	106.8	102.1	100.9	210.4	103.4	189.5	102.8	101.6	102.0	107.1
		8	113.8	116.8	106.0	116.4	148.3	105.5	164.3	110.8	106.3	106.2	105.1
		10	117.0	136.7	109.0	133.4	95.991	107.9	111.8	109.4	108.2	108.8	114.0
		12	119.0	128.9	109.8	108.2	98.285	111.2	113.1	112.2	113.6	111.6	113.8
	Social Good	6	0.900	193.9	0.854	0.827	4.318	0.848	4.221	0.819	0.810	0.815	0.818
		8	0.994	1.363	1.005	1.027	2.158	1.055	2.760	1.002	0.964	0.944	0.967
		10	1.119	397.3	1.040	1.202	423.6	1.106	1.096	1.014	1.112	1.046	1.123
		12	1.216	1045.6	1.074	1.071	320.6	1.182	1.081	1.218	1.191	1.154	1.165
	Traffic	6	0.229	0.298	0.234	0.252	1.395	0.235	0.606	0.268	0.229	0.239	0.236
		8	0.223	0.218	0.220	0.221	2.319	0.229	1.438	0.230	0.226	0.220	0.233
		10	0.216	0.227	0.217	0.215	0.300	0.218	0.423	0.240	0.220	0.217	0.215
		12	0.253	1.605	0.252	0.270	0.242	0.268	0.326	0.285	0.258	0.274	0.251

Table 38: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **TiDE (+ GPT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
TiDE	Agriculture	6	0.073	0.068	0.082	0.068	1.720	0.065	1.699	0.063	0.063	0.062	0.060
		8	0.088	0.091	0.092	0.092	1.005	0.093	0.826	0.082	0.082	0.080	0.082
		10	0.112	17.163	0.110	0.112	19.212	0.120	0.419	0.103	0.104	0.104	0.103
		12	0.160	20.607	0.144	0.124	29.733	0.150	0.353	0.135	0.134	0.134	0.135
	Climate	6	1.515	1.601	1.606	1.600	1.496	1.418	1.379	1.252	1.244	1.239	1.258
		8	1.486	1.567	1.481	1.458	1.081	1.532	1.184	1.264	1.274	1.258	1.267
		10	1.408	14.350	1.467	1.486	5.718	1.561	1.197	1.274	1.272	1.259	1.259
		12	1.468	10.739	1.395	1.480	3.521	1.508	1.164	1.255	1.278	1.264	1.271
	Economy	6	0.033	0.052	0.039	0.053	19.510	0.044	19.047	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017
		8	0.043	0.042	0.035	0.041	12.450	0.031	12.775	0.017	0.018	0.017	0.018
		10	0.030	24.102	0.031	0.039	47.478	0.040	1.857	0.018	0.017	0.017	0.017
		12	0.033	27.894	0.031	0.037	57.638	0.038	1.946	0.018	0.018	0.019	0.017
	Energy	12	0.135	8167.2	0.139	0.135	2692.9	0.134	0.214	0.115	0.115	0.108	0.112
		24	0.241	0.389	0.244	0.262	0.779	0.243	0.784	0.212	0.210	0.217	0.220
		36	0.330	26003.6	0.333	0.328	8011.0	0.332	1.382	0.294	0.307	0.305	0.300
		48	0.443	0.467	0.443	0.439	0.684	0.442	0.692	0.403	0.414	0.407	0.408
	Environment	48	0.484	0.808	0.485	0.475	0.472	0.485	0.483	0.485	0.483	0.485	0.484
		96	0.541	0.512	0.542	0.513	0.570	0.539	0.630	0.541	0.539	0.539	0.540
		192	0.577	8866.8	0.578	0.561	5217.4	0.577	0.612	0.577	0.577	0.577	0.576
		336	0.533	7686.4	0.534	0.510	977.9	0.533	0.494	0.534	0.533	0.533	0.534
	Public Health	12	1.299	1837.7	1.320	1.328	1131.5	1.329	1.244	1.076	1.128	1.113	1.106
		24	1.513	2.180	1.499	1.646	1.673	1.567	1.685	1.432	1.434	1.448	1.425
		36	1.676	11842.9	1.668	1.755	5477.2	1.669	2.308	1.605	1.619	1.617	1.602
		48	1.787	1.977	1.756	1.844	1.845	1.788	1.773	1.724	1.723	1.726	1.721
	Security	6	146.1	125.0	134.9	125.0	202.6	122.2	192.6	105.2	107.2	107.6	107.5
		8	129.7	138.8	132.5	138.7	154.2	128.9	155.8	108.3	108.9	112.4	109.4
		10	132.3	162.6	135.2	134.7	101.0	135.4	116.3	112.7	110.6	114.6	111.9
		12	145.2	149.2	139.2	139.4	102.7	142.4	119.8	112.7	112.3	112.3	111.7
	Social Good	6	1.012	0.974	1.036	0.961	3.988	0.970	3.950	0.835	0.836	0.823	0.843
		8	1.062	1.129	1.013	1.129	2.673	1.044	2.480	0.950	0.940	0.950	0.947
		10	1.250	571.2	1.097	1.185	269.0	1.243	1.011	1.068	1.070	1.109	1.068
		12	1.415	737.3	1.186	1.298	231.7	1.205	1.059	1.162	1.252	1.183	1.147
	Traffic	6	0.304	0.326	0.285	0.326	0.439	0.301	0.438	0.236	0.235	0.236	0.233
		8	0.309	0.312	0.277	0.310	0.469	0.272	0.424	0.233	0.230	0.234	0.224
		10	0.249	31.614	0.245	0.328	9.288	0.302	0.623	0.224	0.231	0.225	0.229
		12	0.319	39.803	0.308	0.336	13.868	0.334	0.404	0.288	0.290	0.284	0.289

Table 39: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **FEDformer (+ GPT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
FEDformer	Agriculture	6	0.062	0.063	0.062	0.057	0.061	0.062	0.604	0.064	0.062	0.055	0.059
		8	0.071	0.076	0.074	0.071	0.083	0.073	0.726	0.075	0.078	0.069	0.069
		10	0.092	0.103	0.090	0.094	0.104	0.096	0.362	0.095	0.093	0.092	0.093
		12	0.115	0.118	0.116	0.123	0.131	0.122	0.316	0.116	0.122	0.122	0.117
	Climate	6	1.116	1.061	1.098	1.182	1.071	1.086	1.261	1.098	1.095	1.077	1.060
		8	1.082	1.091	1.060	1.091	1.030	1.063	1.051	1.055	1.093	1.075	1.101
		10	1.105	1.102	1.109	1.108	1.053	1.115	0.896	1.111	1.095	1.098	1.066
		12	1.084	1.116	1.100	1.092	1.118	1.054	0.931	1.100	1.120	1.112	1.093
	Economy	6	0.039	0.047	0.049	0.036	0.041	0.045	10.229	0.049	0.046	0.029	0.048
		8	0.047	0.053	0.053	0.050	0.029	0.036	7.842	0.052	0.036	0.053	0.041
		10	0.040	0.051	0.048	0.045	0.051	0.035	0.900	0.048	0.041	0.036	0.044
		12	0.046	0.045	0.041	0.056	0.046	0.032	1.095	0.040	0.037	0.047	0.044
	Energy	12	0.098	0.111	0.094	0.096	0.113	0.093	0.129	0.094	0.097	0.091	0.092
		24	0.183	0.184	0.184	0.175	0.184	0.179	0.790	0.184	0.176	0.178	0.179
		36	0.254	0.332	0.268	0.251	0.304	0.257	0.792	0.261	0.253	0.253	0.256
		48	0.375	0.403	0.370	0.367	0.474	0.359	0.776	0.370	0.366	0.358	0.386
	Environment	48	0.443	0.502	0.478	0.486	0.473	0.467	0.503	0.479	0.482	0.458	0.471
		96	0.487	0.511	0.486	0.527	0.513	0.518	0.445	0.480	0.480	0.491	0.457
		192	0.508	0.508	0.505	0.505	0.509	0.499	0.469	0.508	0.495	0.513	0.503
		336	0.449	0.485	0.458	0.486	0.463	0.484	0.454	0.460	0.466	0.470	0.449
	Public Health	12	1.050	1.051	1.059	1.056	1.154	1.057	1.058	1.051	1.054	1.098	1.052
		24	1.488	1.370	1.353	1.370	1.531	1.422	1.580	1.348	1.407	1.374	1.350
		36	1.422	1.552	1.579	1.554	1.504	1.493	1.924	1.544	1.487	1.537	1.502
		48	1.621	1.568	1.601	1.625	1.738	1.501	1.504	1.541	1.622	1.610	1.502
	Security	6	105.9	110.1	103.6	102.9	106.2	105.7	162.7	105.9	115.0	104.6	107.4
		8	109.1	107.8	109.8	108.7	110.3	109.6	142.9	109.4	110.1	107.4	109.6
		10	113.8	112.9	111.6	111.4	112.3	114.9	114.0	111.6	113.0	110.0	113.3
		12	117.2	117.5	115.0	114.8	114.2	112.9	115.8	111.8	115.2	115.5	114.4
	Social Good	6	0.879	0.853	0.808	0.873	0.860	0.819	1.825	0.808	0.771	0.802	0.836
		8	0.885	0.873	0.897	0.848	0.905	0.852	2.121	0.896	0.855	0.848	0.848
		10	0.914	0.918	0.892	0.920	0.982	0.940	0.984	0.892	0.896	0.911	0.908
		12	1.015	0.987	0.983	0.954	1.114	1.010	1.108	0.981	0.987	1.001	0.971
	Traffic	6	0.152	0.163	0.153	0.160	0.144	0.149	0.351	0.154	0.164	0.150	0.153
		8	0.153	0.160	0.156	0.169	0.144	0.158	0.227	0.156	0.154	0.156	0.159
		10	0.166	0.175	0.167	0.168	0.147	0.161	0.344	0.168	0.163	0.161	0.161
		12	0.228	0.233	0.232	0.240	0.217	0.213	0.376	0.232	0.233	0.221	0.228

Table 40: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Autoformer (+ GPT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
Autoformer	Agriculture	6	0.070	0.072	0.066	0.075	0.066	0.066	1.226	0.069	0.069	0.062	0.068
		8	0.090	0.086	0.080	0.086	0.091	0.078	0.483	0.094	0.097	0.077	0.093
		10	0.110	0.117	0.106	0.107	0.115	0.112	0.348	0.111	0.102	0.113	0.094
	12	0.128	0.144	0.135	0.139	0.141	0.134	0.446	0.131	0.139	0.126	0.129	
	Climate	6	1.240	1.292	1.243	1.222	1.182	1.206	1.319	1.228	1.239	1.206	1.204
		8	1.200	1.224	1.252	1.203	1.293	1.176	1.103	1.296	1.178	1.221	1.106
		10	1.247	1.275	1.228	1.211	1.239	1.190	1.035	1.256	1.206	1.168	1.197
		12	1.177	1.181	1.216	1.247	1.207	1.192	0.991	1.219	1.206	1.194	1.133
	Economy	6	0.051	0.077	0.068	0.067	0.058	0.058	11.732	0.069	0.074	0.067	0.050
		8	0.058	0.069	0.058	0.058	0.065	0.065	9.985	0.058	0.066	0.061	0.074
		10	0.060	0.066	0.057	0.049	0.072	0.049	1.110	0.057	0.067	0.055	0.055
		12	0.061	0.074	0.044	0.059	0.074	0.056	1.045	0.056	0.052	0.051	0.045
	Energy	12	0.165	0.208	0.158	0.158	0.171	0.145	0.339	0.140	0.144	0.166	0.171
		24	0.292	0.300	0.314	0.296	0.329	0.296	0.710	0.312	0.305	0.329	0.291
		36	0.352	0.383	0.378	0.399	0.403	0.387	1.380	0.366	0.385	0.370	0.374
		48	0.485	0.468	0.479	0.452	0.500	0.476	0.702	0.446	0.491	0.456	0.464
	Environment	48	0.550	0.507	0.502	0.558	0.532	0.515	0.481	0.498	0.541	0.492	0.485
		96	0.520	0.567	0.524	0.491	0.559	0.511	0.536	0.518	0.544	0.508	0.496
		192	0.589	0.550	0.547	0.560	0.614	0.531	0.505	0.541	0.555	0.553	0.505
		336	0.565	0.525	0.523	0.536	0.548	0.508	0.483	0.504	0.549	0.535	0.502
	Public Health	12	1.668	1.632	1.513	1.596	1.425	1.655	1.449	1.626	1.522	1.609	1.567
		24	1.940	2.128	1.847	1.941	1.773	1.950	1.639	1.845	1.958	1.989	1.860
		36	1.931	1.983	1.878	1.851	2.105	1.961	2.163	1.916	2.008	2.005	1.883
		48	1.767	2.137	1.814	1.883	2.048	1.905	1.801	2.026	1.887	1.985	1.926
	Security	6	106.1	107.9	111.8	107.9	105.7	111.6	151.7	113.4	107.9	107.1	108.8
		8	110.7	112.2	109.0	112.5	111.0	112.7	140.4	109.8	111.4	110.1	112.8
		10	115.7	115.2	116.1	115.1	111.3	110.4	115.3	114.6	112.3	111.6	113.0
		12	117.8	117.2	112.1	115.4	116.0	118.8	117.3	112.2	113.8	116.2	112.5
	Social Good	6	0.891	0.900	0.793	0.844	0.868	0.841	4.417	0.839	0.884	0.895	0.814
		8	0.929	0.921	0.952	0.961	1.064	1.020	2.750	0.979	0.931	0.938	0.967
		10	1.052	1.041	1.088	0.996	1.120	1.003	1.125	1.060	1.059	1.005	1.036
		12	1.194	1.117	1.062	1.047	1.175	1.077	1.095	1.104	1.224	1.115	1.151
	Traffic	6	0.172	0.207	0.168	0.183	0.191	0.166	0.386	0.182	0.178	0.173	0.186
		8	0.207	0.197	0.207	0.193	0.222	0.167	0.899	0.216	0.189	0.195	0.198
		10	0.191	0.189	0.202	0.205	0.197	0.188	0.393	0.203	0.193	0.201	0.201
		12	0.239	0.280	0.236	0.249	0.265	0.232	0.353	0.234	0.234	0.226	0.232

Table 41: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Crossformer (+ GPT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Crossformer	Agriculture	6	0.088	0.136	0.218	0.152	0.153	0.125	0.221	0.114	0.179	0.130	0.176
		8	0.196	0.219	0.223	0.206	0.891	0.176	0.347	0.248	0.103	0.207	0.224
		10	0.248	0.318	0.218	0.385	0.266	0.413	0.412	0.300	0.272	0.276	0.265
		12	0.311	0.366	0.253	0.411	0.602	0.410	0.484	0.242	0.315	0.364	0.323
	Climate	6	1.039	1.018	1.087	1.091	1.056	1.061	1.055	1.080	1.063	1.061	1.089
		8	1.080	1.070	1.031	1.097	1.113	1.083	1.084	1.111	1.092	1.092	1.120
		10	1.088	1.117	1.092	1.101	1.083	1.075	1.110	1.107	1.082	1.098	1.087
		12	1.090	1.118	1.086	1.134	1.134	1.080	1.087	1.091	1.091	1.088	1.117
	Economy	6	0.468	0.444	0.260	0.409	0.360	0.671	0.472	0.479	0.120	0.089	0.149
		8	0.123	0.612	0.299	0.641	6.351	0.452	0.911	0.554	0.096	0.403	0.488
		10	0.122	0.683	0.378	0.463	0.535	1.044	0.796	0.819	0.356	0.654	0.270
		12	0.323	1.084	0.727	0.773	0.641	0.966	0.615	0.339	0.535	0.851	0.379
	Energy	12	0.145	0.130	0.133	0.148	0.146	0.138	0.152	0.139	0.155	0.130	0.143
		24	0.252	0.250	0.258	0.235	0.320	0.226	0.270	0.256	0.247	0.252	0.247
		36	0.345	0.334	0.337	0.336	0.346	0.318	0.328	0.322	0.328	0.334	0.337
		48	0.445	0.455	0.446	0.441	0.413	0.440	0.382	0.425	0.454	0.450	0.435
	Environment	48	0.492	0.444	0.457	0.498	0.444	0.445	0.442	0.450	0.456	0.462	0.439
		96	0.589	0.461	0.494	0.520	0.472	0.473	0.519	0.473	0.486	0.493	0.475
		192	0.551	0.482	0.573	0.502	0.526	0.593	0.614	0.590	0.547	0.560	0.497
		336	0.527	0.553	0.555	0.509	0.493	0.588	0.542	0.526	0.547	0.565	0.503
	Public Health	12	1.089	1.113	1.053	1.125	1.223	1.048	1.095	1.100	1.150	1.116	1.050
		24	1.305	1.330	1.343	1.311	1.427	1.410	1.408	1.401	1.324	1.305	1.330
		36	1.321	1.280	1.397	1.411	1.381	1.365	1.382	1.369	1.367	1.370	1.321
		48	1.440	1.511	1.451	1.490	1.448	1.452	1.555	1.521	1.486	1.472	1.525
	Security	6	118.2	121.5	119.0	120.6	120.9	122.2	125.1	119.9	124.1	118.9	117.3
		8	121.3	124.4	125.2	124.2	130.9	120.7	126.1	123.7	123.8	123.5	120.5
		10	125.3	126.1	125.1	125.9	123.9	124.5	127.6	126.7	126.2	125.3	124.0
		12	123.3	128.1	126.9	127.6	129.9	129.5	129.3	128.1	127.5	127.6	125.9
	Social Good	6	0.728	0.743	0.749	0.739	0.732	0.728	0.747	0.747	0.730	0.765	0.750
		8	0.816	0.834	0.800	0.813	1.874	0.805	0.812	0.810	0.819	0.832	0.816
		10	0.872	0.914	0.915	0.887	0.859	0.881	0.872	0.863	0.865	0.883	0.880
		12	0.936	0.953	0.897	0.947	0.916	0.893	0.949	0.909	0.913	0.908	0.933
	Traffic	6	0.215	0.210	0.219	0.213	0.229	0.214	0.211	0.213	0.220	0.210	0.220
		8	0.208	0.215	0.209	0.210	0.353	0.221	0.203	0.216	0.213	0.236	0.232
		10	0.211	0.226	0.225	0.226	0.225	0.225	0.223	0.223	0.221	0.216	0.222
		12	0.254	0.250	0.244	0.245	0.301	0.242	0.250	0.250	0.242	0.250	0.249

Table 42: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Reformer (+ GPT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Reformer	Agriculture	6	0.202	0.231	0.147	0.152	0.255	0.174	0.317	0.150	0.231	0.220	0.163
		8	0.221	0.411	0.302	0.239	0.242	0.369	0.416	0.304	0.187	0.191	0.233
		10	0.319	0.525	0.452	0.416	0.523	0.314	0.714	0.328	0.251	0.457	0.246
		12	0.533	0.514	0.392	0.496	0.615	0.721	0.377	0.316	0.277	0.212	0.241
	Climate	6	1.025	1.102	1.028	1.091	1.064	1.114	1.080	1.038	1.076	1.011	1.082
		8	1.066	1.089	1.080	1.069	1.042	1.099	1.075	1.040	1.047	1.085	1.087
		10	1.058	1.107	1.128	1.068	1.092	1.086	0.892	1.150	1.078	1.081	1.078
		12	1.076	1.122	1.068	1.036	1.108	1.103	1.050	0.981	1.068	1.064	1.063
	Economy	6	0.199	0.390	0.511	0.386	0.607	0.111	0.764	0.412	0.511	0.113	0.482
		8	0.337	0.460	0.298	0.172	0.561	0.305	1.027	0.286	0.679	0.210	0.254
		10	0.176	0.463	0.689	0.331	0.922	1.135	1.762	0.671	0.549	0.925	0.558
		12	0.144	0.751	1.151	0.489	1.109	1.347	1.129	1.097	1.162	0.802	0.394
	Energy	12	0.207	0.217	0.264	0.247	0.262	0.278	0.243	0.174	0.168	0.252	0.218
		24	0.423	0.409	0.417	0.388	0.582	0.408	0.364	0.325	0.311	0.397	0.356
		36	0.510	0.506	0.478	0.406	0.457	0.471	0.440	0.379	0.423	0.416	0.463
		48	0.575	0.579	0.565	0.539	0.877	0.528	0.493	0.498	0.427	0.542	0.539
	Environment	48	0.415	0.434	0.416	0.424	0.418	0.422	0.421	0.416	0.415	0.420	0.397
		96	0.460	0.428	0.479	0.437	0.485	0.399	0.475	0.432	0.400	0.392	0.413
		192	0.430	0.456	0.433	0.443	0.415	0.430	0.442	0.423	0.403	0.413	0.422
		336	0.451	0.411	0.441	0.438	0.402	0.431	0.434	0.408	0.402	0.409	0.429
	Public Health	12	1.200	1.080	1.119	1.129	1.144	1.102	1.089	1.116	1.040	1.235	1.131
		24	1.258	1.297	1.312	1.371	1.375	1.533	1.374	1.301	1.330	1.351	1.243
		36	1.321	1.287	1.289	1.480	1.365	1.292	1.332	1.286	1.316	1.362	1.286
		48	1.363	1.482	1.463	1.346	1.439	1.529	1.516	1.442	1.399	1.401	1.406
	Security	6	118.3	120.8	123.9	124.2	118.1	120.4	122.5	123.9	124.9	124.4	123.8
		8	121.6	119.8	121.8	124.0	122.0	125.3	124.9	121.8	123.6	124.1	122.3
		10	126.2	125.0	124.6	123.7	129.0	124.3	126.8	124.6	124.9	125.6	125.4
		12	128.0	120.8	125.7	126.5	126.8	124.0	124.8	125.7	126.3	125.1	126.0
	Social Good	6	0.775	0.843	0.853	0.793	0.836	0.870	0.796	0.880	0.887	0.773	0.822
		8	0.864	0.866	0.914	0.860	0.921	0.898	0.850	0.910	0.857	0.889	0.868
		10	0.949	0.962	1.016	0.912	1.029	0.971	0.906	0.989	0.943	0.909	0.970
		12	1.006	1.138	0.976	0.953	1.002	0.988	0.980	0.974	0.958	0.961	0.985
	Traffic	6	0.208	0.260	0.226	0.304	0.232	0.289	0.245	0.226	0.287	0.251	0.240
		8	0.224	0.262	0.224	0.218	0.233	0.296	0.234	0.224	0.231	0.237	0.234
		10	0.261	0.265	0.275	0.246	0.246	0.292	0.224	0.258	0.219	0.248	0.263
		12	0.244	0.257	0.264	0.260	0.253	0.283	0.249	0.262	0.267	0.273	0.256

Table 43: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with TSMixer (+ GPT).

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
TSMixer	Agriculture	6	0.083	0.135	0.141	0.089	0.105	0.181	0.098	0.139	0.108	0.114	0.108
		8	0.129	0.235	0.298	0.236	0.420	0.276	0.143	0.202	0.230	0.182	0.122
		10	0.428	0.934	1.301	0.486	0.259	1.325	0.238	0.238	1.514	0.862	0.253
		12	0.660	1.593	1.380	0.978	1.625	0.588	0.594	0.590	1.871	1.654	0.280
	Climate	6	1.054	1.067	1.065	1.080	1.085	1.093	1.133	1.059	1.066	1.066	1.070
		8	1.088	1.094	1.098	1.080	1.100	1.086	1.099	1.107	1.096	1.080	1.095
		10	1.105	1.115	1.206	1.146	1.108	1.115	1.136	1.117	1.118	1.124	1.113
		12	1.106	1.193	1.136	1.176	1.156	1.105	1.117	1.149	1.154	1.136	1.106
	Economy	6	0.074	0.115	0.142	0.127	0.111	0.099	0.081	0.206	0.140	0.080	0.050
		8	0.063	0.327	0.551	0.286	0.980	0.317	0.142	0.321	0.544	0.149	0.044
		10	0.109	1.853	2.252	0.929	0.260	2.339	0.172	0.203	1.349	1.855	0.155
		12	0.874	2.740	2.863	3.037	2.808	0.863	1.067	0.504	2.803	2.758	0.208
	Energy	12	0.142	0.134	0.146	0.154	0.158	0.144	0.153	0.129	0.154	0.142	0.115
		24	0.286	0.288	0.276	0.295	0.277	0.245	0.284	0.275	0.271	0.259	0.264
		36	0.360	0.347	0.371	0.369	0.380	0.408	0.383	0.349	0.409	0.340	0.360
		48	0.456	0.456	0.483	0.581	0.405	0.485	0.458	0.456	0.508	0.469	0.445
	Environment	48	0.542	0.440	0.451	0.459	0.445	0.449	0.482	0.526	0.443	0.437	0.502
		96	0.606	0.460	0.479	0.483	0.437	0.446	0.478	0.594	0.472	0.469	0.556
		192	0.660	0.596	0.505	0.499	0.608	0.527	0.488	0.597	0.485	0.464	0.619
		336	0.581	0.592	0.529	0.534	0.574	0.544	0.499	0.582	0.526	0.502	0.558
	Public Health	12	1.150	0.996	1.106	1.142	1.223	1.105	1.145	1.115	1.218	1.087	1.086
		24	1.393	1.558	1.402	1.410	1.350	1.383	1.490	1.326	1.499	1.445	1.382
		36	1.500	1.732	1.654	1.478	1.500	1.577	1.477	1.420	1.614	1.539	1.466
		48	1.687	1.626	1.736	1.616	1.618	1.691	1.601	1.518	1.671	1.648	1.625
	Security	6	128.0	128.4	129.3	129.5	125.6	125.5	129.2	124.0	129.9	128.2	124.4
		8	127.4	124.1	128.6	127.2	126.8	117.2	132.8	129.4	127.0	125.6	126.4
		10	128.2	131.4	131.4	132.0	128.5	130.8	130.4	133.5	129.4	130.2	128.2
		12	130.7	133.0	133.1	134.1	132.8	127.4	128.9	133.1	131.7	132.5	131.3
	Social Good	6	0.769	0.843	0.872	0.854	0.781	0.764	0.730	0.772	0.826	0.811	0.739
		8	0.876	0.919	0.974	0.945	0.792	0.944	0.866	0.809	0.946	0.938	0.855
		10	0.876	0.961	1.136	0.924	0.883	0.957	0.940	0.910	1.199	1.096	0.861
		12	0.942	1.527	1.192	1.036	1.044	0.958	0.916	0.920	1.186	1.153	0.839
	Traffic	6	0.203	0.233	0.232	0.207	0.234	0.223	0.226	0.230	0.298	0.234	0.190
		8	0.216	0.258	0.233	0.251	0.268	0.248	0.249	0.279	0.280	0.227	0.185
		10	0.229	0.418	0.551	0.331	0.243	0.548	0.230	0.250	0.561	0.286	0.207
		12	0.303	0.799	0.632	0.398	0.713	0.289	0.288	0.324	0.776	0.578	0.266

Table 44: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Transformer (+ GPT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Transformer	Agriculture	6	0.133	0.133	0.165	0.223	0.110	0.130	0.275	0.174	0.130	0.152	0.146
		8	0.147	0.326	0.152	0.197	0.203	0.201	0.376	0.196	0.162	0.207	0.191
		10	0.177	0.505	0.226	0.266	0.151	0.176	0.703	0.234	0.177	0.185	0.158
		12	0.233	0.373	0.247	0.246	0.176	0.319	0.320	0.247	0.244	0.207	0.356
	Climate	6	0.985	1.086	0.988	1.043	0.948	1.031	1.025	0.988	1.031	1.077	0.982
		8	1.058	1.056	0.990	1.049	1.094	1.093	1.036	0.990	1.035	1.041	1.037
		10	1.068	1.093	1.052	1.042	1.087	1.005	1.060	1.052	1.008	1.073	1.085
		12	1.102	0.979	1.032	1.117	1.112	1.084	1.063	1.032	1.050	1.064	1.026
	Economy	6	0.267	0.394	0.067	0.636	0.073	0.119	0.718	0.145	0.075	0.077	0.101
		8	0.310	0.375	0.243	0.187	0.217	0.383	0.578	0.421	0.471	0.584	0.245
		10	0.258	0.678	0.273	0.494	0.095	0.102	1.304	0.278	0.429	0.312	0.112
		12	0.362	0.491	0.492	0.272	0.072	0.451	0.676	0.542	0.362	0.330	0.086
	Energy	12	0.122	0.123	0.104	0.130	0.105	0.143	0.101	0.101	0.090	0.076	0.134
		24	0.289	0.349	0.251	0.280	0.200	0.161	0.168	0.206	0.204	0.144	0.201
		36	0.261	0.350	0.312	0.353	0.375	0.233	0.319	0.306	0.264	0.283	0.342
		48	0.450	0.480	0.437	0.463	0.439	0.389	0.535	0.392	0.447	0.419	0.432
	Environment	48	0.429	0.441	0.416	0.432	0.420	0.399	0.432	0.412	0.406	0.414	0.419
		96	0.462	0.470	0.434	0.518	0.438	0.445	0.444	0.407	0.441	0.438	0.425
		192	0.450	0.503	0.473	0.481	0.462	0.477	0.511	0.463	0.397	0.410	0.432
		336	0.451	0.487	0.442	0.445	0.464	0.455	0.457	0.399	0.454	0.409	0.419
	Public Health	12	1.124	1.084	1.214	1.248	0.965	1.140	1.163	1.099	0.840	1.103	1.022
		24	1.370	1.289	1.219	1.400	1.139	1.233	1.477	1.267	1.297	1.405	1.267
		36	1.317	1.328	1.384	1.375	1.307	1.288	1.361	1.384	1.283	1.364	1.324
		48	1.389	1.359	1.318	1.530	1.427	1.364	1.351	1.365	1.444	1.311	1.473
	Security	6	124.0	124.7	126.2	123.5	122.9	126.9	124.6	126.2	127.6	126.2	128.2
		8	124.8	128.8	126.7	129.8	121.1	128.5	127.8	125.9	130.2	128.9	128.4
		10	129.7	124.5	130.5	130.7	126.4	128.8	129.3	128.6	131.4	130.6	131.4
		12	130.3	127.0	131.3	128.6	127.5	133.0	131.4	131.3	130.4	130.5	126.3
	Social Good	6	0.758	0.687	0.755	0.737	0.797	0.759	0.774	0.779	0.772	0.733	0.728
		8	0.767	0.777	0.867	0.803	0.861	0.846	0.779	0.876	0.864	0.839	0.792
		10	0.859	0.887	0.912	0.852	0.883	0.924	0.821	0.921	0.892	0.914	0.870
		12	0.829	0.892	0.928	0.862	0.942	0.957	0.855	0.932	0.916	0.929	0.934
	Traffic	6	0.167	0.178	0.157	0.184	0.160	0.168	0.154	0.157	0.155	0.165	0.157
		8	0.159	0.161	0.178	0.177	0.189	0.168	0.173	0.175	0.162	0.164	0.168
		10	0.181	0.234	0.192	0.175	0.194	0.211	0.191	0.192	0.183	0.181	0.213
		12	0.214	0.256	0.211	0.209	0.242	0.232	0.205	0.211	0.221	0.200	0.219

Table 45: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Informer (+ GPT)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Informer	Agriculture	6	0.212	0.459	0.168	0.306	0.283	0.139	0.353	0.252	0.411	0.329	0.264
		8	0.347	0.411	0.441	0.265	0.222	0.258	0.304	0.190	0.324	0.214	0.416
		10	0.464	0.226	0.400	0.485	0.611	0.269	0.466	0.273	0.324	0.285	0.320
		12	0.579	0.634	0.440	0.567	0.279	0.422	0.559	0.498	0.537	0.308	0.457
	Climate	6	1.070	1.140	1.132	1.097	1.016	1.096	1.032	1.056	1.044	1.037	1.040
		8	1.081	1.072	1.112	1.092	0.926	1.086	1.083	1.073	1.086	1.057	1.055
		10	1.060	1.083	1.105	1.082	0.930	1.064	1.074	1.080	1.093	1.107	1.110
		12	1.050	1.069	1.055	1.075	0.918	1.067	1.057	1.124	1.087	1.076	1.111
	Economy	6	0.497	0.894	0.335	0.435	0.480	0.215	0.449	0.329	0.479	0.335	0.879
		8	0.529	0.945	0.517	0.572	0.317	0.854	0.699	0.535	0.486	0.560	0.585
		10	0.761	0.071	0.624	0.922	1.146	1.064	0.642	0.413	0.724	0.662	1.112
		12	1.113	1.056	0.641	1.025	0.817	1.310	0.646	0.483	0.794	0.820	0.279
	Energy	12	0.137	0.197	0.173	0.189	0.205	0.222	0.146	0.187	0.149	0.157	0.156
		24	0.316	0.287	0.297	0.319	0.259	0.323	0.321	0.302	0.324	0.350	0.337
		36	0.411	0.367	0.445	0.393	0.374	0.368	0.386	0.364	0.423	0.392	0.370
		48	0.483	0.492	0.495	0.561	0.465	0.438	0.425	0.444	0.470	0.415	0.483
	Environment	48	0.424	0.449	0.426	0.443	0.424	0.445	0.454	0.428	0.425	0.443	0.420
		96	0.437	0.491	0.486	0.464	0.458	0.468	0.458	0.406	0.431	0.436	0.417
		192	0.460	0.469	0.434	0.486	0.444	0.501	0.430	0.427	0.449	0.431	0.412
		336	0.460	0.492	0.476	0.483	0.445	0.460	0.485	0.488	0.417	0.450	0.459
	Public Health	12	1.236	1.079	1.014	1.069	1.186	1.072	1.052	1.053	1.176	0.973	1.153
		24	1.373	1.269	1.387	1.367	1.354	1.223	1.213	1.403	1.250	1.233	1.412
		36	1.540	1.412	1.572	1.458	1.230	1.465	1.502	1.508	1.448	1.559	1.406
		48	1.643	1.606	1.664	1.626	1.333	1.493	1.590	1.523	1.660	1.670	1.675
	Security	6	124.8	127.3	126.1	127.4	124.8	122.7	127.0	126.3	127.4	128.4	129.3
		8	126.7	128.4	127.6	129.2	128.0	128.0	126.5	124.0	125.1	126.4	125.0
		10	127.8	130.2	128.3	127.4	130.6	130.0	129.0	129.1	130.3	131.5	130.2
		12	131.1	132.4	131.1	131.8	128.4	131.9	129.6	132.1	130.4	128.6	129.6
	Social Good	6	0.726	0.699	0.746	0.732	0.760	0.741	0.731	0.765	0.731	0.727	0.750
		8	0.783	0.791	0.782	0.786	0.869	0.813	0.797	0.818	0.801	0.827	0.787
		10	0.825	0.814	0.866	0.872	0.819	0.817	0.839	0.886	0.860	0.844	0.900
		12	0.841	0.863	0.831	0.840	0.966	0.903	0.856	0.954	0.920	0.943	0.859
	Traffic	6	0.164	0.175	0.177	0.154	0.165	0.160	0.149	0.173	0.172	0.152	0.164
		8	0.161	0.195	0.186	0.166	0.176	0.167	0.167	0.176	0.184	0.170	0.179
		10	0.198	0.185	0.202	0.190	0.215	0.183	0.187	0.176	0.175	0.176	0.186
		12	0.225	0.227	0.215	0.221	0.202	0.214	0.213	0.221	0.204	0.207	0.215

K.3 Text Model: Llama3

Table 46: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Nonstationary Transformer (+ LLAMA3)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Nonstationary Transformer	Agriculture	6	0.049	0.050	0.051	0.054	0.060	0.055	1.435	0.052	0.053	0.051	0.054
		8	0.071	0.072	0.072	0.070	0.074	0.071	0.501	0.070	0.072	0.072	0.069
		10	0.092	0.096	0.091	0.094	0.095	0.095	0.333	0.092	0.091	0.090	0.090
		12	0.116	0.118	0.120	0.118	0.120	0.119	0.359	0.116	0.116	0.118	0.115
	Climate	6	1.239	1.297	1.254	1.195	1.067	1.242	1.132	1.243	1.258	1.252	0.998
		8	1.244	1.314	1.292	1.239	1.139	1.210	1.194	1.141	1.201	1.209	1.297
		10	1.222	1.291	1.256	1.315	1.294	1.192	1.061	1.249	1.280	1.254	1.243
		12	1.273	1.299	1.202	1.240	1.242	1.179	1.054	1.245	1.229	1.207	1.214
	Economy	6	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.019	0.017	0.016	9.652	0.017	0.019	0.016	0.018
		8	0.018	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.021	0.018	6.299	0.018	0.019	0.017	0.020
		10	0.020	0.021	0.019	0.020	0.019	0.020	0.895	0.021	0.019	0.020	0.019
		12	0.021	0.021	0.020	0.024	0.020	0.022	0.882	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.021
	Energy	12	0.104	0.096	0.093	0.106	0.090	0.109	0.187	0.103	0.104	0.083	0.092
		24	0.190	0.244	0.197	0.209	0.174	0.199	0.663	0.197	0.209	0.192	0.206
		36	0.293	0.308	0.282	0.303	0.233	0.292	1.211	0.281	0.329	0.289	0.244
		48	0.425	0.451	0.439	0.411	0.392	0.435	0.761	0.397	0.343	0.312	0.417
	Environment	48	0.433	0.434	0.441	0.432	0.449	0.438	0.486	0.436	0.433	0.429	0.430
		96	0.440	0.466	0.467	0.452	0.449	0.433	0.470	0.443	0.456	0.449	0.450
		192	0.434	0.477	0.442	0.479	0.449	0.456	0.511	0.417	0.457	0.422	0.434
		336	0.431	0.502	0.431	0.450	0.438	0.449	0.463	0.444	0.438	0.438	0.435
	Public Health	12	0.781	0.873	0.782	1.050	0.927	0.904	1.104	0.887	0.817	0.748	0.807
		24	1.165	1.177	1.104	1.329	1.299	1.151	1.647	1.245	0.913	1.067	1.091
		36	1.214	1.389	1.207	1.215	1.400	1.300	1.750	1.371	1.244	1.192	1.244
		48	1.829	1.995	1.294	1.431	1.796	1.358	1.502	1.482	1.392	1.337	1.287
	Security	6	103.7	101.7	101.3	108.6	101.3	106.1	125.5	101.6	100.7	102.3	101.8
		8	105.2	105.5	111.3	107.4	105.7	101.2	125.4	105.1	105.0	103.8	104.4
		10	108.9	107.9	111.3	107.9	107.8	107.1	120.0	107.8	107.7	107.2	107.5
		12	109.1	109.5	110.7	109.5	109.6	107.4	119.9	109.8	109.5	109.5	109.1
	Social Good	6	0.828	0.786	0.758	0.731	0.757	0.770	4.342	0.769	0.753	0.769	0.785
		8	0.900	0.972	0.851	0.874	1.035	0.879	2.818	0.897	0.893	0.868	0.938
		10	1.031	0.971	0.990	0.958	1.099	1.013	1.109	0.985	0.977	1.012	0.959
		12	0.983	1.079	0.933	0.987	1.083	1.104	1.073	1.050	1.055	1.039	1.040
	Traffic	6	0.178	0.183	0.185	0.178	0.184	0.170	0.405	0.181	0.177	0.170	0.179
		8	0.185	0.190	0.182	0.188	0.194	0.192	0.319	0.187	0.176	0.183	0.185
		10	0.186	0.204	0.182	0.193	0.193	0.181	0.208	0.196	0.188	0.184	0.185
		12	0.234	0.246	0.256	0.261	0.247	0.253	0.265	0.253	0.258	0.246	0.246

Table 47: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with PatchTST (+ LLAMA3).

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
PatchTST	Agriculture	6	0.060	0.060	0.059	0.057	0.060	0.061	1.363	0.056	0.058	0.059	0.061
		8	0.077	0.078	0.080	0.075	0.078	0.078	0.719	0.080	0.080	0.079	0.078
		10	0.100	0.100	0.102	0.100	0.100	0.101	0.304	0.102	0.102	0.101	0.101
		12	0.130	0.129	0.131	0.129	0.129	0.130	0.392	0.131	0.131	0.130	0.129
	Climate	6	1.257	1.229	1.235	1.232	1.229	1.251	1.220	1.235	1.241	1.267	1.240
		8	1.248	1.252	1.221	1.251	1.252	1.266	1.317	1.221	1.257	1.242	1.244
		10	1.273	1.278	1.257	1.284	1.278	1.265	1.109	1.257	1.250	1.260	1.262
		12	1.241	1.251	1.250	1.263	1.251	1.257	1.100	1.250	1.263	1.240	1.249
	Economy	6	0.017	0.016	0.017	0.018	0.016	0.017	13.809	0.017	0.016	0.017	0.017
		8	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.019	0.017	0.018	6.810	0.017	0.017	0.016	0.017
		10	0.017	0.016	0.017	0.020	0.016	0.018	1.752	0.017	0.018	0.017	0.017
		12	0.018	0.017	0.018	0.021	0.017	0.018	1.664	0.018	0.018	0.017	0.017
	Energy	12	0.109	0.106	0.111	0.125	0.106	0.108	0.260	0.111	0.112	0.111	0.108
		24	0.211	0.208	0.217	0.242	0.208	0.219	0.730	0.222	0.220	0.219	0.212
		36	0.307	0.318	0.304	0.323	0.318	0.301	1.214	0.310	0.310	0.286	0.312
		48	0.416	0.415	0.393	0.446	0.415	0.416	0.687	0.394	0.404	0.419	0.423
	Environment	48	0.462	0.463	0.458	0.506	0.463	0.451	0.489	0.455	0.454	0.458	0.453
		96	0.508	0.501	0.461	0.472	0.501	0.455	0.514	0.455	0.456	0.466	0.452
		192	0.540	0.539	0.450	0.503	0.539	0.447	0.591	0.458	0.439	0.465	0.436
		336	0.511	0.508	0.441	0.524	0.508	0.453	0.482	0.446	0.441	0.442	0.426
	Public Health	12	0.926	0.947	0.937	0.883	0.947	0.966	0.909	0.949	1.007	0.945	0.890
		24	1.403	1.283	1.423	1.191	1.283	1.298	1.495	1.264	1.418	1.287	1.312
		36	1.602	1.614	1.620	1.391	1.614	1.628	2.030	1.621	1.631	1.619	1.662
		48	1.721	1.743	1.760	1.547	1.743	1.752	1.602	1.761	1.763	1.740	1.785
	Security	6	105.0	104.3	102.8	105.8	104.3	102.6	141.8	103.0	104.2	103.6	103.7
		8	107.8	110.1	105.7	104.4	110.1	106.1	141.6	105.7	106.4	107.6	107.1
		10	110.0	111.5	108.6	113.1	111.5	109.2	119.1	109.0	110.6	109.8	108.1
		12	111.1	111.0	110.7	111.2	111.0	110.3	118.9	111.3	111.5	111.0	113.1
	Social Good	6	0.790	0.794	0.795	0.774	0.794	0.792	4.279	0.795	0.808	0.787	0.813
		8	0.914	0.907	0.891	0.845	0.907	0.893	2.796	0.891	0.912	0.869	0.933
		10	1.022	1.041	1.012	0.966	1.041	1.023	1.083	1.012	0.966	1.027	1.043
		12	1.119	1.075	1.052	1.045	1.095	1.087	1.057	1.014	1.099	1.112	1.088
	Traffic	6	0.170	0.173	0.178	0.191	0.173	0.181	0.423	0.177	0.175	0.169	0.177
		8	0.183	0.180	0.183	0.189	0.180	0.190	0.294	0.184	0.183	0.189	0.186
		10	0.196	0.195	0.199	0.202	0.195	0.196	0.214	0.196	0.189	0.184	0.190
		12	0.242	0.243	0.230	0.249	0.243	0.253	0.242	0.242	0.237	0.229	0.245

Table 48: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **iTransformer (+ LLAMA3)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
iTransformer	Agriculture	6	0.060	0.059	0.060	0.058	0.064	0.059	1.286	0.060	0.060	0.060	0.060
		8	0.077	0.077	0.080	0.074	0.078	0.079	0.961	0.080	0.079	0.080	0.078
		10	0.096	0.100	0.102	0.098	0.101	0.100	0.187	0.101	0.102	0.101	0.099
	12	0.129	0.128	0.131	0.126	0.131	0.131	0.309	0.130	0.130	0.129	0.128	
	Climate	6	1.116	1.116	1.113	1.124	1.131	1.160	1.321	1.113	1.117	1.145	1.125
		8	1.179	1.173	1.197	1.234	1.078	1.181	1.334	1.197	1.179	1.192	1.196
		10	1.233	1.212	1.197	1.240	1.174	1.182	1.069	1.197	1.215	1.188	1.189
		12	1.181	1.180	1.183	1.200	1.192	1.198	1.055	1.182	1.185	1.184	1.188
	Economy	6	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.019	0.015	0.015	10.938	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015
		8	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.016	0.015	0.015	9.176	0.015	0.015	0.016	0.015
		10	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.015	0.016	1.473	0.016	0.015	0.016	0.016
		12	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.017	0.016	0.016	1.125	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016
	Energy	12	0.112	0.108	0.099	0.106	0.096	0.117	0.248	0.106	0.108	0.114	0.114
		24	0.232	0.215	0.207	0.237	0.177	0.208	0.837	0.210	0.225	0.220	0.218
		36	0.286	0.323	0.298	0.326	0.273	0.294	1.181	0.291	0.302	0.284	0.286
		48	0.406	0.389	0.376	0.418	0.384	0.414	0.671	0.376	0.379	0.407	0.398
	Environment	48	0.407	0.420	0.415	0.429	0.404	0.418	0.495	0.423	0.421	0.411	0.416
		96	0.417	0.420	0.431	0.461	0.409	0.430	0.547	0.449	0.427	0.422	0.436
		192	0.425	0.440	0.449	0.454	0.430	0.429	0.492	0.443	0.437	0.442	0.445
		336	0.421	0.422	0.432	0.443	0.421	0.427	0.423	0.426	0.427	0.414	0.433
	Public Health	12	0.998	1.005	0.892	0.927	0.931	0.988	0.997	0.949	0.956	1.014	0.991
		24	1.447	1.458	1.483	1.167	1.468	1.447	1.451	1.479	1.516	1.448	1.448
		36	1.629	1.682	1.630	1.333	1.677	1.643	1.751	1.628	1.641	1.624	1.659
		48	1.749	1.759	1.754	1.481	1.789	1.747	1.689	1.754	1.751	1.743	1.777
	Security	6	104.9	103.2	102.3	113.6	103.6	103.1	141.9	102.1	104.6	102.7	104.4
		8	109.0	107.6	105.3	113.8	105.9	106.0	138.4	105.1	106.8	107.7	106.1
		10	111.8	110.1	107.8	116.9	110.2	108.7	121.6	106.7	110.4	108.7	111.4
		12	114.5	110.1	110.0	114.7	110.9	110.4	118.3	109.9	110.5	110.8	111.6
	Social Good	6	0.836	0.830	0.820	0.784	0.845	0.838	4.297	0.820	0.822	0.822	0.827
		8	0.958	0.967	0.949	0.929	0.932	0.940	2.814	0.949	0.930	0.905	0.964
		10	1.057	1.060	1.043	1.053	1.072	1.047	1.105	1.043	1.073	0.993	1.066
		12	1.138	1.165	1.130	1.164	1.098	1.127	1.136	1.109	1.149	1.157	1.099
	Traffic	6	0.177	0.176	0.181	0.186	0.173	0.186	0.444	0.181	0.173	0.179	0.174
		8	0.184	0.194	0.196	0.197	0.201	0.188	0.376	0.191	0.179	0.179	0.183
		10	0.196	0.198	0.187	0.199	0.203	0.197	0.212	0.187	0.180	0.178	0.197
		12	0.245	0.252	0.246	0.266	0.251	0.233	0.243	0.248	0.240	0.229	0.247

Table 49: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Koopa (+ LLAMA3)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
Koopa	Agriculture	6	0.058	0.068	0.065	0.065	1.282	1.889	1.889	0.058	0.069	0.059	0.057
		8	0.077	0.100	0.092	0.092	0.141	0.095	0.095	0.078	0.121	0.078	0.076
		10	0.099	0.093	0.091	0.091	1.348	0.508	0.508	0.100	0.135	0.097	0.099
		12	0.128	0.137	0.133	0.133	0.981	1.731	1.731	0.129	0.134	0.137	0.128
	Climate	6	1.250	14.014	1.277	1.277	14.658	1.264	1.264	1.292	1.104	1.250	1.248
		8	1.290	1.492	1.281	1.281	1.207	1.112	1.112	1.292	1.074	1.254	1.263
		10	1.247	1.424	1.277	1.277	1.215	1.087	1.087	1.259	1.112	1.257	1.246
		12	1.236	1.250	1.275	1.275	1.178	1.370	1.370	1.256	1.081	1.262	1.232
	Economy	6	0.017	0.028	0.029	0.029	14.050	12.126	12.126	0.029	0.038	0.035	0.017
		8	0.018	0.020	0.021	0.021	0.771	0.302	0.302	0.019	0.095	0.019	0.017
		10	0.018	0.029	0.034	0.034	2.712	2.162	2.162	0.028	0.102	0.021	0.018
		12	0.018	0.031	0.025	0.025	15.161	8.553	8.553	0.025	0.053	0.032	0.017
	Energy	12	0.107	10830.7	0.124	0.124	448.7	0.147	0.147	0.118	0.106	0.116	0.107
		24	0.214	1093.2	0.238	0.238	2.765	0.386	0.386	0.234	0.228	0.221	0.204
		36	0.298	0.281	0.329	0.329	0.611	0.611	0.611	0.315	0.323	0.310	0.296
		48	0.406	0.497	0.413	0.413	2.152	0.904	0.904	0.424	0.402	0.434	0.405
	Environment	48	0.484	1961952000.0	0.478	0.478	405995840.0	0.453	0.453	0.488	0.440	0.441	0.484
		96	0.528	2833084928.0	0.462	0.462	960262208.0	0.466	0.466	0.543	0.454	0.469	0.533
		192	0.567	6696846336.0	0.542	0.542	45984964.0	0.499	0.499	0.572	0.506	0.539	0.564
		336	0.531	13381508096.0	0.533	0.533	138449984.0	0.495	0.495	0.534	0.507	0.529	0.527
	Public Health	12	1.045	5541.8	0.961	0.961	234.6	1.026	1.026	1.239	1.011	0.964	1.027
		24	1.396	1.158	1.224	1.224	1.838	1.307	1.307	1.517	1.779	1.177	1.400
		36	1.629	1.319	1.347	1.347	2.208	1.528	1.528	1.798	1.457	1.306	1.618
		48	1.732	2.800	1.446	1.446	1.726	1.803	1.803	1.869	1.760	1.414	1.714
	Security	6	104.7	105.4	102.3	102.3	135.7	144.0	144.0	104.3	111.3	103.7	104.7
		8	108.6	108.7	111.3	111.3	108.8	107.4	107.4	113.9	114.7	110.5	108.5
		10	109.1	107.3	107.9	107.9	117.8	118.1	118.1	110.8	117.9	109.1	108.6
		12	111.4	110.3	109.8	109.8	157.0	144.3	144.3	114.5	117.3	108.3	111.7
	Social Good	6	0.848	0.923	0.880	0.880	4.157	4.121	4.121	0.852	0.861	0.842	0.848
		8	0.925	1.207	0.947	0.947	0.968	0.931	0.931	0.946	0.868	0.895	0.924
		10	0.987	1.111	1.092	1.092	1.303	1.158	1.158	0.985	0.856	0.940	0.992
		12	1.085	1.203	1.068	1.068	3.165	3.205	3.205	1.075	0.935	1.127	1.054
	Traffic	6	0.224	0.231	0.218	0.218	0.355	0.449	0.449	0.236	0.201	0.221	0.211
		8	0.211	0.214	0.209	0.209	0.203	0.204	0.204	0.250	0.216	0.207	0.202
		10	0.216	0.406	0.223	0.223	0.231	0.242	0.242	0.229	0.219	0.215	0.206
		12	0.279	0.332	0.273	0.273	0.406	0.330	0.330	0.284	0.268	0.268	0.268

Table 50: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **DLinear (+ LLAMA3)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
DLinear	Agriculture	6	0.112	0.132	0.133	0.133	0.850	1.352	1.188	0.136	0.244	0.136	0.067
		8	0.323	0.187	0.187	0.187	1.371	0.884	1.336	0.210	0.332	0.228	0.081
		10	0.163	0.208	0.208	0.208	0.458	0.328	0.240	0.173	0.191	0.198	0.133
		12	0.216	0.246	0.250	0.246	0.407	0.289	0.348	0.162	0.540	0.192	0.173
	Climate	6	1.308	1.307	1.274	1.231	1.359	1.295	1.344	1.332	1.160	1.215	1.075
		8	1.222	1.345	1.305	1.265	1.310	1.277	1.248	1.268	1.090	1.323	1.108
		10	1.157	1.356	1.348	1.271	1.196	1.132	1.126	1.311	1.083	1.290	1.112
		12	1.202	1.342	1.316	1.303	1.172	1.124	1.133	1.206	1.152	1.258	1.096
	Economy	6	0.071	0.086	0.086	0.090	13.427	9.363	11.553	0.107	0.501	0.098	0.027
		8	0.233	0.120	0.142	0.141	9.724	8.240	9.121	0.096	0.412	0.150	0.032
		10	0.085	0.119	0.129	0.130	1.840	1.176	0.810	0.133	0.295	0.136	0.047
		12	0.109	0.129	0.129	0.129	1.582	0.894	0.694	0.067	0.605	0.091	0.066
	Energy	12	0.114	0.203	0.201	0.164	0.238	0.228	0.188	0.117	0.240	0.180	0.107
		24	0.218	0.312	0.305	0.280	0.809	0.789	0.678	0.246	0.315	0.258	0.199
		36	0.301	0.344	0.369	0.349	1.260	0.936	0.774	0.314	0.344	0.365	0.282
		48	0.410	0.427	0.420	0.405	0.721	0.676	0.655	0.444	0.439	0.430	0.386
	Environment	48	0.489	0.449	0.439	0.439	0.503	0.476	0.482	0.512	0.459	0.446	0.494
		96	0.573	0.466	0.482	0.479	0.539	0.499	0.479	0.568	0.465	0.463	0.572
		192	0.591	0.507	0.502	0.508	0.542	0.554	0.616	0.586	0.576	0.515	0.582
		336	0.537	0.515	0.498	0.495	0.512	0.502	0.494	0.537	0.506	0.497	0.537
	Public Health	12	1.337	1.468	1.319	1.239	1.341	1.320	1.290	1.645	1.857	1.225	1.198
		24	1.577	1.553	1.375	1.345	1.624	1.557	1.568	1.650	1.997	1.469	1.535
		36	1.622	1.763	1.627	1.477	2.087	1.953	1.887	1.828	1.775	1.641	1.558
		48	1.694	1.771	1.687	1.601	1.830	1.832	1.820	1.766	1.879	1.618	1.664
	Security	6	104.3	106.1	105.4	105.0	184.5	169.1	171.9	106.1	109.1	104.6	104.8
		8	109.2	109.9	107.6	107.7	150.5	148.0	147.8	110.4	117.9	108.5	108.9
		10	110.3	112.9	111.2	110.5	112.6	112.1	112.5	110.9	118.0	110.4	109.8
		12	112.0	114.5	113.3	112.6	113.4	112.9	113.4	112.7	119.9	112.6	111.6
	Social Good	6	0.999	0.847	0.920	0.815	4.191	2.636	2.642	0.866	0.872	0.887	0.780
		8	0.909	0.989	1.097	1.028	2.734	2.493	2.129	0.906	0.957	1.058	0.863
		10	0.960	1.216	1.124	1.180	1.206	1.070	1.044	1.078	1.030	1.117	0.968
		12	1.080	1.197	1.255	1.264	1.177	1.081	1.039	1.142	1.112	1.108	1.000
	Traffic	6	0.253	0.275	0.285	0.282	0.505	0.346	0.516	0.308	0.284	0.277	0.222
		8	0.322	0.335	0.344	0.340	0.467	0.419	0.454	0.268	0.312	0.339	0.221
		10	0.244	0.328	0.352	0.333	0.345	0.287	0.270	0.261	0.315	0.359	0.219
		12	0.289	0.350	0.371	0.360	0.315	0.309	0.301	0.293	0.299	0.317	0.261

Table 51: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **TiDE (+ LLAMA3)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
TiDE	Agriculture	6	0.074	0.074	0.080	0.075	1.699	0.069	1.747	0.061	0.065	0.062	0.061
		8	0.094	0.099	0.090	0.091	0.901	0.100	1.004	0.083	0.081	0.081	0.081
		10	0.113	18.711	0.113	0.132	50.930	0.117	0.349	0.103	0.103	0.103	0.104
	12	0.156	23.792	0.142	0.147	61.286	0.148	0.432	0.134	0.135	0.133	0.134	
	Climate	6	1.592	1.589	1.602	1.649	1.697	1.454	1.475	1.257	1.244	1.235	1.249
		8	1.468	1.536	1.382	1.560	1.133	1.580	1.311	1.258	1.278	1.253	1.259
		10	1.398	40.949	1.403	1.532	8.241	1.565	1.179	1.275	1.270	1.256	1.267
		12	1.500	11.305	1.407	1.498	3.436	1.472	1.186	1.254	1.274	1.263	1.270
	Economy	6	0.037	0.044	0.037	0.037	14.080	0.045	13.600	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017
		8	0.039	0.046	0.036	0.040	8.610	0.031	9.778	0.018	0.017	0.018	0.020
		10	0.030	3.359	0.032	0.040	12.426	0.040	1.512	0.018	0.017	0.017	0.018
		12	0.033	4.545	0.031	0.037	1.918	0.038	2.290	0.018	0.018	0.017	0.017
	Energy	12	0.136	10055.3	0.140	0.176	3650.8	0.135	0.291	0.106	0.108	0.109	0.106
		24	0.241	0.409	0.236	0.306	0.747	0.238	0.747	0.218	0.201	0.216	0.219
		36	0.328	26053.8	0.332	0.398	14910.8	0.327	1.292	0.295	0.296	0.296	0.294
		48	0.443	0.483	0.439	0.452	0.684	0.441	0.688	0.399	0.406	0.404	0.405
	Environment	48	0.485	0.733	0.485	0.490	0.486	0.486	0.477	0.487	0.485	0.485	0.484
		96	0.543	0.698	0.540	0.489	0.563	0.547	0.493	0.540	0.542	0.543	0.539
		192	0.578	14630.4	0.576	0.537	26545.1	0.577	0.621	0.575	0.577	0.576	0.577
		336	0.533	40955.4	0.534	0.517	17652.0	0.534	0.489	0.533	0.535	0.534	0.533
	Public Health	12	1.297	1640.6	1.311	1.427	1600.4	1.286	1.290	1.087	1.107	1.085	1.124
		24	1.512	2.232	1.532	1.742	1.500	1.538	1.635	1.424	1.427	1.456	1.466
		36	1.688	26231.0	1.673	1.911	9720.8	1.677	2.093	1.619	1.619	1.599	1.625
		48	1.800	2.321	1.763	1.982	1.981	1.804	1.893	1.732	1.741	1.700	1.719
	Security	6	146.0	125.6	135.0	125.4	191.8	122.1	185.7	104.8	106.9	107.0	108.0
		8	129.6	138.3	132.5	138.6	150.1	128.9	155.2	107.8	109.4	112.2	108.5
		10	132.2	151.2	134.8	135.0	104.8	135.2	117.6	113.0	110.2	113.4	111.6
		12	144.9	153.1	139.3	139.0	106.1	142.2	120.3	112.9	112.5	113.1	112.2
	Social Good	6	0.926	0.979	1.002	1.010	4.407	0.940	4.410	0.836	0.835	0.814	0.823
		8	1.098	1.199	1.032	1.217	2.748	1.076	2.770	0.965	0.952	0.953	0.956
		10	1.129	389.2	1.183	1.257	215.8	1.290	1.067	1.112	1.063	1.085	1.072
		12	1.224	536.6	1.218	1.256	155.4	1.265	1.161	1.150	1.143	1.156	1.143
	Traffic	6	0.289	0.298	0.295	0.275	0.559	0.301	0.461	0.237	0.236	0.236	0.233
		8	0.303	0.340	0.277	0.310	0.451	0.272	0.371	0.231	0.230	0.233	0.223
		10	0.251	42.214	0.249	0.321	14.641	0.296	0.273	0.224	0.231	0.225	0.228
		12	0.319	58.262	0.305	0.333	49.699	0.329	0.293	0.288	0.290	0.284	0.289

Table 52: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with FiLM (+ LLAMA3).

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
FiLM	Agriculture	6	0.060	0.084	0.068	0.068	1.740	0.063	1.854	0.060	0.061	0.062	0.062
		8	0.082	0.108	0.091	0.087	3.002	0.089	1.176	0.080	0.082	0.080	0.079
		10	0.106	22.421	0.104	0.115	1.026	0.109	0.321	0.112	0.104	0.103	0.105
		12	0.138	20.331	0.141	0.131	0.307	0.144	0.418	0.135	0.136	0.136	0.141
	Climate	6	1.354	1.262	1.306	1.313	1.313	1.269	1.286	1.241	1.288	1.248	1.231
		8	1.283	1.456	1.286	1.292	1.039	1.345	1.226	1.283	1.296	1.265	1.266
		10	1.284	14.615	1.294	1.296	1.168	1.307	1.092	1.276	1.293	1.270	1.273
		12	1.276	11.715	1.284	1.329	7.656	1.287	1.106	1.298	1.293	1.277	1.268
	Economy	6	0.017	0.049	0.019	0.037	21.726	0.019	17.804	0.019	0.016	0.019	0.017
		8	0.018	0.033	0.021	0.020	11.414	0.020	10.334	0.017	0.018	0.017	0.017
		10	0.019	0.024	0.020	0.019	1.269	0.019	2.252	0.019	0.018	0.018	0.018
		12	0.018	3.131	0.019	0.029	0.717	0.020	2.128	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.017
	Energy	12	0.122	8155.3	0.131	0.169	0.326	0.132	0.217	0.109	0.111	0.128	0.110
		24	0.230	1.468	0.237	0.304	0.822	0.257	0.756	0.217	0.222	0.228	0.218
		36	0.312	0.399	0.357	0.446	1.315	0.387	1.195	0.315	0.318	0.328	0.311
		48	0.428	0.495	0.460	0.523	0.743	0.510	0.690	0.419	0.432	0.477	0.427
	Environment	48	0.491	949097920.0	0.486	0.475	0.518	0.470	0.530	0.487	0.467	0.485	0.488
		96	0.547	556371.0	0.483	0.479	0.604	0.491	0.566	0.546	0.509	0.509	0.544
		192	0.574	2790298624.0	0.518	0.521	1.243	0.525	0.642	0.574	0.550	0.522	0.566
		336	0.529	1012101632.0	0.540	0.524	81147368.0	0.491	0.488	0.527	0.503	0.527	0.527
	Public Health	12	1.157	2.965	1.402	1.296	2.071	1.401	1.080	1.127	1.138	1.311	1.107
		24	1.606	1.525	1.737	1.354	2.231	1.585	1.599	1.453	1.488	1.573	1.453
		36	1.618	1.774	1.810	1.908	2.315	1.698	1.838	1.894	1.704	1.671	1.644
		48	1.706	2.329	1.748	2.137	1.771	1.892	1.659	1.736	1.784	1.712	1.735
	Security	6	127.4	110.9	102.2	101.1	207.3	103.0	189.1	102.8	103.1	104.6	107.0
		8	113.8	117.5	105.7	116.5	136.6	105.6	161.8	115.9	104.4	106.5	105.1
		10	116.9	136.1	107.9	133.4	101.7	108.0	111.7	109.4	111.1	108.9	115.0
		12	119.1	137.6	110.1	108.2	103.3	109.9	112.9	113.2	112.3	109.7	113.8
	Social Good	6	0.867	136.5	0.866	0.860	4.180	0.873	4.340	0.828	0.828	0.849	0.814
		8	1.003	1.684	0.937	1.044	2.679	0.951	2.863	0.991	0.915	0.956	0.960
		10	1.139	599.9	1.042	1.222	266.7	1.041	1.122	1.024	1.059	1.019	1.116
		12	1.230	723.8	1.115	1.148	201.7	1.120	1.118	1.223	1.189	1.066	1.166
	Traffic	6	0.228	0.269	0.227	0.239	0.961	0.233	0.514	0.243	0.238	0.244	0.241
		8	0.223	0.211	0.212	0.215	2.486	0.228	0.397	0.228	0.222	0.219	0.235
		10	0.216	0.221	0.216	0.209	0.820	0.218	0.256	0.235	0.221	0.214	0.216
		12	0.253	3.208	0.249	0.263	1.060	0.262	0.246	0.283	0.260	0.273	0.249

Table 53: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **FEDformer** (+ **LLAMA3**).

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
FEDformer	Agriculture	6	0.056	0.060	0.064	0.061	0.063	0.061	0.932	0.065	0.067	0.056	0.057
		8	0.073	0.078	0.073	0.094	0.087	0.073	0.969	0.072	0.069	0.082	0.070
		10	0.087	0.107	0.100	0.092	0.104	0.102	0.327	0.107	0.096	0.091	0.088
		12	0.111	0.131	0.127	0.143	0.133	0.121	0.460	0.127	0.122	0.134	0.113
	Climate	6	1.102	1.141	1.122	1.057	1.055	1.069	1.279	1.052	1.096	1.083	1.108
		8	1.091	1.047	1.091	1.123	1.042	1.023	1.105	1.092	1.069	1.063	1.034
		10	1.085	1.087	1.163	1.072	1.049	1.086	0.915	1.156	1.109	1.085	1.073
		12	1.106	1.104	1.115	1.101	1.096	1.151	0.950	1.114	1.089	1.089	1.088
	Economy	6	0.039	0.049	0.050	0.036	0.045	0.045	9.237	0.050	0.051	0.033	0.049
		8	0.047	0.040	0.042	0.056	0.027	0.051	7.025	0.042	0.036	0.047	0.050
		10	0.039	0.045	0.043	0.041	0.060	0.032	1.445	0.043	0.042	0.036	0.045
		12	0.055	0.059	0.039	0.062	0.046	0.033	1.301	0.039	0.039	0.032	0.048
	Energy	12	0.102	0.133	0.111	0.141	0.118	0.118	0.260	0.111	0.114	0.098	0.094
		24	0.182	0.199	0.237	0.303	0.248	0.187	0.767	0.227	0.244	0.190	0.181
		36	0.258	0.351	0.379	0.403	0.371	0.305	1.302	0.305	0.348	0.335	0.254
		48	0.366	0.440	0.367	0.446	0.468	0.458	0.705	0.502	0.466	0.466	0.409
	Environment	48	0.463	0.493	0.496	0.501	0.514	0.489	0.491	0.491	0.514	0.501	0.481
		96	0.489	0.476	0.474	0.498	0.479	0.478	0.459	0.507	0.497	0.504	0.480
		192	0.493	0.535	0.493	0.481	0.527	0.502	0.478	0.485	0.499	0.495	0.484
		336	0.461	0.504	0.475	0.483	0.490	0.472	0.455	0.480	0.482	0.489	0.481
	Public Health	12	1.142	1.037	1.059	1.101	1.175	1.062	1.055	1.109	1.040	1.028	1.092
		24	1.379	1.436	1.422	1.413	1.545	1.474	1.401	1.440	1.486	1.431	1.405
		36	1.438	1.660	1.558	1.530	1.710	1.474	1.639	1.464	1.491	1.551	1.452
		48	1.572	1.690	1.699	1.621	1.770	1.698	1.496	1.716	1.447	1.672	1.542
	Security	6	106.0	110.1	106.5	113.3	106.1	104.8	166.4	106.5	114.8	101.2	107.2
		8	111.6	108.8	107.9	108.4	110.4	109.0	141.3	108.0	111.1	109.5	109.8
		10	111.5	112.8	111.7	107.6	112.8	117.3	116.3	111.7	112.4	107.8	115.3
		12	117.8	115.8	115.4	113.6	114.3	116.0	118.1	115.4	112.6	106.2	114.4
	Social Good	6	0.883	0.805	0.837	0.837	0.873	0.861	4.382	0.798	0.843	0.863	0.879
		8	0.905	0.875	0.874	0.916	0.911	0.854	2.811	0.890	0.863	0.888	0.869
		10	0.954	0.967	0.903	0.895	1.142	0.919	1.140	0.905	0.944	0.903	0.936
		12	1.073	0.991	1.025	1.061	1.161	0.973	1.147	1.028	1.026	0.963	1.067
	Traffic	6	0.152	0.158	0.153	0.151	0.157	0.151	0.394	0.156	0.154	0.159	0.149
		8	0.156	0.163	0.158	0.162	0.150	0.157	0.318	0.158	0.157	0.155	0.158
		10	0.162	0.176	0.168	0.164	0.148	0.165	0.198	0.168	0.166	0.158	0.165
		12	0.228	0.235	0.234	0.239	0.208	0.224	0.212	0.230	0.224	0.220	0.224

Table 54: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Autoformer (+ LLAMA3)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
Autoformer	Agriculture	6	0.071	0.076	0.083	0.094	0.072	0.073	0.877	0.076	0.079	0.071	0.063
		8	0.088	0.086	0.089	0.091	0.083	0.090	1.316	0.095	0.100	0.083	0.084
		10	0.102	0.113	0.123	0.121	0.110	0.099	0.281	0.124	0.121	0.109	0.101
		12	0.132	0.137	0.163	0.151	0.136	0.144	0.201	0.163	0.148	0.145	0.134
	Climate	6	1.249	1.282	1.251	1.295	1.190	1.229	1.365	1.250	1.247	1.199	1.204
		8	1.186	1.239	1.303	1.217	1.252	1.171	1.263	1.233	1.199	1.179	1.185
		10	1.193	1.307	1.219	1.280	1.280	1.232	0.998	1.235	1.260	1.237	1.193
		12	1.161	1.222	1.207	1.246	1.205	1.242	1.007	1.174	1.246	1.231	1.135
	Economy	6	0.061	0.072	0.050	0.059	0.065	0.050	10.519	0.050	0.060	0.054	0.044
		8	0.063	0.082	0.064	0.054	0.066	0.073	8.158	0.064	0.075	0.057	0.064
		10	0.071	0.067	0.052	0.064	0.091	0.052	1.633	0.048	0.065	0.052	0.039
		12	0.076	0.065	0.053	0.057	0.069	0.053	1.204	0.049	0.055	0.051	0.053
	Energy	12	0.106	0.124	0.139	0.148	0.183	0.136	0.312	0.155	0.138	0.147	0.144
		24	0.278	0.322	0.298	0.318	0.330	0.274	0.827	0.313	0.313	0.290	0.290
		36	0.378	0.391	0.391	0.398	0.386	0.373	1.231	0.402	0.383	0.387	0.377
		48	0.481	0.484	0.485	0.471	0.479	0.487	0.711	0.494	0.476	0.492	0.468
	Environment	48	0.540	0.523	0.492	0.507	0.516	0.499	0.498	0.510	0.500	0.507	0.463
		96	0.544	0.545	0.496	0.497	0.515	0.513	0.517	0.509	0.523	0.505	0.473
		192	0.595	0.565	0.535	0.524	0.571	0.516	0.604	0.534	0.537	0.504	0.501
		336	0.512	0.548	0.534	0.526	0.539	0.542	0.500	0.539	0.528	0.541	0.515
	Public Health	12	1.583	1.416	1.444	1.581	1.768	1.704	1.445	1.468	1.448	1.615	1.387
		24	1.919	2.096	2.022	1.896	1.841	1.832	1.451	1.862	1.810	1.800	1.790
		36	1.920	2.003	1.839	1.974	2.121	1.925	2.019	1.940	1.804	2.048	1.942
		48	1.885	2.070	1.925	1.968	1.997	1.892	1.621	2.053	1.855	2.006	1.831
	Security	6	106.7	107.0	108.4	105.8	104.9	109.7	147.0	108.4	108.6	111.0	110.2
		8	111.0	108.1	110.5	112.0	110.6	112.3	139.0	110.5	112.9	105.0	110.1
		10	116.2	116.5	116.3	113.4	111.5	110.8	117.0	116.3	115.4	113.0	112.1
		12	117.2	117.1	115.4	114.7	115.4	118.0	118.0	113.6	115.2	116.3	112.8
	Social Good	6	0.861	0.905	0.818	0.839	0.829	0.883	4.404	0.846	0.868	0.815	0.873
		8	1.001	1.008	0.982	0.991	1.064	1.006	2.742	0.994	0.973	0.955	0.970
		10	1.018	1.114	1.121	1.081	1.046	1.035	1.132	1.123	1.073	1.032	1.007
		12	1.059	1.258	1.142	1.127	1.160	1.173	1.148	1.128	1.214	1.026	1.067
	Traffic	6	0.179	0.191	0.179	0.177	0.191	0.184	0.370	0.185	0.161	0.176	0.190
		8	0.186	0.183	0.193	0.178	0.236	0.171	0.298	0.195	0.202	0.184	0.204
		10	0.199	0.205	0.191	0.206	0.217	0.188	0.204	0.191	0.186	0.195	0.188
		12	0.261	0.253	0.216	0.253	0.251	0.220	0.251	0.230	0.243	0.220	0.264

Table 55: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Crossformer (+ LLAMA3)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Crossformer	Agriculture	6	0.175	0.154	0.183	0.115	0.141	0.245	0.158	0.180	0.187	0.118	0.153
		8	0.214	0.195	0.212	0.222	0.659	0.305	0.172	0.245	0.245	0.142	0.263
		10	0.322	0.260	0.248	0.392	0.212	0.334	0.316	0.253	0.304	0.273	0.350
		12	0.332	0.414	0.323	0.420	0.486	0.455	0.527	0.414	0.269	0.390	0.323
	Climate	6	1.041	1.091	1.138	1.042	1.067	1.049	1.068	1.047	1.064	1.020	1.045
		8	1.066	1.081	1.095	1.081	1.052	1.086	1.086	1.080	1.091	1.068	1.058
		10	1.095	1.090	1.096	1.094	1.100	1.084	1.100	1.103	1.095	1.091	1.095
		12	1.099	1.109	1.095	1.081	1.146	1.075	1.100	1.088	1.106	1.063	1.109
	Economy	6	0.367	0.386	0.293	0.290	0.211	0.298	0.550	0.407	0.452	0.208	0.234
		8	0.402	0.690	0.464	0.554	5.684	0.662	0.987	0.518	0.163	0.151	0.199
		10	0.468	0.807	0.447	0.751	0.389	0.660	0.755	0.358	0.227	0.675	0.492
		12	0.344	0.843	0.984	0.841	0.498	0.971	0.802	0.857	0.720	0.521	0.189
	Energy	12	0.139	0.139	0.173	0.137	0.160	0.145	0.131	0.137	0.150	0.153	0.144
		24	0.225	0.226	0.254	0.276	0.272	0.277	0.264	0.255	0.264	0.258	0.250
		36	0.333	0.375	0.361	0.325	0.355	0.348	0.351	0.308	0.332	0.340	0.326
		48	0.435	0.444	0.398	0.410	0.427	0.417	0.389	0.428	0.411	0.438	0.432
	Environment	48	0.489	0.453	0.466	0.473	0.436	0.442	0.483	0.457	0.443	0.468	0.448
		96	0.536	0.446	0.477	0.483	0.449	0.457	0.485	0.455	0.462	0.467	0.463
		192	0.550	0.509	0.552	0.567	0.561	0.545	0.537	0.515	0.491	0.554	0.489
		336	0.538	0.491	0.521	0.510	0.507	0.548	0.551	0.526	0.515	0.581	0.502
	Public Health	12	1.070	1.136	1.071	1.074	1.465	1.132	1.086	1.066	1.050	1.097	0.975
		24	1.336	1.426	1.306	1.352	1.352	1.394	1.379	1.350	1.400	1.314	1.420
		36	1.390	1.411	1.356	1.345	1.409	1.455	1.332	1.379	1.329	1.364	1.357
		48	1.509	1.462	1.451	1.433	1.505	1.458	1.569	1.438	1.452	1.421	1.397
	Security	6	121.3	123.3	123.3	122.3	121.3	119.0	124.0	123.3	121.7	121.3	121.0
		8	120.7	126.5	124.5	125.9	132.4	127.0	126.8	123.6	123.3	125.2	122.1
		10	123.8	128.0	126.1	125.9	122.2	128.8	127.6	125.6	124.0	126.3	124.6
		12	127.3	127.8	128.6	127.3	129.4	128.5	129.3	128.5	126.3	127.6	127.2
	Social Good	6	0.754	0.730	0.741	0.752	0.766	0.752	0.744	0.757	0.732	0.743	0.750
		8	0.810	0.821	0.823	0.811	1.895	0.803	0.833	0.822	0.841	0.837	0.828
		10	0.898	0.964	0.884	0.860	0.933	0.904	0.870	0.882	0.874	0.877	0.869
		12	0.950	0.978	0.919	0.937	0.967	0.914	0.947	0.941	0.938	0.916	0.943
	Traffic	6	0.213	0.215	0.217	0.213	0.209	0.217	0.218	0.220	0.232	0.215	0.216
		8	0.203	0.214	0.220	0.223	0.311	0.223	0.212	0.209	0.218	0.213	0.218
		10	0.216	0.213	0.235	0.214	0.217	0.200	0.217	0.224	0.218	0.226	0.222
		12	0.239	0.240	0.242	0.261	0.271	0.234	0.249	0.253	0.249	0.241	0.249

Table 56: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Reformer (+ LLAMA3)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Reformer	Agriculture	6	0.193	0.188	0.251	0.174	0.273	0.154	0.359	0.185	0.248	0.260	0.193
		8	0.248	0.338	0.328	0.280	0.347	0.415	0.245	0.273	0.161	0.214	0.266
		10	0.179	0.674	0.281	0.314	0.544	0.413	0.744	0.259	0.268	0.493	0.419
		12	0.474	0.333	0.430	0.358	0.634	0.283	0.295	0.244	0.599	0.305	0.241
	Climate	6	1.013	1.013	1.062	1.043	1.038	1.008	1.068	1.026	1.030	1.000	1.071
		8	1.077	1.076	1.061	1.106	1.059	1.084	0.926	1.037	1.066	1.044	1.079
		10	1.063	1.089	1.109	1.079	1.078	1.078	0.993	1.098	1.087	1.093	1.098
		12	1.098	1.067	1.098	1.046	1.124	1.089	1.040	1.099	1.104	1.086	1.101
	Economy	6	0.348	0.226	0.293	0.389	0.677	0.331	0.948	0.292	0.336	0.190	0.264
		8	0.212	0.207	0.492	0.212	0.420	0.836	1.061	0.493	0.445	0.575	0.289
		10	0.562	1.376	0.690	0.120	1.962	0.596	1.686	0.234	0.388	1.085	0.043
		12	0.766	1.163	0.672	0.180	1.671	1.125	0.748	1.113	1.135	0.364	0.969
	Energy	12	0.235	0.215	0.221	0.303	0.227	0.251	0.226	0.150	0.167	0.222	0.217
		24	0.401	0.424	0.409	0.381	0.434	0.395	0.351	0.351	0.263	0.303	0.353
		36	0.462	0.485	0.456	0.459	0.501	0.475	0.423	0.493	0.442	0.412	0.408
		48	0.485	0.564	0.536	0.525	0.740	0.509	0.584	0.538	0.495	0.532	0.507
	Environment	48	0.411	0.424	0.424	0.428	0.413	0.422	0.411	0.407	0.408	0.411	0.409
		96	0.473	0.444	0.412	0.434	0.469	0.418	0.430	0.405	0.395	0.437	0.412
		192	0.458	0.439	0.420	0.451	0.403	0.444	0.430	0.433	0.395	0.422	0.407
		336	0.451	0.423	0.421	0.433	0.450	0.433	0.435	0.413	0.404	0.419	0.421
	Public Health	12	1.244	1.119	1.185	1.189	1.420	1.097	1.203	1.020	1.062	1.083	1.082
		24	1.233	1.482	1.337	1.380	1.330	1.382	1.308	1.317	1.240	1.294	1.267
		36	1.366	1.601	1.394	1.500	1.350	1.436	1.210	1.296	1.322	1.319	1.250
		48	1.427	1.525	1.364	1.324	1.416	1.584	1.498	1.364	1.463	1.585	1.471
	Security	6	124.6	123.6	123.6	124.2	118.5	121.7	122.1	123.6	124.1	123.7	123.4
		8	123.9	118.0	122.5	124.2	119.0	123.0	125.0	122.5	122.6	124.2	125.5
		10	127.2	124.4	124.6	122.4	130.0	125.5	127.1	125.8	123.8	125.1	125.2
		12	123.4	126.1	126.0	126.5	125.5	125.1	126.8	126.0	125.8	126.0	126.6
	Social Good	6	0.805	0.787	0.799	0.855	0.840	0.835	0.869	0.795	0.831	0.818	0.824
		8	0.877	0.842	0.892	0.889	0.876	0.878	0.938	0.889	0.899	0.849	0.889
		10	0.936	0.960	0.990	0.956	1.018	0.918	0.970	0.990	0.959	0.938	0.935
		12	1.052	0.955	1.039	1.004	1.089	1.033	0.989	1.012	0.954	1.039	0.988
	Traffic	6	0.208	0.241	0.247	0.222	0.235	0.281	0.257	0.248	0.243	0.250	0.237
		8	0.236	0.288	0.269	0.247	0.217	0.259	0.217	0.268	0.234	0.257	0.231
		10	0.253	0.260	0.257	0.231	0.238	0.263	0.236	0.258	0.257	0.248	0.260
		12	0.248	0.268	0.285	0.281	0.258	0.322	0.244	0.282	0.275	0.282	0.284

Table 57: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Informer** (+ LLAMA3).

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Informer	Agriculture	6	0.264	0.362	0.327	0.304	0.261	0.289	0.270	0.212	0.216	0.234	0.243
		8	0.450	0.386	0.361	0.376	0.171	0.414	0.269	0.272	0.388	0.195	0.241
		10	0.402	0.412	0.440	0.323	0.574	0.251	0.339	0.299	0.343	0.305	0.434
		12	0.507	0.648	0.520	0.530	0.471	0.639	0.494	0.583	0.574	0.420	0.543
	Climate	6	0.994	1.084	1.068	1.072	1.035	1.114	1.027	1.064	1.080	1.043	1.078
		8	1.058	0.982	1.105	1.081	0.923	1.068	1.112	1.074	1.093	1.067	1.083
		10	1.084	1.101	1.100	1.060	1.042	1.066	1.089	1.076	1.048	1.091	1.092
		12	1.068	1.091	1.083	1.106	0.889	1.014	1.096	1.097	1.071	1.111	1.092
	Economy	6	0.707	0.869	0.396	0.567	0.544	0.624	0.583	0.530	0.642	0.628	0.254
		8	0.815	0.812	0.756	0.520	0.275	0.275	0.781	0.261	0.143	0.452	0.648
		10	0.807	0.824	0.999	0.714	1.228	1.153	1.049	0.596	0.619	0.633	0.832
		12	1.093	1.445	1.031	1.181	0.666	0.179	1.205	0.950	0.616	1.429	0.967
	Energy	12	0.146	0.158	0.218	0.190	0.261	0.182	0.208	0.162	0.161	0.158	0.168
		24	0.273	0.269	0.320	0.320	0.256	0.275	0.327	0.304	0.263	0.297	0.304
		36	0.373	0.358	0.357	0.408	0.389	0.351	0.425	0.328	0.351	0.306	0.369
		48	0.499	0.489	0.522	0.494	0.410	0.490	0.429	0.398	0.402	0.466	0.433
	Environment	48	0.430	0.418	0.469	0.446	0.443	0.464	0.474	0.442	0.438	0.430	0.450
		96	0.421	0.484	0.449	0.444	0.444	0.442	0.439	0.430	0.440	0.452	0.444
		192	0.467	0.489	0.435	0.508	0.437	0.509	0.478	0.498	0.493	0.465	0.459
		336	0.457	0.477	0.470	0.482	0.427	0.469	0.487	0.513	0.486	0.478	0.426
	Public Health	12	1.114	1.270	1.252	0.982	1.545	1.100	1.010	1.003	1.103	1.287	1.141
		24	1.272	1.621	1.303	1.200	1.314	1.344	1.228	1.382	1.278	1.369	1.302
		36	1.490	1.465	1.493	1.454	1.313	1.414	1.438	1.314	1.551	1.552	1.517
		48	1.619	1.403	1.755	1.659	1.326	1.726	1.570	1.599	1.701	1.592	1.606
	Security	6	122.5	126.0	122.3	123.9	125.0	126.7	122.7	125.6	122.0	127.5	124.8
		8	130.2	129.3	129.2	126.2	129.7	127.0	128.1	128.9	128.4	126.8	126.9
		10	130.4	130.8	129.6	127.9	130.5	124.0	129.0	128.9	129.4	128.0	130.1
		12	131.4	132.0	127.9	130.8	128.4	130.0	129.8	131.1	130.2	132.1	130.3
	Social Good	6	0.749	0.746	0.764	0.728	0.764	0.795	0.747	0.812	0.748	0.789	0.751
		8	0.793	0.776	0.830	0.862	0.842	0.838	0.808	0.845	0.835	0.858	0.810
		10	0.828	0.890	0.897	0.874	0.864	0.882	0.918	0.886	0.894	0.895	0.872
		12	0.980	0.888	0.915	0.866	1.062	0.893	0.919	0.913	0.896	0.946	0.948
	Traffic	6	0.172	0.187	0.165	0.163	0.154	0.162	0.144	0.169	0.161	0.166	0.169
		8	0.171	0.161	0.162	0.160	0.162	0.167	0.158	0.180	0.189	0.163	0.160
		10	0.187	0.189	0.217	0.200	0.175	0.189	0.185	0.164	0.204	0.173	0.197
		12	0.208	0.210	0.218	0.213	0.202	0.221	0.204	0.219	0.210	0.224	0.215

Table 58: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with TSMixer (+ LLAMA3).

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
TSMixer	Agriculture	6	0.102	0.086	0.119	0.104	0.121	0.094	0.100	0.112	0.162	0.098	0.085
		8	0.103	0.150	0.171	0.330	0.242	0.316	0.180	0.103	0.264	0.238	0.105
		10	0.395	0.486	0.892	0.469	0.294	0.937	0.497	0.481	0.778	0.422	0.229
		12	0.617	1.109	1.245	0.606	1.374	0.562	0.494	0.674	1.386	1.206	0.337
	Climate	6	1.069	1.060	1.079	1.138	1.077	1.088	1.187	1.063	1.067	1.062	1.067
		8	1.094	1.086	1.080	1.232	1.077	1.156	1.162	1.089	1.097	1.082	1.083
		10	1.102	1.158	1.186	1.204	1.108	1.127	1.099	1.147	1.112	1.132	1.101
		12	1.109	1.124	1.140	1.174	1.154	1.094	1.146	1.144	1.158	1.140	1.106
	Economy	6	0.054	0.062	0.073	0.093	0.106	0.143	0.126	0.153	0.141	0.094	0.063
		8	0.078	0.268	0.219	0.276	0.807	0.348	0.141	0.227	0.215	0.100	0.068
		10	0.263	1.509	1.802	1.775	0.266	1.992	0.318	0.593	1.003	1.229	0.085
		12	0.917	2.240	1.976	1.931	2.472	0.672	1.146	0.590	2.820	2.374	0.173
	Energy	12	0.142	0.138	0.135	0.159	0.151	0.142	0.161	0.151	0.137	0.141	0.148
		24	0.261	0.287	0.297	0.329	0.266	0.236	0.303	0.250	0.283	0.287	0.268
		36	0.355	0.330	0.410	0.479	0.315	0.360	0.363	0.349	0.380	0.331	0.372
		48	0.451	0.412	0.435	0.695	0.428	0.583	0.448	0.416	0.410	0.444	0.460
	Environment	48	0.542	0.432	0.450	0.454	0.436	0.442	0.453	0.520	0.455	0.437	0.509
		96	0.606	0.456	0.466	0.485	0.456	0.457	0.486	0.581	0.439	0.471	0.559
		192	0.638	0.531	0.472	0.539	0.599	0.523	0.511	0.591	0.448	0.495	0.656
		336	0.588	0.652	0.452	0.543	0.522	0.538	0.512	0.582	0.496	0.484	0.580
	Public Health	12	1.155	1.117	1.173	1.392	1.296	1.251	1.209	1.155	1.178	1.158	1.129
		24	1.428	1.649	1.388	1.483	1.347	1.471	1.450	1.315	1.667	1.345	1.377
		36	1.479	1.749	1.613	1.581	1.501	1.503	1.549	1.474	1.693	1.556	1.439
		48	1.599	1.543	1.777	1.633	1.607	1.687	1.673	1.625	1.584	1.725	1.529
	Security	6	128.3	127.8	127.8	126.4	125.9	123.9	130.0	124.0	127.8	128.0	128.0
		8	127.9	125.8	127.3	128.6	125.2	122.6	131.8	129.1	126.3	127.1	127.0
		10	129.3	129.1	132.8	131.0	126.8	132.4	129.3	129.1	131.4	131.9	128.8
		12	131.8	133.6	133.3	132.3	133.2	127.9	131.3	133.9	134.5	132.4	131.6
	Social Good	6	0.749	0.795	0.861	0.779	0.732	0.731	1.043	0.842	0.835	0.792	0.741
		8	0.827	0.875	0.886	0.903	0.883	0.850	0.845	0.843	0.945	0.810	0.824
		10	0.906	0.921	0.878	1.057	1.004	0.921	0.942	0.959	1.141	0.878	0.873
		12	1.091	1.041	1.014	0.975	1.053	1.016	0.979	0.952	1.265	0.924	0.847
	Traffic	6	0.203	0.219	0.222	0.239	0.221	0.216	0.224	0.259	0.255	0.252	0.188
		8	0.216	0.260	0.266	0.253	0.246	0.251	0.220	0.259	0.307	0.239	0.195
		10	0.228	0.331	0.570	0.291	0.247	0.532	0.237	0.260	0.290	0.344	0.207
		12	0.293	0.640	0.692	0.312	0.713	0.281	0.291	0.352	0.779	0.481	0.249

Table 59: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Transformer (+ LLAMA3)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Transformer	Agriculture	6	0.128	0.090	0.127	0.179	0.132	0.211	0.267	0.144	0.170	0.181	0.131
		8	0.173	0.230	0.278	0.137	0.157	0.266	0.285	0.277	0.193	0.181	0.148
		10	0.182	0.418	0.279	0.224	0.130	0.234	0.571	0.291	0.205	0.325	0.214
		12	0.238	0.293	0.218	0.437	0.158	0.198	0.382	0.219	0.221	0.514	0.272
	Climate	6	1.032	1.037	1.080	1.001	0.955	1.081	0.980	1.080	1.009	1.018	1.047
		8	1.059	1.064	1.080	1.063	1.031	1.040	1.012	1.079	1.039	1.034	0.982
		10	1.066	1.041	1.064	1.071	1.098	1.083	0.994	1.064	1.062	1.030	1.071
		12	1.035	1.103	1.081	1.071	1.098	1.062	1.042	1.082	1.102	1.067	1.081
	Economy	6	0.401	0.283	0.059	0.338	0.140	0.226	0.592	0.061	0.202	0.145	0.127
		8	0.076	0.413	0.403	0.103	0.061	0.252	0.882	0.087	0.061	0.050	0.163
		10	0.075	0.357	0.252	0.737	0.070	0.160	1.317	0.123	0.568	0.278	0.228
		12	0.319	0.143	0.432	0.196	0.140	0.274	0.670	0.303	0.416	0.116	0.043
	Energy	12	0.145	0.108	0.080	0.142	0.110	0.148	0.112	0.086	0.114	0.091	0.100
		24	0.259	0.299	0.261	0.244	0.245	0.186	0.286	0.198	0.221	0.180	0.195
		36	0.403	0.357	0.314	0.371	0.351	0.292	0.323	0.271	0.352	0.238	0.333
		48	0.479	0.397	0.404	0.427	0.378	0.401	0.548	0.389	0.463	0.323	0.421
	Environment	48	0.444	0.417	0.414	0.420	0.425	0.430	0.430	0.414	0.435	0.410	0.404
		96	0.472	0.444	0.393	0.485	0.436	0.438	0.434	0.410	0.408	0.427	0.402
		192	0.463	0.541	0.471	0.499	0.469	0.411	0.535	0.407	0.436	0.471	0.409
		336	0.458	0.502	0.470	0.430	0.460	0.454	0.472	0.460	0.421	0.444	0.424
	Public Health	12	0.904	1.054	1.069	1.121	0.999	1.017	1.087	1.112	1.040	1.102	1.022
		24	1.281	1.261	1.290	1.403	1.192	1.264	1.397	1.201	1.182	1.310	1.296
		36	1.394	1.408	1.359	1.299	1.281	1.201	1.211	1.443	1.317	1.284	1.346
		48	1.338	1.470	1.440	1.432	1.600	1.529	1.448	1.438	1.396	1.434	1.325
	Security	6	123.7	127.0	127.5	124.6	122.5	126.7	122.6	127.5	126.7	127.0	127.8
		8	126.6	129.5	129.7	129.2	123.8	128.0	126.6	129.5	125.8	129.1	127.3
		10	129.6	131.3	129.8	129.9	124.6	130.5	129.5	131.5	129.3	130.6	131.7
		12	127.2	126.7	132.7	130.4	127.3	129.7	130.6	131.9	130.8	130.2	130.7
	Social Good	6	0.743	0.757	0.766	0.771	0.826	0.753	0.806	0.766	0.752	0.748	0.788
		8	0.805	0.850	0.875	0.811	0.915	0.821	0.810	0.859	0.860	0.775	0.818
		10	0.900	0.818	0.934	0.909	0.933	0.816	0.908	0.907	0.859	0.916	0.909
		12	0.922	0.884	0.946	0.967	0.905	0.951	0.878	0.951	0.946	0.942	0.882
	Traffic	6	0.176	0.183	0.172	0.147	0.172	0.164	0.158	0.172	0.161	0.151	0.152
		8	0.186	0.164	0.184	0.219	0.182	0.159	0.162	0.184	0.162	0.174	0.168
		10	0.175	0.204	0.191	0.177	0.205	0.182	0.179	0.191	0.206	0.172	0.181
		12	0.212	0.252	0.233	0.219	0.240	0.224	0.207	0.233	0.214	0.203	0.233

K.4 Text Model: Doc2Vec

Table 60: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Nonstationary Transformer (+ Doc2Vec)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
Nonstationary Transformer	Agriculture	6	0.051	0.051	0.052	0.052	0.056	0.053	4.078	0.052	0.056	0.054	0.051
		8	0.068	0.067	0.070	0.068	0.068	0.071	2.467	0.068	0.072	0.072	0.072
		10	0.092	0.090	0.091	0.085	0.099	0.092	0.628	0.092	0.089	0.089	0.093
		12	0.120	0.117	0.116	0.109	0.118	0.118	0.722	0.116	0.119	0.118	0.118
	Climate	6	1.241	1.291	1.185	1.309	1.162	1.236	1.237	1.309	1.175	1.293	1.089
		8	1.300	1.323	1.122	1.256	1.294	1.281	1.073	1.212	1.298	1.167	1.270
		10	1.211	1.244	1.244	1.301	1.200	1.189	1.127	1.219	1.238	1.189	1.221
		12	1.219	1.246	1.232	1.232	1.263	1.153	1.078	1.255	1.212	1.220	1.149
	Economy	6	0.017	0.016	0.017	0.018	0.017	0.016	13.766	0.017	0.017	0.016	0.018
		8	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.019	0.020	0.022	6.984	0.018	0.018	0.021	0.018
		10	0.019	0.021	0.020	0.022	0.018	0.021	1.125	0.019	0.020	0.020	0.019
		12	0.021	0.022	0.021	0.026	0.022	0.021	1.040	0.020	0.020	0.021	0.020
	Energy	12	0.107	0.117	0.104	0.114	0.095	0.111	0.188	0.101	0.108	0.111	0.115
		24	0.198	0.234	0.186	0.229	0.197	0.220	0.627	0.233	0.198	0.196	0.203
		36	0.280	0.327	0.298	0.301	0.370	0.325	0.974	0.324	0.364	0.338	0.328
		48	0.368	0.451	0.422	0.366	0.443	0.455	0.712	0.385	0.401	0.358	0.371
	Environment	48	0.419	0.424	0.437	0.467	0.478	0.429	0.464	0.439	0.441	0.441	0.416
		96	0.444	0.488	0.497	0.471	0.462	0.453	0.434	0.462	0.458	0.460	0.465
		192	0.444	0.486	0.466	0.509	0.446	0.481	0.464	0.436	0.437	0.459	0.444
		336	0.437	0.458	0.431	0.500	0.441	0.449	0.514	0.432	0.435	0.443	0.453
	Public Health	12	0.745	0.887	0.887	0.897	0.727	0.890	1.062	0.969	0.843	0.784	0.858
		24	1.137	1.162	1.103	1.312	1.153	1.118	1.979	1.179	1.065	1.216	1.162
		36	1.418	1.360	1.246	1.230	1.280	1.313	1.535	1.326	1.467	1.517	1.366
		48	1.364	1.655	1.412	1.302	1.633	1.331	1.605	1.553	1.300	1.482	1.530
	Security	6	103.0	99.768	105.2	101.7	101.3	101.6	135.7	101.7	100.6	101.7	100.3
		8	105.3	105.7	108.7	105.6	105.6	104.9	126.3	104.3	105.7	104.2	104.2
		10	110.2	108.3	109.6	110.5	107.6	107.6	120.0	106.7	107.3	107.4	108.1
		12	110.8	109.8	111.3	108.1	110.0	109.1	113.4	109.5	109.7	109.5	109.5
	Social Good	6	0.803	0.798	0.835	0.836	0.784	0.761	4.209	0.772	0.778	0.887	0.762
		8	0.940	0.921	0.859	0.973	0.925	0.871	2.671	0.918	0.955	0.878	0.868
		10	1.024	1.092	1.039	0.934	1.004	0.993	1.251	0.977	0.946	1.028	0.939
		12	1.049	1.062	1.091	1.040	1.104	1.122	0.976	1.107	1.135	1.086	1.048
	Traffic	6	0.179	0.177	0.175	0.171	0.184	0.168	0.615	0.180	0.170	0.178	0.179
		8	0.187	0.183	0.184	0.198	0.201	0.181	0.523	0.185	0.195	0.181	0.186
		10	0.189	0.190	0.191	0.184	0.199	0.184	0.220	0.193	0.185	0.190	0.182
		12	0.251	0.248	0.252	0.253	0.232	0.238	0.251	0.236	0.246	0.240	0.247

Table 61: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **iTransformer (+ Doc2Vec)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
iTransformer	Agriculture	6	0.059	0.058	0.060	0.055	0.061	0.060	4.716	0.060	0.060	0.059	0.060
		8	0.077	0.076	0.077	0.071	0.076	0.078	2.397	0.077	0.076	0.076	0.077
		10	0.098	0.098	0.095	0.088	0.099	0.100	0.906	0.094	0.097	0.098	0.099
		12	0.127	0.127	0.129	0.116	0.130	0.127	0.607	0.129	0.130	0.126	0.129
	Climate	6	1.130	1.140	1.119	1.144	1.151	1.114	1.238	1.115	1.148	1.149	1.135
		8	1.193	1.218	1.202	1.163	1.173	1.173	1.145	1.204	1.203	1.192	1.179
		10	1.231	1.183	1.209	1.195	1.151	1.203	1.081	1.207	1.204	1.203	1.208
		12	1.184	1.187	1.188	1.194	1.179	1.165	1.045	1.186	1.219	1.180	1.184
	Economy	6	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.022	0.015	0.015	12.723	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015
		8	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.016	0.015	0.015	8.123	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.016
		10	0.016	0.015	0.016	0.017	0.015	0.016	1.576	0.016	0.015	0.015	0.016
		12	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.018	0.016	0.016	0.905	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016
	Energy	12	0.116	0.119	0.124	0.096	0.112	0.113	0.206	0.122	0.121	0.124	0.131
		24	0.230	0.230	0.232	0.214	0.224	0.250	0.834	0.234	0.226	0.241	0.243
		36	0.315	0.309	0.315	0.314	0.305	0.333	1.312	0.330	0.320	0.318	0.328
		48	0.399	0.428	0.425	0.406	0.495	0.424	0.693	0.434	0.436	0.420	0.422
	Environment	48	0.413	0.427	0.416	0.512	0.425	0.418	0.490	0.422	0.421	0.422	0.421
		96	0.417	0.425	0.422	0.470	0.415	0.417	0.694	0.429	0.423	0.431	0.419
		192	0.432	0.442	0.446	0.494	0.429	0.425	0.627	0.426	0.418	0.419	0.436
		336	0.422	0.423	0.424	0.462	0.419	0.423	0.985	0.431	0.418	0.416	0.427
	Public Health	12	0.976	1.011	1.071	0.939	0.996	0.990	1.136	1.061	0.950	1.017	0.941
		24	1.446	1.478	1.451	1.242	1.499	1.438	1.636	1.445	1.473	1.448	1.441
		36	1.629	1.655	1.660	1.379	1.669	1.620	2.037	1.659	1.650	1.633	1.638
		48	1.741	1.747	1.757	1.518	1.809	1.780	1.741	1.756	1.768	1.759	1.758
	Security	6	104.7	104.2	103.1	110.6	103.4	102.2	139.8	102.6	104.2	102.5	102.7
		8	108.8	105.8	105.2	112.8	108.1	106.1	141.6	105.2	106.5	105.5	109.2
		10	111.9	109.8	108.1	114.6	110.1	110.7	122.7	108.7	110.3	109.3	108.2
		12	114.3	112.6	111.5	117.2	111.5	109.7	121.3	110.7	111.5	109.2	111.5
	Social Good	6	0.821	0.831	0.830	0.775	0.852	0.856	4.174	0.831	0.838	0.831	0.835
		8	0.960	0.948	0.954	0.943	0.937	0.963	2.762	0.953	0.941	0.961	0.955
		10	1.056	1.064	1.069	1.001	1.054	1.058	1.084	1.068	1.063	1.050	1.061
		12	1.150	1.145	1.153	1.068	1.102	1.134	1.051	1.153	1.149	1.133	1.130
	Traffic	6	0.182	0.182	0.176	0.188	0.184	0.172	0.458	0.172	0.168	0.164	0.173
		8	0.186	0.192	0.183	0.195	0.187	0.188	0.789	0.184	0.179	0.188	0.183
		10	0.195	0.191	0.181	0.196	0.190	0.181	0.304	0.181	0.184	0.184	0.190
		12	0.254	0.234	0.228	0.256	0.250	0.251	0.276	0.226	0.225	0.226	0.242

Table 62: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Koopa (+ Doc2Vec)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
Koopa	Agriculture	6	0.056	0.056	0.056	0.056	2.967	3.376	2.346	0.059	0.062	0.054	0.056
		8	0.078	0.090	0.071	0.070	0.177	0.331	0.297	0.076	0.083	0.076	0.075
		10	0.100	0.130	0.090	0.090	2.205	0.738	0.714	0.101	0.101	0.091	0.100
	12	0.129	0.133	0.116	0.115	5.842	2.913	2.581	0.127	0.146	0.116	0.129	
	Climate	6	1.249	1.783	1.263	1.263	1.834	1.216	1.215	1.254	1.017	1.259	1.243
		8	1.272	1.255	1.277	1.279	1.143	1.091	1.088	1.294	1.025	1.240	1.262
		10	1.249	1.240	1.246	1.251	1.195	1.054	1.054	1.245	1.046	1.227	1.244
		12	1.242	1.244	1.237	1.238	1.211	1.221	1.222	1.274	1.057	1.238	1.231
	Economy	6	0.017	0.028	0.032	0.033	14.673	14.901	13.798	0.024	0.048	0.020	0.018
		8	0.017	0.020	0.024	0.023	1.001	1.064	1.045	0.027	0.029	0.018	0.017
		10	0.018	0.029	0.026	0.025	4.957	2.423	2.443	0.027	0.036	0.019	0.027
		12	0.018	0.040	0.025	0.021	14.385	13.308	10.977	0.020	0.047	0.023	0.018
	Energy	12	0.108	7.963	0.108	0.108	1.590	0.203	0.210	0.114	0.115	0.108	0.105
		24	0.210	0.319	0.220	0.223	4.487	0.446	0.475	0.241	0.220	0.215	0.220
		36	0.306	0.289	0.297	0.297	0.719	0.572	0.673	0.328	0.314	0.292	0.284
		48	0.404	34.576	0.423	0.416	1.305	0.948	0.954	0.429	0.401	0.384	0.408
	Environment	48	0.480	95645.1	0.575	1.234	24986.4	0.722	0.802	0.490	0.587	0.921	0.482
		96	0.533	58063.8	1.102	0.865	37111.5	0.980	0.934	0.535	0.757	0.731	0.524
		192	0.568	347050.2	0.713	0.736	3030.7	0.649	0.647	0.573	1.251	0.822	0.567
		336	0.530	81048.2	0.713	0.577	18262.9	1.021	0.913	0.529	0.547	0.613	0.529
	Public Health	12	1.035	7.698	0.955	0.961	3.428	1.023	1.018	1.198	1.313	0.941	0.952
		24	1.396	1.163	1.166	1.197	1.859	1.235	1.234	1.530	1.391	1.154	1.412
		36	1.624	1.300	1.292	1.287	2.163	1.624	1.686	1.806	1.394	1.256	1.629
		48	1.733	1.406	1.384	1.390	2.316	1.943	2.020	1.877	1.442	1.372	1.724
	Security	6	104.6	97.318	103.5	103.5	134.4	138.9	139.8	104.2	107.2	103.4	105.1
		8	109.4	116.9	111.1	111.0	111.0	112.1	112.1	105.7	110.2	111.0	106.6
		10	108.9	107.9	108.8	108.8	117.8	118.4	118.3	110.1	113.2	109.1	108.5
		12	111.0	102.5	110.6	110.6	139.1	137.6	137.4	115.1	114.9	110.8	111.2
	Social Good	6	0.852	0.955	0.800	0.800	3.409	3.506	3.506	0.848	0.787	0.869	0.838
		8	0.922	1.255	0.893	0.892	0.992	1.000	1.026	0.926	0.797	0.879	0.921
		10	0.991	1.137	0.964	0.966	1.102	1.231	1.149	0.971	0.912	0.886	0.992
		12	1.083	1.011	0.994	0.994	3.020	2.967	2.947	1.071	0.898	0.973	1.080
	Traffic	6	0.231	0.294	0.220	0.221	0.295	0.506	0.479	0.215	0.218	0.215	0.202
		8	0.212	0.216	0.214	0.214	0.205	0.219	0.221	0.226	0.211	0.205	0.204
		10	0.219	0.221	0.221	0.220	0.314	0.300	0.333	0.258	0.200	0.210	0.209
		12	0.274	0.321	0.279	0.279	1.013	0.515	0.613	0.274	0.263	0.278	0.262

Table 63: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **DLinear (+ Doc2Vec)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
DLinear	Agriculture	6	0.094	0.129	0.132	0.120	1.911	2.861	2.080	0.075	0.142	0.096	0.070
		8	0.324	0.167	0.184	0.185	3.309	3.914	2.666	0.206	0.174	0.214	0.088
		10	0.164	0.195	0.205	0.203	0.312	0.280	0.276	0.151	0.147	0.191	0.135
		12	0.217	0.240	0.240	0.240	0.381	0.288	0.289	0.162	0.406	0.178	0.164
	Climate	6	1.140	1.263	1.209	1.198	1.213	1.218	1.227	1.271	1.054	1.087	1.087
		8	1.295	1.283	1.290	1.273	1.196	1.074	1.100	1.277	1.074	1.108	1.112
		10	1.155	1.300	1.284	1.268	1.141	1.118	1.112	1.330	1.112	1.167	1.109
		12	1.175	1.239	1.213	1.182	1.115	1.111	1.107	1.191	1.100	1.137	1.096
	Economy	6	0.095	0.087	0.097	0.097	13.039	12.557	12.846	0.107	0.097	0.046	0.023
		8	0.234	0.102	0.142	0.144	10.613	9.270	10.797	0.130	0.105	0.150	0.038
		10	0.086	0.115	0.132	0.130	0.493	0.468	0.482	0.140	0.038	0.140	0.045
		12	0.109	0.129	0.131	0.129	1.149	0.433	0.396	0.062	0.256	0.092	0.054
	Energy	12	0.116	0.141	0.144	0.132	0.150	0.142	0.143	0.126	0.113	0.123	0.104
		24	0.222	0.255	0.240	0.252	0.427	0.295	0.289	0.241	0.225	0.210	0.204
		36	0.300	0.332	0.309	0.320	0.758	0.332	0.341	0.315	0.334	0.300	0.293
		48	0.412	0.482	0.472	0.465	0.641	0.447	0.449	0.426	0.389	0.398	0.407
	Environment	48	0.491	0.940	1.113	0.777	0.773	0.592	0.577	0.494	0.833	1.290	0.492
		96	0.568	0.792	0.694	0.577	1.158	0.819	0.764	0.590	1.061	0.978	0.568
		192	0.592	0.682	1.314	0.730	0.886	0.782	0.649	0.608	1.252	0.900	0.592
		336	0.538	0.600	0.523	0.533	0.828	0.555	0.744	0.554	0.646	0.784	0.537
	Public Health	12	1.357	1.336	1.428	1.362	1.438	1.573	1.618	1.581	1.350	1.349	1.249
		24	1.593	1.582	1.671	1.620	1.707	1.775	1.720	1.624	1.483	1.546	1.500
		36	1.636	1.647	1.531	1.508	1.664	1.670	1.642	1.691	1.596	1.617	1.595
		48	1.683	1.708	1.627	1.591	1.864	1.745	1.753	1.734	1.686	1.678	1.672
	Security	6	104.3	104.5	104.7	104.6	167.4	165.1	165.5	105.6	104.4	104.6	104.8
		8	109.2	108.1	107.6	107.8	150.9	146.2	145.5	110.3	106.5	108.4	108.9
		10	110.5	111.6	109.7	109.9	111.4	108.4	111.0	110.5	110.9	110.0	109.8
		12	111.8	112.2	111.8	112.1	113.3	112.8	113.2	113.4	111.3	111.5	111.6
	Social Good	6	0.847	0.814	0.828	0.818	3.013	1.549	1.576	0.904	0.821	0.786	0.785
		8	0.878	0.912	0.897	0.897	2.101	1.804	1.926	0.889	0.862	0.863	0.869
		10	0.949	0.983	1.022	1.001	0.944	0.958	0.936	0.977	0.982	0.972	0.958
		12	1.015	1.000	1.037	0.997	1.001	1.012	0.998	1.015	1.004	1.018	1.002
	Traffic	6	0.252	0.262	0.299	0.261	0.472	0.507	0.461	0.296	0.345	0.235	0.224
		8	0.328	0.291	0.325	0.301	0.549	0.867	0.618	0.268	0.292	0.305	0.223
		10	0.238	0.267	0.315	0.289	0.349	0.270	0.263	0.227	0.259	0.268	0.219
		12	0.288	0.308	0.334	0.316	0.365	0.407	0.277	0.281	0.309	0.306	0.262

Table 64: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **PatchTST (+ Doc2Vec)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
PatchTST	Agriculture	6	0.060	0.060	0.058	0.059	0.060	0.059	2.663	0.060	0.058	0.061	0.057
		8	0.080	0.080	0.078	0.075	0.080	0.079	2.320	0.078	0.077	0.079	0.079
		10	0.099	0.100	0.101	0.093	0.100	0.101	0.502	0.099	0.101	0.101	0.099
		12	0.129	0.129	0.129	0.119	0.129	0.130	0.589	0.129	0.128	0.130	0.126
	Climate	6	1.255	1.237	1.238	1.238	1.237	1.238	1.283	1.238	1.205	1.251	1.234
		8	1.261	1.248	1.227	1.249	1.248	1.258	1.143	1.226	1.252	1.255	1.243
		10	1.280	1.263	1.261	1.263	1.263	1.242	1.104	1.260	1.246	1.277	1.267
		12	1.238	1.245	1.251	1.253	1.245	1.254	1.090	1.251	1.260	1.246	1.248
	Economy	6	0.016	0.016	0.017	0.017	0.016	0.017	17.750	0.017	0.016	0.017	0.017
		8	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.020	0.017	0.017	9.522	0.018	0.016	0.017	0.017
		10	0.017	0.017	0.016	0.019	0.017	0.017	1.590	0.016	0.017	0.018	0.018
		12	0.018	0.017	0.017	0.020	0.017	0.018	1.435	0.017	0.018	0.017	0.017
	Energy	12	0.109	0.111	0.114	0.110	0.111	0.113	0.222	0.117	0.112	0.109	0.116
		24	0.221	0.211	0.222	0.225	0.211	0.216	0.606	0.232	0.231	0.228	0.231
		36	0.316	0.313	0.326	0.318	0.313	0.329	1.446	0.326	0.330	0.325	0.314
		48	0.401	0.406	0.444	0.421	0.406	0.426	0.575	0.445	0.439	0.441	0.429
	Environment	48	0.465	0.463	0.518	0.500	0.463	0.470	0.558	0.500	0.462	0.462	0.462
		96	0.494	0.497	0.501	0.795	0.497	0.493	0.639	0.522	0.507	0.509	0.522
		192	0.543	0.545	0.517	0.603	0.545	0.541	0.837	0.536	0.547	0.530	0.562
		336	0.503	0.515	0.514	0.560	0.515	0.530	0.844	0.515	0.510	0.493	0.525
	Public Health	12	0.925	0.876	0.928	0.838	0.876	0.949	0.913	0.949	1.034	0.906	0.896
		24	1.386	1.359	1.390	1.267	1.359	1.265	1.622	1.386	1.381	1.390	1.425
		36	1.612	1.600	1.630	1.389	1.600	1.593	2.223	1.637	1.614	1.623	1.612
		48	1.749	1.755	1.737	1.567	1.755	1.736	1.747	1.732	1.761	1.759	1.771
	Security	6	103.8	104.7	102.8	105.7	104.7	103.3	145.1	103.3	104.0	104.2	104.6
		8	107.6	107.2	105.9	110.7	107.2	106.4	135.5	106.5	106.7	107.1	107.6
		10	111.5	112.0	108.2	109.2	112.0	108.4	120.1	108.4	110.6	107.9	111.2
		12	110.9	111.5	110.8	111.7	111.5	111.1	120.7	110.8	113.3	111.8	112.1
	Social Good	6	0.806	0.794	0.795	0.818	0.794	0.796	3.828	0.794	0.798	0.794	0.795
		8	0.905	0.900	0.885	0.865	0.900	0.907	2.397	0.884	0.904	0.901	0.890
		10	1.008	1.028	1.046	1.017	1.028	1.050	1.062	1.000	1.023	1.032	1.039
		12	1.131	1.080	1.090	0.970	1.080	1.076	1.053	1.091	1.064	1.107	1.083
	Traffic	6	0.177	0.174	0.180	0.177	0.174	0.179	0.481	0.169	0.186	0.172	0.186
		8	0.182	0.186	0.179	0.189	0.186	0.181	0.299	0.185	0.185	0.179	0.181
		10	0.193	0.185	0.187	0.198	0.185	0.192	0.214	0.187	0.183	0.183	0.194
		12	0.237	0.249	0.234	0.243	0.249	0.247	0.321	0.236	0.246	0.225	0.239

Table 65: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with FiLM (+ Doc2Vec).

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
FiLM	Agriculture	6	0.060	0.088	0.061	0.059	7.138	0.062	2.962	0.063	0.062	0.061	0.061
		8	0.082	0.311	0.082	0.076	5.513	0.084	1.729	0.080	0.079	0.079	0.079
		10	0.104	0.168	0.104	0.100	0.672	0.104	0.684	0.104	0.105	0.102	0.103
		12	0.137	1.725	0.133	0.124	0.317	0.137	0.551	0.134	0.136	0.135	0.135
	Climate	6	1.354	1.254	1.268	1.256	1.537	1.272	1.284	1.250	1.244	1.234	1.300
		8	1.279	1.271	1.278	1.266	1.055	1.281	1.128	1.295	1.273	1.277	1.266
		10	1.282	2.865	1.296	1.278	1.666	1.290	1.095	1.269	1.285	1.270	1.271
		12	1.270	2.392	1.279	1.285	1.687	1.286	1.101	1.269	1.267	1.278	1.266
	Economy	6	0.018	0.042	0.021	0.041	23.612	0.018	21.375	0.018	0.019	0.017	0.018
		8	0.019	0.109	0.018	0.019	11.206	0.019	11.501	0.017	0.018	0.017	0.017
		10	0.019	0.083	0.018	0.022	0.137	0.020	1.474	0.018	0.018	0.017	0.018
		12	0.019	0.508	0.019	0.040	0.036	0.020	1.632	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018
	Energy	12	0.116	13.430	0.114	0.128	0.353	0.150	0.280	0.103	0.105	0.104	0.104
		24	0.221	0.326	0.239	0.217	0.673	0.246	0.810	0.209	0.226	0.231	0.217
		36	0.320	44.538	0.326	0.321	1.590	0.348	1.401	0.300	0.303	0.329	0.313
		48	0.445	0.623	0.437	0.428	0.680	0.459	0.648	0.432	0.421	0.423	0.425
	Environment	48	0.491	65958.3	0.486	0.638	0.652	0.533	0.566	0.490	0.516	0.493	0.488
		96	0.545	247802.2	0.545	1.312	0.695	0.635	0.775	0.549	0.568	0.546	0.542
		192	0.572	284870.7	0.576	0.855	0.602	0.576	0.716	0.570	0.601	0.573	0.570
		336	0.530	7.076	0.564	0.631	692.9	0.532	0.771	0.529	0.537	0.531	0.528
	Public Health	12	1.159	30.068	1.176	0.983	1.950	1.305	1.131	1.124	1.145	1.131	1.129
		24	1.491	1.428	1.510	1.338	2.024	1.567	1.745	1.431	1.485	1.505	1.458
		36	1.612	1.806	1.691	1.695	2.242	1.660	2.087	1.661	1.663	1.652	1.669
		48	1.705	2.023	1.772	1.866	1.987	1.760	1.873	1.716	1.768	1.718	1.738
	Security	6	127.3	97.900	102.1	101.1	214.1	103.2	186.0	102.8	102.1	104.4	107.0
		8	113.8	116.9	107.9	116.6	148.7	107.0	160.8	112.1	104.1	106.8	105.1
		10	117.0	132.9	109.9	133.6	99.472	108.9	109.8	109.5	108.8	110.2	115.2
		12	119.0	106.4	109.9	108.8	104.0	112.0	111.0	120.5	109.5	112.0	112.8
	Social Good	6	0.921	1.596	0.834	0.828	4.119	0.871	3.622	0.829	0.847	0.831	0.820
		8	1.053	12.330	0.988	1.050	2.416	1.010	2.589	1.014	0.934	0.963	0.963
		10	1.106	1.547	1.122	1.198	5.796	1.092	1.095	1.014	1.113	1.082	1.107
		12	1.207	8.133	1.110	1.104	3.560	1.193	1.088	1.227	1.201	1.138	1.164
	Traffic	6	0.228	0.276	0.238	0.249	1.073	0.236	0.440	0.240	0.234	0.231	0.242
		8	0.223	0.224	0.220	0.221	0.319	0.230	0.469	0.232	0.213	0.221	0.234
		10	0.216	0.226	0.217	0.216	0.413	0.219	0.407	0.238	0.214	0.217	0.215
		12	0.253	5.092	0.259	0.267	0.687	0.264	0.386	0.283	0.244	0.274	0.250

Table 66: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **TiDE (+ Doc2Vec)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
TiDE	Agriculture	6	0.072	0.070	0.086	0.070	3.204	0.069	3.675	0.060	0.063	0.061	0.060
		8	0.087	0.084	0.090	0.093	1.532	0.091	1.582	0.083	0.081	0.082	0.081
		10	0.112	0.266	0.112	0.114	0.168	0.121	0.461	0.103	0.104	0.103	0.103
		12	0.151	0.496	0.146	0.131	1.262	0.150	1.014	0.135	0.134	0.133	0.134
	Climate	6	1.443	1.533	1.553	1.528	1.573	1.433	1.409	1.259	1.235	1.238	1.261
		8	1.506	1.448	1.384	1.461	1.111	1.546	1.138	1.262	1.278	1.250	1.366
		10	1.397	1.558	1.402	1.423	1.417	1.546	1.177	1.279	1.270	1.258	1.254
		12	1.468	1.518	1.422	1.390	1.251	1.472	1.132	1.255	1.274	1.262	1.269
	Economy	6	0.033	0.046	0.039	0.048	20.458	0.050	21.635	0.019	0.017	0.016	0.018
		8	0.039	0.046	0.038	0.039	11.799	0.031	11.915	0.018	0.018	0.017	0.017
		10	0.029	0.050	0.032	0.038	0.148	0.040	1.679	0.018	0.017	0.018	0.018
		12	0.033	0.042	0.031	0.034	1.603	0.038	1.593	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.017
	Energy	12	0.135	4.723	0.138	0.134	0.842	0.135	0.210	0.106	0.102	0.105	0.106
		24	0.242	0.435	0.238	0.236	0.829	0.249	0.818	0.218	0.219	0.211	0.204
		36	0.326	53.277	0.332	0.318	21.779	0.335	1.655	0.292	0.302	0.289	0.295
		48	0.444	0.530	0.437	0.416	0.760	0.441	0.793	0.406	0.408	0.414	0.403
	Environment	48	0.484	1.118	0.483	1.019	0.804	0.483	0.781	0.483	0.483	0.484	0.482
		96	0.543	1.341	0.543	1.262	0.661	0.539	0.668	0.541	0.537	0.542	0.543
		192	0.576	151.7	0.577	0.805	11910.0	0.577	0.757	0.577	0.576	0.578	0.576
		336	0.533	6258.2	0.533	0.539	2568.8	0.532	0.660	0.533	0.533	0.535	0.533
	Public Health	12	1.323	2.924	1.314	1.156	2.002	1.279	1.307	1.107	1.078	1.083	1.089
		24	1.537	2.307	1.508	1.481	1.799	1.606	1.827	1.450	1.478	1.439	1.426
		36	1.676	10.500	1.669	1.600	12.984	1.702	2.238	1.637	1.638	1.609	1.623
		48	1.772	2.218	1.776	1.747	1.847	1.768	1.956	1.715	1.772	1.722	1.727
	Security	6	146.5	123.0	134.9	123.4	197.7	122.0	188.9	104.4	106.6	107.2	108.1
		8	129.5	133.4	132.5	133.7	152.8	129.0	154.9	107.9	108.5	112.4	108.5
		10	132.2	138.2	135.1	132.1	99.922	135.3	117.1	112.6	110.1	113.5	112.7
		12	145.2	142.5	139.1	134.4	103.0	142.3	120.1	112.5	112.8	112.8	111.8
	Social Good	6	0.968	0.914	1.043	0.916	4.189	0.915	4.017	0.836	0.884	0.862	0.825
		8	1.140	1.078	1.059	1.025	2.249	1.057	2.311	0.952	0.943	0.952	0.950
		10	1.127	2.480	1.184	1.187	3.021	1.264	0.986	1.057	1.062	1.064	1.078
		12	1.351	6.833	1.185	1.266	1.430	1.216	1.060	1.192	1.160	1.156	1.142
	Traffic	6	0.298	0.303	0.286	0.308	0.730	0.316	0.763	0.236	0.235	0.237	0.233
		8	0.303	0.300	0.277	0.298	0.351	0.284	0.391	0.232	0.229	0.233	0.225
		10	0.248	0.861	0.245	0.297	1.311	0.296	0.475	0.223	0.231	0.225	0.228
		12	0.319	1.090	0.308	0.324	0.496	0.334	0.316	0.288	0.288	0.284	0.290

Table 67: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with FEDformer (+ Doc2Vec).

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
FEDformer	Agriculture	6	0.055	0.058	0.060	0.054	0.063	0.060	3.212	0.058	0.057	0.062	0.061
		8	0.072	0.076	0.069	0.070	0.080	0.073	2.878	0.069	0.075	0.073	0.068
		10	0.086	0.088	0.094	0.094	0.101	0.087	0.787	0.095	0.092	0.088	0.088
		12	0.112	0.128	0.126	0.118	0.128	0.119	0.642	0.121	0.125	0.114	0.117
	Climate	6	1.084	1.093	1.129	1.058	1.055	1.084	1.191	1.069	1.103	1.083	1.081
		8	1.097	1.060	1.048	1.065	1.034	1.064	0.965	1.062	1.056	1.063	1.059
		10	1.113	1.108	1.097	1.102	1.049	1.081	0.926	1.099	1.077	1.070	1.069
		12	1.109	1.076	1.104	1.095	1.092	1.090	0.913	1.107	1.111	1.091	1.071
	Economy	6	0.038	0.036	0.027	0.040	0.037	0.039	9.961	0.030	0.046	0.034	0.038
		8	0.050	0.047	0.045	0.053	0.028	0.041	6.877	0.027	0.051	0.050	0.043
		10	0.039	0.042	0.034	0.047	0.043	0.035	1.150	0.035	0.031	0.036	0.042
		12	0.051	0.033	0.048	0.052	0.041	0.035	1.164	0.032	0.037	0.036	0.043
	Energy	12	0.097	0.105	0.092	0.095	0.114	0.093	0.189	0.093	0.096	0.092	0.093
		24	0.177	0.242	0.190	0.176	0.193	0.180	0.868	0.198	0.187	0.181	0.186
		36	0.257	0.270	0.259	0.247	0.285	0.261	1.438	0.256	0.253	0.274	0.264
		48	0.365	0.376	0.369	0.378	0.500	0.382	0.861	0.362	0.380	0.363	0.384
	Environment	48	0.461	0.478	0.466	0.518	0.493	0.448	0.662	0.503	0.608	0.510	0.470
		96	0.480	0.563	0.514	0.708	0.497	0.470	0.463	0.543	0.505	0.523	0.493
		192	0.484	0.508	0.526	0.585	0.569	0.518	0.691	0.511	0.495	0.514	0.505
		336	0.470	0.485	0.464	0.535	0.468	0.482	0.697	0.465	0.481	0.486	0.485
	Public Health	12	1.070	1.110	1.090	1.062	1.129	1.099	1.025	1.083	1.088	1.078	1.108
		24	1.311	1.412	1.398	1.415	1.406	1.383	1.480	1.346	1.353	1.305	1.402
		36	1.557	1.550	1.544	1.536	1.489	1.536	1.961	1.502	1.500	1.495	1.466
		48	1.558	1.600	1.575	1.541	1.592	1.497	1.604	1.604	1.513	1.524	1.519
	Security	6	105.9	109.3	106.7	113.2	106.1	105.0	161.1	106.6	105.4	108.7	106.9
		8	107.9	107.1	107.3	109.3	110.2	105.4	141.2	107.2	110.5	109.8	110.0
		10	113.7	112.1	111.7	114.6	111.5	115.2	117.5	111.7	112.2	109.3	114.4
		12	117.4	118.5	114.5	117.6	114.1	122.6	118.5	114.5	113.6	111.0	114.1
	Social Good	6	0.905	0.844	0.841	0.806	0.891	0.860	2.253	0.817	0.815	0.867	0.859
		8	0.890	0.850	0.850	0.863	0.856	0.837	2.710	0.850	0.812	0.895	0.865
		10	0.948	0.924	0.902	0.900	1.091	0.894	1.080	0.902	0.884	0.960	0.900
		12	1.043	1.006	0.982	1.003	1.092	0.960	1.066	0.980	0.962	0.985	0.964
	Traffic	6	0.152	0.152	0.152	0.151	0.145	0.147	0.653	0.152	0.152	0.150	0.152
		8	0.155	0.160	0.157	0.151	0.152	0.156	0.347	0.156	0.153	0.158	0.155
		10	0.166	0.164	0.162	0.165	0.147	0.163	0.206	0.163	0.168	0.164	0.162
		12	0.221	0.243	0.229	0.222	0.212	0.225	0.231	0.227	0.217	0.211	0.219

Table 68: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Autoformer (+ Doc2Vec)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FiLM	Gating	CFA
Autoformer	Agriculture	6	0.071	0.070	0.066	0.065	0.068	0.061	3.357	0.063	0.065	0.065	0.065
		8	0.088	0.089	0.084	0.083	0.084	0.083	1.849	0.079	0.090	0.074	0.092
		10	0.095	0.109	0.107	0.104	0.112	0.098	1.030	0.110	0.103	0.098	0.108
		12	0.136	0.130	0.128	0.133	0.144	0.133	0.637	0.127	0.128	0.126	0.120
	Climate	6	1.241	1.204	1.235	1.224	1.173	1.119	1.297	1.260	1.220	1.165	1.221
		8	1.201	1.215	1.204	1.156	1.247	1.157	1.008	1.201	1.170	1.223	1.147
		10	1.211	1.238	1.196	1.188	1.154	1.215	1.082	1.195	1.151	1.146	1.277
		12	1.183	1.165	1.189	1.185	1.217	1.171	0.970	1.193	1.158	1.125	1.188
	Economy	6	0.058	0.059	0.051	0.051	0.072	0.061	13.506	0.053	0.058	0.068	0.042
		8	0.063	0.067	0.062	0.069	0.063	0.055	7.793	0.047	0.052	0.055	0.067
		10	0.061	0.069	0.055	0.045	0.074	0.039	1.772	0.054	0.062	0.070	0.047
		12	0.055	0.049	0.051	0.080	0.049	0.032	1.602	0.043	0.047	0.053	0.059
	Energy	12	0.128	0.179	0.147	0.167	0.215	0.132	0.361	0.140	0.170	0.186	0.165
		24	0.300	0.331	0.337	0.301	0.328	0.307	0.888	0.316	0.324	0.299	0.288
		36	0.368	0.373	0.399	0.410	0.381	0.368	1.497	0.380	0.366	0.367	0.395
		48	0.494	0.476	0.484	0.496	0.485	0.478	0.759	0.463	0.481	0.473	0.488
	Environment	48	0.523	0.596	0.559	0.827	0.618	0.572	0.558	0.523	0.615	0.554	0.540
		96	0.541	0.718	0.756	0.620	0.599	0.563	1.372	0.746	0.595	0.649	0.577
		192	0.568	0.583	0.624	0.896	0.613	0.658	0.915	0.694	0.950	0.889	0.634
		336	0.514	0.555	0.537	0.673	0.543	0.605	0.723	0.561	0.609	0.566	0.568
	Public Health	12	1.506	1.579	1.748	1.558	1.708	1.389	1.596	1.538	1.529	1.513	1.603
		24	1.882	2.028	2.024	1.890	1.895	1.950	1.610	1.827	1.765	1.899	1.870
		36	1.867	1.907	1.983	1.876	2.201	1.910	1.833	1.877	1.863	1.826	1.852
		48	1.953	1.892	2.059	1.850	1.906	1.852	1.664	1.925	1.820	1.867	1.921
	Security	6	105.8	108.2	108.3	105.5	104.4	109.0	154.2	108.0	108.0	109.3	109.8
		8	110.5	108.7	110.9	111.2	111.0	111.6	134.2	108.3	106.8	107.4	114.7
		10	115.2	112.8	115.5	115.0	112.5	112.7	114.4	115.5	111.5	116.4	114.6
		12	117.2	116.9	115.4	115.3	114.0	118.3	118.6	115.4	111.6	116.8	113.1
	Social Good	6	0.896	0.812	0.854	0.847	0.819	0.910	4.220	0.837	0.857	0.860	0.835
		8	0.972	0.983	0.957	1.022	1.010	0.937	2.715	0.992	1.033	0.950	0.988
		10	0.983	1.009	1.075	1.042	1.105	0.989	1.034	1.006	1.041	0.999	1.037
		12	1.092	1.122	1.067	1.125	1.194	1.136	1.135	1.104	1.190	1.089	1.059
	Traffic	6	0.173	0.192	0.170	0.158	0.167	0.164	0.520	0.167	0.170	0.174	0.196
		8	0.186	0.188	0.198	0.200	0.245	0.180	0.429	0.192	0.195	0.194	0.187
		10	0.192	0.191	0.185	0.210	0.215	0.171	0.197	0.195	0.189	0.208	0.185
		12	0.241	0.260	0.234	0.238	0.233	0.227	0.287	0.233	0.250	0.235	0.224

Table 69: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Crossformer (+ Doc2Vec)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained				
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA	
Crossformer	Agriculture	6	0.152	0.117	0.195	0.151	0.130	0.226	0.192	0.155	0.118	0.139	0.173	
		8	0.198	0.248	0.281	0.149	0.743	0.295	0.239	0.283	0.261	0.254	0.167	
		10	0.265	0.215	0.247	0.346	0.261	0.395	0.378	0.268	0.299	0.192	0.251	
		12	0.380	0.357	0.363	0.401	0.307	0.509	0.538	0.396	0.282	0.326	0.459	
	Climate	6	1.063	1.053	1.027	1.049	1.024	1.053	1.081	1.093	1.067	1.024	1.050	
		8	1.079	1.109	1.081	1.115	1.136	1.072	1.094	1.060	1.088	1.081	1.079	
		10	1.079	1.097	1.075	1.105	1.084	1.097	1.080	1.105	1.085	1.101	1.101	
		12	1.099	1.096	1.111	1.116	1.129	1.090	1.080	1.072	1.094	1.090	1.106	
	Economy	6	0.477	0.077	0.409	0.229	0.353	0.697	0.519	0.218	0.247	0.138	0.180	
		8	0.366	0.296	0.162	0.179	5.881	0.666	0.326	0.180	0.167	0.255	0.515	
		10	0.374	0.797	0.688	0.588	0.347	0.764	1.015	0.146	0.364	0.441	0.251	
		12	0.722	0.581	0.568	1.073	0.559	0.648	1.065	0.376	0.295	0.561	0.168	
	Energy	12	0.130	0.131	0.151	0.171	0.177	0.151	0.162	0.151	0.132	0.148	0.114	
		24	0.256	0.238	0.238	0.265	0.451	0.288	0.288	0.283	0.280	0.265	0.249	
		36	0.344	0.336	0.359	0.340	0.346	0.351	0.351	0.335	0.344	0.332	0.355	
		48	0.440	0.449	0.433	0.417	0.427	0.466	0.470	0.440	0.453	0.459	0.428	
	Environment	48	0.492	0.580	0.511	0.573	0.549	0.504	0.571	0.499	0.581	0.531	0.529	
		96	0.542	0.530	0.639	0.751	0.603	0.591	0.573	0.584	0.637	0.606	0.533	
		192	0.521	0.590	0.649	0.686	0.658	0.619	0.608	0.588	0.616	0.591	0.584	
		336	0.528	0.540	0.593	0.662	0.530	0.558	0.619	0.587	0.587	0.577	0.543	
	Public Health	12	1.172	1.180	1.106	1.132	1.247	1.136	1.126	1.136	1.132	1.044	1.023	
		24	1.356	1.377	1.350	1.359	1.686	1.444	1.463	1.354	1.337	1.315	1.349	
		36	1.391	1.392	1.389	1.286	1.362	1.463	1.433	1.405	1.388	1.292	1.366	
		48	1.480	1.438	1.452	1.523	1.504	1.432	1.540	1.471	1.483	1.472	1.427	
	Security	6	120.5	120.7	121.4	117.5	120.3	120.6	121.7	120.3	120.1	119.7	119.4	
		8	121.8	124.1	124.9	124.6	133.0	126.9	126.9	123.3	121.8	122.8	122.0	
		10	124.1	125.8	125.6	125.6	124.2	125.4	125.2	126.0	124.5	125.9	122.4	
		12	127.2	121.3	128.6	128.3	128.5	125.1	127.8	129.2	124.9	128.3	126.0	
	Social Good	6	0.719	0.729	0.738	0.745	0.742	0.730	0.724	0.742	0.737	0.754	0.733	
		8	0.817	0.819	0.817	0.800	1.472	0.795	0.821	0.832	0.821	0.812	0.813	
		10	0.879	0.902	0.851	0.850	0.875	0.863	0.872	0.880	0.867	0.869	0.883	
		12	0.928	0.940	0.926	0.931	0.943	0.911	0.915	0.948	0.900	0.912	0.929	
	Traffic	6	0.231	0.217	0.207	0.212	0.215	0.216	0.220	0.222	0.229	0.204	0.209	
		8	0.210	0.233	0.228	0.219	0.324	0.220	0.201	0.210	0.213	0.222	0.229	
		10	0.220	0.219	0.223	0.225	0.224	0.215	0.208	0.212	0.215	0.222	0.217	
			12	0.243	0.250	0.253	0.250	0.269	0.245	0.243	0.247	0.243	0.248	0.256

Table 70: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Reformer** (+ **Doc2Vec**).

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Reformer	Agriculture	6	0.189	0.183	0.277	0.181	0.205	0.140	0.322	0.265	0.181	0.199	0.183
		8	0.217	0.275	0.215	0.173	0.377	0.235	0.417	0.265	0.295	0.197	0.383
		10	0.489	0.419	0.471	0.273	0.408	0.332	0.732	0.371	0.294	0.502	0.341
		12	0.414	0.585	0.333	0.366	0.565	0.618	0.601	0.349	0.390	0.259	0.406
	Climate	6	0.983	1.013	1.014	1.045	1.007	1.065	0.933	1.004	1.093	0.974	1.054
		8	1.083	1.046	1.105	1.044	1.073	1.051	0.946	1.097	1.065	1.078	1.042
		10	1.096	1.047	1.080	1.112	1.098	1.069	0.907	1.075	1.102	1.113	1.073
		12	1.077	1.046	1.107	1.068	1.121	1.146	1.052	1.093	1.041	1.100	1.063
	Economy	6	0.268	0.332	0.337	0.377	0.643	0.316	0.649	0.175	0.302	0.199	0.344
		8	0.422	0.213	0.096	0.536	0.379	0.709	1.053	0.340	0.221	0.326	0.260
		10	0.447	0.703	0.834	0.531	1.110	0.817	2.016	0.615	0.441	0.633	0.747
		12	0.367	1.166	0.300	0.280	1.543	1.564	0.943	0.435	0.922	0.458	0.381
	Energy	12	0.267	0.201	0.156	0.209	0.205	0.200	0.145	0.167	0.223	0.197	0.219
		24	0.436	0.410	0.440	0.408	0.317	0.383	0.333	0.401	0.401	0.372	0.400
		36	0.503	0.462	0.480	0.462	0.370	0.483	0.470	0.389	0.481	0.436	0.425
		48	0.600	0.557	0.527	0.513	0.640	0.536	0.583	0.510	0.488	0.523	0.518
	Environment	48	0.411	0.533	0.466	0.454	0.403	0.462	0.561	0.463	0.445	0.453	0.453
		96	0.472	0.575	0.497	0.475	0.558	0.438	0.686	0.565	0.492	0.438	0.450
		192	0.437	0.567	0.468	0.485	0.544	0.457	0.709	0.453	0.485	0.456	0.457
		336	0.446	0.468	0.434	0.510	0.576	0.458	0.575	0.470	0.446	0.466	0.507
	Public Health	12	1.176	0.931	1.251	1.098	1.015	1.094	1.148	1.107	1.175	1.188	1.086
		24	1.272	1.341	1.238	1.324	1.327	1.286	1.363	1.317	1.215	1.206	1.285
		36	1.325	1.435	1.365	1.410	1.266	1.252	1.394	1.249	1.282	1.280	1.334
		48	1.415	1.298	1.550	1.429	1.442	1.449	1.531	1.600	1.431	1.440	1.375
	Security	6	124.9	124.5	125.5	123.9	118.9	119.2	121.6	125.0	123.8	123.5	122.7
		8	124.9	123.0	123.3	125.6	123.2	123.5	124.5	123.3	123.3	124.2	124.8
		10	126.5	127.1	125.6	124.8	129.0	124.7	127.1	125.5	123.6	124.8	127.3
		12	125.1	123.4	126.5	125.9	126.0	125.5	127.1	126.5	124.8	127.7	125.6
	Social Good	6	0.804	0.782	0.808	0.800	0.796	0.860	0.774	0.847	0.800	0.821	0.807
		8	0.912	0.886	0.880	0.872	0.897	0.899	0.918	0.899	0.899	0.902	0.858
		10	0.954	0.950	0.970	0.976	0.970	0.948	0.958	0.971	0.964	0.933	0.958
		12	0.993	1.007	0.939	0.963	1.007	0.980	0.991	0.927	0.998	0.984	1.007
	Traffic	6	0.232	0.244	0.260	0.253	0.275	0.297	0.205	0.246	0.224	0.216	0.258
		8	0.240	0.261	0.249	0.279	0.245	0.270	0.241	0.249	0.265	0.258	0.270
		10	0.265	0.257	0.239	0.233	0.223	0.312	0.223	0.225	0.241	0.276	0.246
		12	0.260	0.252	0.247	0.244	0.262	0.292	0.237	0.257	0.232	0.242	0.240

Table 71: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Transformer (+ Doc2Vec)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Transformer	Agriculture	6	0.138	0.160	0.138	0.095	0.097	0.143	0.285	0.126	0.162	0.151	0.143
		8	0.155	0.223	0.179	0.218	0.152	0.281	0.446	0.183	0.201	0.253	0.181
		10	0.202	0.317	0.236	0.195	0.115	0.181	0.677	0.245	0.192	0.184	0.197
		12	0.195	0.273	0.274	0.224	0.146	0.373	0.534	0.268	0.207	0.205	0.324
	Climate	6	1.023	0.990	1.023	1.019	0.971	1.009	1.091	1.058	1.080	1.032	1.087
		8	1.048	1.060	1.106	1.023	1.057	1.050	1.068	1.104	1.040	1.061	1.041
		10	1.094	1.061	1.067	1.099	1.097	0.985	1.040	1.067	1.048	1.106	1.070
		12	1.021	1.007	1.051	1.067	1.088	1.082	1.023	1.039	1.037	1.060	1.105
	Economy	6	0.252	0.244	0.235	0.415	0.108	0.284	0.526	0.248	0.447	0.160	0.309
		8	0.259	0.538	0.208	0.331	0.062	0.460	0.735	0.266	0.428	0.148	0.244
		10	0.288	0.711	0.217	0.189	0.110	0.104	1.452	0.251	0.191	0.098	0.110
		12	0.265	0.342	0.556	0.186	0.088	0.567	0.937	0.476	0.052	0.513	0.392
	Energy	12	0.085	0.132	0.102	0.143	0.117	0.109	0.124	0.095	0.101	0.098	0.106
		24	0.204	0.222	0.200	0.303	0.269	0.232	0.224	0.218	0.204	0.185	0.241
		36	0.357	0.422	0.366	0.308	0.314	0.278	0.270	0.335	0.346	0.312	0.298
		48	0.479	0.490	0.405	0.485	0.399	0.402	0.422	0.369	0.435	0.441	0.481
	Environment	48	0.407	0.524	0.430	0.448	0.465	0.442	0.501	0.428	0.466	0.410	0.410
		96	0.487	0.466	0.454	0.465	0.423	0.448	0.523	0.470	0.465	0.433	0.491
		192	0.445	0.528	0.452	0.519	0.460	0.468	0.651	0.463	0.442	0.452	0.442
		336	0.449	0.478	0.464	0.477	0.538	0.477	0.555	0.474	0.408	0.458	0.434
	Public Health	12	0.968	1.069	1.119	1.161	1.087	1.040	1.062	1.287	1.068	0.961	0.962
		24	1.285	1.288	1.221	1.352	1.153	1.293	1.449	1.208	1.322	1.296	1.207
		36	1.318	1.321	1.294	1.345	1.282	1.413	1.198	1.265	1.295	1.288	1.277
		48	1.427	1.432	1.300	1.280	1.391	1.432	1.521	1.408	1.389	1.416	1.576
	Security	6	126.6	127.3	126.5	126.0	122.3	124.0	124.4	125.9	124.6	128.0	124.5
		8	126.1	127.5	128.3	125.9	123.9	127.6	128.5	128.4	126.9	126.7	130.4
		10	129.3	129.3	130.7	128.8	124.1	128.6	129.9	131.2	130.1	129.8	130.7
		12	128.4	126.5	131.3	129.9	126.7	130.4	131.1	130.9	129.2	129.0	118.5
	Social Good	6	0.698	0.737	0.769	0.781	0.795	0.743	0.724	0.770	0.765	0.741	0.764
		8	0.786	0.779	0.856	0.855	0.843	0.813	0.769	0.829	0.868	0.815	0.841
		10	0.888	0.831	0.904	0.855	0.864	0.925	0.853	0.929	0.835	0.899	0.913
		12	0.868	0.896	0.874	0.852	0.894	0.914	0.867	0.983	0.942	0.862	0.940
	Traffic	6	0.161	0.162	0.163	0.167	0.173	0.171	0.158	0.163	0.185	0.152	0.166
		8	0.165	0.164	0.176	0.169	0.196	0.159	0.160	0.176	0.162	0.176	0.167
		10	0.181	0.174	0.192	0.172	0.199	0.194	0.168	0.192	0.190	0.180	0.208
		12	0.213	0.227	0.218	0.209	0.237	0.220	0.209	0.217	0.215	0.217	0.214

Table 72: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with TSMixer (+ Doc2Vec).

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
TSMixer	Agriculture	6	0.085	0.098	0.091	0.100	0.204	0.084	0.143	0.099	0.137	0.102	0.077
		8	0.124	0.157	0.158	0.311	0.205	0.300	0.167	0.171	0.118	0.128	0.174
		10	0.259	0.199	0.374	0.245	0.503	0.489	0.213	0.364	0.224	0.291	0.205
		12	0.606	0.809	0.573	0.616	0.907	0.644	0.567	0.514	1.412	0.685	0.249
	Climate	6	1.058	1.066	1.077	1.074	1.087	1.077	1.079	1.071	1.069	1.062	1.048
		8	1.092	1.088	1.083	1.068	1.102	1.095	1.100	1.104	1.095	1.085	1.098
		10	1.106	1.104	1.110	1.091	1.105	1.117	1.112	1.113	1.109	1.098	1.111
		12	1.104	1.114	1.117	1.110	1.118	1.097	1.096	1.115	1.130	1.113	1.091
	Economy	6	0.045	0.061	0.056	0.097	0.133	0.049	0.128	0.195	0.067	0.047	0.036
		8	0.036	0.109	0.126	0.071	0.346	0.213	0.208	0.244	0.090	0.054	0.093
		10	0.358	0.416	0.174	0.239	0.165	0.971	0.203	0.572	0.297	0.223	0.158
		12	0.792	0.736	1.217	0.876	1.525	0.485	0.440	0.226	1.956	0.835	0.111
	Energy	12	0.157	0.172	0.150	0.170	0.167	0.137	0.178	0.127	0.144	0.143	0.149
		24	0.264	0.272	0.289	0.330	0.276	0.271	0.276	0.251	0.276	0.304	0.278
		36	0.361	0.360	0.377	0.403	0.363	0.348	0.366	0.338	0.370	0.355	0.333
		48	0.454	0.456	0.474	0.465	0.446	0.437	0.459	0.438	0.484	0.469	0.459
	Environment	48	0.539	0.587	0.716	0.769	0.576	0.516	0.718	0.521	0.543	0.663	0.518
		96	0.606	0.647	0.738	1.042	0.648	0.625	0.732	0.592	0.664	0.637	0.565
		192	0.660	0.718	0.680	0.697	0.674	0.633	1.066	0.592	0.693	0.674	0.588
		336	0.586	0.660	0.663	0.670	0.567	0.595	0.892	0.569	0.631	0.643	0.580
	Public Health	12	1.074	1.116	1.208	1.222	1.062	1.127	1.101	1.163	1.121	1.106	1.050
		24	1.425	1.504	1.389	1.497	1.432	1.503	1.563	1.404	1.513	1.395	1.366
		36	1.488	1.447	1.434	1.392	1.493	1.536	1.509	1.523	1.481	1.465	1.565
		48	1.605	1.644	1.626	1.602	1.759	1.705	1.683	1.541	1.669	1.575	1.526
	Security	6	128.2	128.2	129.8	127.2	124.9	125.7	128.8	123.1	124.1	125.9	127.9
		8	127.8	123.5	127.8	128.6	122.3	122.7	130.2	126.7	126.2	127.7	125.9
		10	129.9	129.7	130.2	131.9	123.7	124.6	124.9	131.9	130.1	130.1	127.9
		12	130.2	133.3	132.1	133.5	132.2	127.8	128.9	133.7	130.5	129.7	129.7
	Social Good	6	0.744	0.748	0.782	0.738	0.745	0.730	0.730	0.824	0.744	0.772	0.733
		8	0.883	0.914	1.065	0.934	0.889	0.857	0.891	0.934	0.817	0.831	0.823
		10	0.890	0.851	0.912	0.841	0.897	0.932	0.893	0.900	0.837	0.892	0.886
		12	0.881	0.888	0.926	0.913	1.267	0.905	1.230	0.976	0.986	0.887	0.888
	Traffic	6	0.214	0.201	0.228	0.204	0.206	0.228	0.226	0.230	0.226	0.207	0.187
		8	0.212	0.220	0.223	0.213	0.226	0.222	0.235	0.275	0.276	0.201	0.188
		10	0.222	0.229	0.243	0.225	0.222	0.292	0.218	0.266	0.304	0.231	0.207
		12	0.289	0.289	0.297	0.304	0.451	0.274	0.268	0.347	0.504	0.259	0.262

Table 73: Comparison of multimodal fusion strategies with **Informer (+ Doc2Vec)**.

TS Model	Dataset	H	Unimodal	Additive			Concat			Constrained			
				First	Middle	Last	First	Middle	Last	Orthogonal	FILM	Gating	CFA
Informer	Agriculture	6	0.228	0.468	0.342	0.249	0.240	0.306	0.270	0.244	0.212	0.200	0.218
		8	0.307	0.398	0.204	0.276	0.233	0.199	0.390	0.254	0.264	0.184	0.232
		10	0.369	0.267	0.392	0.450	0.572	0.256	0.411	0.382	0.363	0.371	0.341
		12	0.570	0.609	0.584	0.625	0.463	0.553	0.485	0.274	0.358	0.352	0.517
	Climate	6	1.091	1.045	1.093	1.063	1.029	1.062	1.068	1.066	1.051	1.026	1.016
		8	1.127	1.030	1.062	1.094	1.003	1.055	1.065	1.058	1.082	1.041	1.032
		10	1.052	1.061	1.050	1.084	0.883	1.076	1.068	1.076	1.058	1.083	1.091
		12	1.058	1.084	1.071	1.074	0.907	1.024	1.069	1.065	1.064	1.069	1.103
	Economy	6	0.552	0.667	0.726	0.404	0.543	0.603	0.943	0.192	0.510	0.526	0.381
		8	0.704	0.852	0.700	0.904	0.271	0.812	0.450	0.618	0.464	0.387	0.720
		10	0.864	0.741	0.662	0.727	1.073	0.928	0.813	0.757	0.940	0.597	0.276
		12	1.015	0.894	0.254	1.273	0.515	1.101	0.767	0.979	1.081	1.011	1.057
	Energy	12	0.190	0.177	0.208	0.216	0.214	0.183	0.174	0.203	0.225	0.200	0.205
		24	0.276	0.297	0.408	0.294	0.263	0.359	0.255	0.341	0.323	0.374	0.286
		36	0.371	0.401	0.452	0.374	0.364	0.418	0.381	0.431	0.320	0.411	0.481
		48	0.490	0.480	0.510	0.520	0.481	0.508	0.516	0.483	0.457	0.463	0.501
	Environment	48	0.412	0.593	0.424	0.509	0.502	0.436	0.506	0.455	0.459	0.474	0.449
		96	0.411	0.501	0.505	0.527	0.478	0.456	0.527	0.429	0.461	0.455	0.441
		192	0.445	0.458	0.482	0.588	0.576	0.480	0.486	0.468	0.460	0.452	0.448
		336	0.436	0.512	0.478	0.489	0.500	0.455	0.548	0.493	0.458	0.452	0.461
	Public Health	12	1.061	1.124	1.109	1.116	1.103	0.994	1.004	1.097	1.105	1.125	1.091
		24	1.293	1.334	1.409	1.338	1.359	1.416	1.348	1.405	1.288	1.326	1.287
		36	1.436	1.416	1.415	1.534	1.228	1.403	1.548	1.461	1.443	1.451	1.509
		48	1.556	1.657	1.634	1.671	1.332	1.669	1.574	1.665	1.772	1.618	1.646
	Security	6	124.2	127.0	126.6	128.0	125.7	128.0	124.4	128.6	127.1	127.8	127.2
		8	122.3	127.7	128.0	129.0	128.8	128.4	127.3	130.1	126.7	127.5	128.8
		10	129.5	130.2	125.6	127.0	131.3	129.2	128.8	129.4	129.0	128.4	129.9
		12	130.8	131.3	130.9	129.5	127.4	126.5	130.4	131.1	127.3	132.3	129.9
	Social Good	6	0.725	0.754	0.790	0.727	0.752	0.757	0.766	0.711	0.747	0.770	0.756
		8	0.801	0.769	0.788	0.801	0.809	0.800	0.808	0.824	0.803	0.834	0.816
		10	0.849	0.838	0.801	0.855	0.783	0.861	0.798	0.850	0.837	0.884	0.898
		12	0.911	0.862	0.940	0.860	0.902	0.870	0.870	0.931	0.884	0.883	0.885
	Traffic	6	0.180	0.178	0.192	0.148	0.157	0.154	0.159	0.164	0.177	0.155	0.156
		8	0.174	0.169	0.167	0.185	0.158	0.170	0.168	0.193	0.177	0.163	0.182
		10	0.208	0.181	0.165	0.193	0.200	0.177	0.178	0.187	0.177	0.173	0.193
		12	0.213	0.221	0.227	0.210	0.206	0.212	0.211	0.220	0.215	0.221	0.229